

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Infection, Genetics and Evolution



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/meegid

Nasal staphylococci microbiota and resistome in healthy adults in La Rioja, northern Spain: High frequency of toxigenic *S. aureus* and MSSA-CC398 subclade

Idris Nasir Abdullahi^a, Carmen Lozano^a, Myriam Zarazaga^a, Islem Trabelsi^b, Rine Christopher Reuben^a, Marc Stegger^{c,d}, Carmen Torres^{a,*}

^a Area of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, OneHealth-UR Research Group, University of La Rioja, Logroño, Spain

^b Bioresources, Environment and Biotechnology Laboratory, Higher Institute of Applied Biological Sciences of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia

^c Department of Bacteria, Parasites and Fungi, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

^d Antimicrobial Resistance and Infectious Diseases Laboratory, Harry Butler Institute, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: MSSA-CC398 Toxigenic S. aureus Antimicrobial resistance MR-CoNS MRCoNS SCCmec Nose hygiene

ABSTRACT

This study determined the nasal staphylococci diversity and characterized their resistome, with a focus on the mobilome of methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA)-CC398 subclade from healthy adults in La Rioja (northern Spain). Nasal staphylococci recovered from 57 healthy individuals (HI) were identified (MALDI-TOF-MS) and their antimicrobial resistance, virulence determinants and genetic lineages were studied. The relatedness of MSSA-CC398 isolates was assessed by core-genome single-nucleotide-polymorphisms (SNPs). Onehundred-forty-three non-repetitive staphylococci were obtained from most HI (98.2%), of which S. epidermidis (87.7%) and S. aureus (36.8%) were the predominant species. About 15% of the 27 S. aureus and 30.1% of the 116 coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) isolates presented a multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype. All S. aureus isolates were MSSA but 30.2% of CoNS isolates were mecA-positive and carried SCCmec types III, IV, and V. The highest non-beta-lactam resistance (frequency/genes) in S. aureus and CoNS were: erythromycinclindamycin-inducible (25.9%/ermT, ermC) and mupirocin (30.1%/mupA), respectively. About 85% of S. aureus isolates carried relevant virulence genes. Eight clonal complexes (CCs) of MSSA were identified, of which CC398 was the predominant (33.3%). About 78% of the CC398 isolates harboured rep13-bound ermT gene, however, one carried a rep10-bound ermC gene. Only the ermT-positive MSSA-CC398 isolates were closely related (<50 SNPs) and carried the φ Sa3. Diverse MDR-S. epidermidis isolates were identified which included the lineages ST59 and ST210. The high rate of toxigenic S. aureus and of MSSA-CC398 subclade highlight the ability of HI to carry and transmit virulent isolates. Moreover, the high frequency of MDR-CoNS, often linked with SCCmec, needs to be monitored for their potential human health implications.

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in *Staphylococcus* spp. is one of the top-priority health challenges that require genetic characterization to understand its emergence and dissemination pattern. The *Staphylococcus* genus is classified into coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) and coagulase-positive staphylococci (CoPS), of which the former is generally considered to be less pathogenic than the latter (Heilmann et al., 2019).

Staphylococcus aureus (the main representative of the CoPS), as well

as many CoNS, are major components of the nasal and skin microbiota of humans (Parlet et al., 2019). However, some CoNS species have been shown to influence the colonization rate of *S. aureus* (Parlet et al., 2019). Certain *S. aureus* lineages exhibit major impacts on public health and in all ecological niches (Howden et al., 2023). In humans, the primary reservoir for staphylococci is the nasal cavity (Raineri et al., 2022). Clinically, *S. aureus* is the most important and has been identified in 30–50% of healthy adults (Abdullahi et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2015). Interestingly, an estimated 30% of bacteremia cases were associated to previous nasal *S. aureus* carriage (Tong et al., 2015). Colonization

* Corresponding author at: Area of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of La Rioja, 26006 Logroño, Spain. *E-mail address:* carmen.torres@unirioja.es (C. Torres).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2023.105529

Received 14 November 2023; Accepted 19 November 2023

Available online 25 November 2023

1567-1348/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

proceeds to infection in some cases (Raineri et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2015).

The virulence potential, MDR trait and host adaptation systems in *S. aureus* are of crucial concern in human health as persistent carriers could be potential vectors of transmission to others, including animals (Raineri et al., 2022; Monecke et al., 2021). Moreover, community-associated *S. aureus* often carries the bi-component *luk-F/S-PV* gene that encodes a cytolytic and toxic substance (Panton-Valentine Leucocidin) that is associated with severe cases (Monecke et al., 2021). Moreover, *S. aureus* causes a series of infectious processes through its ability to elaborate virulence determinants such as toxins, enzymes, haemolysins and other cytolysins (Raineri et al., 2022; Monecke et al., 2021).

The genetic lineage S. aureus CC398 has attracted special attention in the last 15 years. Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA)-CC398 is considered a livestock-associated (LA) subclade and is generallly linked to the pig industry and also to humans with professional contact with pigs (Matuszewska et al., 2022). Most isolates of the MRSA-CC398 subclade lack the scn gene, a biomarker of the Immune Evasion Cluster (IEC), that seems to be related to the human adaptation process (Price et al., 2012). Another CC398 subclade, that is MSSA-CC398, generally has the spa-type t571 and seems to be livestockindependent, more virulent for humans, and often carries the scn gene (Tegegne et al., 2022). This subclade has been reported in different countries causing bloodstream human infections (Mama et al., 2021; Bouiller et al., 2016; Vandendriessche et al., 2011) and other types of infections (Arfaoui et al., 2022). Moreover, it has also been sporadically reported as commensal of humans and animals (Gómez-Sanz et al., 2013; Lozano et al., 2011). This MSSA-CC398 subclade is now presenting great epidemiological relevance, so studies that focus on their genomic characteristics are needed.

The CoNS have increasingly gained relevance due to their roles in opportunistic, hospital-associated, prosthetic joint infections and sepsis (Michels et al., 2021). Moreover, some CoNS have been shown to carry the SCCmec mobile elements that could be transferred to certain methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* to become methicillin-resistant (MR) (Rossi et al., 2020). Epidemiologically, the presence of SCCmec types I, II, and III are generally linked to hospital-associated MRSA while those that carry SCCmec types IV or V are generally thought to be community-associated (Moosavian et al., 2017). Moreover, some CoNS could carry critical and transferable linezolid resistance genes.

Nasal *S. aureus* in supposedly healthy humans can serve as a good indicator of colonization and of potential risk of infection when they carry important virulence genes. Moreover, within-host (more than one staphylococcal species per host) and intra-species AMR diversity (same staphylococcal species with more than one AMR profile) could provide better information about the dynamics of AMR levels, persistence and potential inter-host interactions and transmission of staphylococci in humans. The concept of the multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index has previously been described as an effective and reliable epidemiological marker to track the source of bacteria isolates (Badawy et al., 2022). An isolate with a MAR index of greater than two (>2) could indicate areas with high antibiotic pressure (Badawy et al., 2022).

This study, therefore, evaluated and characterized the diversity of species and the resistome of nasal staphylococci with a focus on virulent *S. aureus* isolates and those of the MSSA-CC398 subclade from healthy adults in La Rioja, Northern Spain.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area, participants, samples processing and staphylococci recovery

Fifty-seven healthy individuals were enrolled in this study in the La Rioja region (Northern Spain) between July 2022 to March 2023, and their nasal samples were collected into Amies transport media and processed for staphylococci recovery. The inclusion criteria for participation in this study were individuals who had not used antibiotics, visited a hospital, had no contact with animals, and had no professional contact with health institutions and/or microbiology laboratories in the last six months.

The protocols used for nasal swab sample processing, the type of bacteriological media used for bacterial isolation and how the bacteria were identified were as follows: the nasal samples were enriched in 6.5% NaCl supplemented brain heart infusion broth and incubated at 37 $^\circ$ C for 24 h. Thereafter, the enriched nasal samples were diluted in sterile Milli-Q water and carefully dispensed onto blood agar (BA), mannitol salt agar (MSA), oxacillin screening agar base (ORSAB), and CHROMagar™ LIN (CHROMagar[™] LIN, Paris, France). The inoculated agar plates were then incubated for bacterial recovery at 37 °C for 24 h (BA and MSA) and 48 h (for ORSAB and LIN). After these incubations, between 4 and 12 different colonies per sample were randomly selected based on their morphology, colour, size and haemolysis that are compatible with staphylococci. All the selected colonies were passaged onto BHI agar at 37 °C for 24 h to obtain pure colonies. Pure colonies were identified by the use of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS; Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) using the standard extraction protocol recommended by the manufacturer.

2.2. Antimicrobial resistance profile and genetic characterization of the non-repetitive staphylococci isolates

The susceptibility of all the identified staphylococci to 12 antimicrobial agents was determined by disc diffusion method using the following antibiotic discs (μ g/disc): penicillin (1 unit for *S. aureus* and 10 units for CoNS), cefoxitin (30), mupirocin (200), gentamicin (10), tobramycin (10), clindamycin (2), erythromycin (15), ciprofloxacin (5), chloramphenicol (30), tetracycline (30), linezolid (10), and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25 + 23.75). The categorization of results into resistant or susceptible was based on the breakpoints and recommendations of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST, 2022). Once the antimicrobial resistance phenotype of all staphylococci was determined, non-repetitive isolates were selected (those of different species in each sample, or more than one if they presented different AMR phenotypes) for further characterization. The AMR genes, genetic lineages and virulence factors were determined in non-repetitive staphylococci.

The genes that mediate resistance to the following class of antimicrobial agents were investigated by PCRs: beta-lactams (*blaZ, mecA* and *mecC*), macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramins-b (*mphC, msrA, ermA, ermB, ermC, erm43, ermT, lnuA, lnuB* and *vgaA*), aminoglycosides (*ant4'* and *aac6'-aph2''*), trimethoprim (*dfrA, dfrD, dfrG* and *dfrK*), chloramphenicol (*fexA, fexB, catA, cat*_{pC194}, *cat*_{pC221} and *cat*_{pC223}), mupirocin (*mupA*) and tetracycline (*tet*(K), *tet*(L) and *tet*(M)). Moreover, the chloramphenicol-resistant isolates were screened for the presence of *cfr, cfrB, cfrD, poxtA* and *optrA* genes. Furthermore, to study resistance to quinolones, amino acid changes in genes encoding the GyrA and *GrlA* proteins in *S. aureus* were analyzed. For this, the *gyrA* and *grlA* genes were amplified and sequenced, and the sequences were compared with the reference sequences of *S. aureus* NCTC 8325 (GenBank accession number: CP000253).

Multi-drug resistance (MDR) was defined as isolates with resistance to \geq 3 classes of the antimicrobial agents tested (Magiorakos et al., 2012), while the MAR (multiple antibiotic resistance) index was defined as the number of antibiotics to which an isolate was resistant divided by the total number of antibiotics tested (Badawy et al., 2022).

The *spa* types of all the *S. aureus* isolates were determined by PCR/ sequencing. The Ridom Staph-Type software (Ridom GmbH, Münster, Germany) was used to analyse the sequences. Subsequently, the CCs of the *S. aureus* isolates were assigned according to their *spa* types. A specific PCR for the CC398 lineage was performed targeting the *sau1-hsdS1* gene (Stegger et al., 2011). Moreover, multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of *S. aureus* isolates with *spa* types that could not be assigned to definitive CCs was performed.

For the MDR-*S. epidermidis* isolates, the seven MLST genes (*acrC, aroE, gtr, pyrR, mutS, tpi* and *yqiL*) were amplified, and the sequence type (ST) was assigned according to the MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/). Furthermore, the SCC*mec* types of all the non-repetitive methicillin-resistant (MR)-CoNS were determined by multiplex PCRs as previously described (Kondo et al., 2007).

The *tst, luk-F/S-*PV, *eta, etb, etd, sea, seb, sec, sed,* and *see* genes that encode for the toxic shock syndrome, Panton-Valentine leucocidin, exfoliative toxins, and enterotoxins, respectively, were analyzed by PCR, and all *S. aureus* isolates positive for any of these genes were confirmed by sanger sequencing of the PCR amplicons. Furthermore, the presence of the *scn, chp, sak, sea,* and *sep* genes were investigated to enable the classification of the immune evasion cluster (IEC) types into A–G (van Wamel et al., 2006). Primers and conditions of PCRs for the AMR genes tested are included in Supplementary Table S1. Positive controls were included in all the PCR protocols.

2.3. Whole genome sequencing

The whole genomes of seven MSSA-CC398 selected isolates (one per healthy carrier) were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq platform (San Diego, CA, USA). The genomic DNA extraction and quantification, sequence libraries preparations and whole genome sequencing were carried out following the established protocols previously described (Abdullahi et al., 2023).

All the genomes of the MSSA CC398 isolates obtained in this study were de novo assembled using SPAdes (v.3.15.5), performed using in silico typing with the settings of a minimum 80% identity and 90% coverage. First, genomes of the seven MSSA CC398 isolates in this study were mapped against 47 *S. aureus* CC398 (BioProject number: PRJNA763220) and four MSSA-CC398 isolates from dog owners (Gen-Bank accession numbers: ERX9972359, ERX9972358, ERX9972360, ERX9972361) and the NASP pipeline (v.1.0.0) was used to detect their core-genome single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Sahl et al., 2016) and presented on a phylogenetic tree. SNPs calling done using the GATK (v.4.2.2) and position with <90% unambiguous variant calls and < 10 depth were excluded. The IQ-TREE (v.2.1.2) software was used to construct the phylogenetic trees using ModelFinder with 100 bootstraps. Furthermore, graphical data obtained were added to the phylogenies with iTOL (v.6.6) (Letunic and Bork, 2021).

2.4. Genome annotation, typing and in-silico analysis

The genetic lineage (sequence type) of the MSSA-CC398 isolates was reconfirmed with MLST (v.2.16) (Jolley et al., 2018). The AMR genes were identified using ABRicate v.0.9.0 and the various bioinformatic databases such as CARD (https://card.mcmaster.ca/) and ResFinder from the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) (http://www.genomi cepidemiology.org/services/). Furthermore, virulence factors and plasmid replicons were detected using VirulenceFinder and Plasmid-Finder from the CGE database while VFDB (http://www.mgc.ac.cn/cgibin/VFs/v5/main.cgi) was used to further search for other virulence genes. The EasyFig Software was used to generate and illustrate the genetic environment of the ermT gene in comparison with nine ermTpositive MSSA-CC398 isolates (GenBank accession numbers: LNJ00000000, SRR15903552, SRR15903539, SRR15903562, SRR15903551, SRR15903559, SRR15903541. SRR15903563, SRR15903567). Moreover, the genetic environment of the *ermC* gene in one of our MSSA CC398 isolates was illustrated in comparison with two ermC-positive MSSA-CC398 isolates (GenBank accession numbers: ERR3306808, SRR445274).

2.5. Genome availability

All genome reads of the MSSA CC398 isolates generated from this study have been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under study accession number PRJEB63134.

2.6. Data management and statistics

Data obtained from this study reported frequencies and have been presented on tables and charts. Univariate logistic regression was carried out to compute the odd ratio (OR) at a 95% confidence interval (95%CI) between the co-carriage rate of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* with significant association at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Frequencies and species diversity of nasal staphylococci from healthy humans

Staphylococci were detected in 56 of the 57 healthy humans tested (98.2%), of which a total of 214 isolates were recovered (up to eight isolates of similar or different species per sample). The distribution of *Staphylococcus* species is presented in Supplementary Table S2. After species identification and AMR phenotype determination, 143 non-repetitive isolates (27 *S. aureus* and 116 CoNS) were selected and further characterized in this study. These 143 isolates corresponded to one isolate of each species per sample or more than one if they presented different AMR phenotypes (Supplementary Table S2).

The 27 non-repetitive *S. aureus* isolates were identified from 21 (36.8%) healthy individuals. Moreover, the 116 non-repetitive CoNS isolates identified from 56 healthy individuals were of six species: *S. epidermidis* (87.7%), *S. hominis* (7%), *S. haemolyticus* (5.3%), *S. warneri* (5.3%), *S. lugdunensis* (1.8%), and *S. pasteuri* (1.8%) (Supplementary Table S2).

3.2. Antimicrobial resistance determinants of the non-repetitive nasal staphylococci from healthy humans

Of the 27 non-repetitive *S. aureus* isolates, no methicillin resistance was detected, but 14.8% presented an MDR phenotype. The following AMR rates were found (percentage of isolates/ genes or mutations detected): penicillin (81.5/*blaZ*), erythromycin-clindamycin-inducible (25.9/*ermC, ermT*), erythromycin (7.4/*msrA*), clindamycin (3.7/*lnuA*), ciprofloxacin (14.8/GrlA [p.S80F], GyrA [p.S84L]), tobramycin (7.4/*ant4*"), tetracycline (3.7/*tet(K*)), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (3.7/*dfrG*), and mupirocin (3.7/*mupA*) (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Of the 116 non-repetitive CoNS isolates, 12.9% were susceptible to all antibiotics tested, 28.4% were resistant to only one antibiotic and 30.2% presented an MDR phenotype. The following AMR rates were detected (percentage of isolates/genes detected): penicillin (66.4/*blaZ*), cefoxitin (26.7/*mecA*), erythromycin-clindamycin-constitutive (12.1/*ermA, ermB, ermC, erm43, vgaA, mphC*), erythromycin-clindamycin-inducible (4.3/*ermB, ermC, erm43*), erythromycin (24.1/*msrA, mphC*), clindamycin (6/*lnuA, vgaA*), ciprofloxacin (4.3), tobramycin (6/*ant4* "), gentamicin-tobramycin (7.8/*aac6'-aph2* "), tetracycline (18.9/*tet(K*)), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (14.7/ *dfrA, dfrG*), mupirocin (30.1/*mupA*), and chloramphenicol (0.9/*catA*) (Table 1). No linezolid resistance gene was detected in any of the staphylococci (Table 1). Among the 35 MRCoNS, SCC*mec* type IV and V elements were the predominant (28.6% each), then SCC*mec* type III (8.5%), while all others were non-typeable (34.1%) (Supplementary Fig. S2).

The MAR indices of most *S. aureus* isolates were less than two (70.4%) (range: 0.08–0.5). In addition, the MAR index of the CoNS isolates ranged from 0 to 0.67 (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 1

Number of isolates of the different coagulase-negative staphylococci species from healthy humans and those with distinct AMR and MDR phenotype.

| CoNS species | Non-repetitive CoNS isolates ^a | | | | % of antimicrobial resistance/ genes detected | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Total number | Number isolates susceptible to al antibiotics tester (%) ^b | Number isolates ll resistant to only d one antibiotic (%) ^c | Number isolates with MDR phenotype (%) ^d | PEN | FOX | ERY | CLI | ERY-CLI ^{cons} | ERY-CLI ^{ind} | TET | ТОВ | GEN-TOB | SXT | CIP | CLO | MUP |
| S. epidermidis | 103 | 12 (11.8) | 27 (26.5) | 31 (30.1) | 77.5/ blaZ | 24.3// mecA | 22.3/msrA, mphC | 4.8/lnuA, vgaA | 12.7/ermA, ermB, ermC, erm43, vgaA, mphC | 4.9/ermB, ermC, erm43 | 20.9/tet (K) | 5.8/ ant4' | 6.9/aac6'- aph2, ant4' | 14.7/dfrA, dfrG | 4.9 | 0.9/ cat | 40.2/ mupA |
| S. haemolyticus | 3 | 0 | 1 (33.3) | 2 (66.7) | 66.7/ blaZ | 66.7/ mecA | 66.7/msrA, mphC | 33.3/vgaA | 33.3/ermC | S | 33.3/tet (K) | 33.3/ ant4' | 33.3/aac6'- aph2" | 33.3/dfrG | S | S | 33.3/ mupA |
| S. hominis | 4 | 0 | 2 (50) | 2 (50) | 75/ blaZ | 50/mecA | 25/msrA, mphC | S | S | S | S | S | 25/aac6'- aph2, ant4' | 25/dfrA | S | S | 25/ тирА |
| S. warneri | 4 | 2 (50) | 2 (50) | 0 | 25/blaZ | 25/mecA | S | 25/vgaA | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S. lugdunensis | 1 | 0 | 1 (100) | 0 | 100/ blaZ | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S. pasteuri Total isolates (%) | 1 116 | 1 (100) 15 (12.9) | 0 33 (28.4) | 0 35 (30.2) | S 77 (66.4) | S 31 (26.7) | S 28 (24.1) | S 7 (6) | S 14 (12.1) | S 5 (4.3) | S 22 (18.9) | S) 7 (6) | S 9 (7.8) | S 17 (14.7) | S 5 (4.3) | S 1 (0.9) | S 43 (30.1) |

4

S: Susceptible.

CHL: chloramphenicol; CLI: clindamycin; CIP: ciprofloxacin; ERY: erythromycin; FOX: cefoxitin; GEN: gentamicin; MUP: mupirocin; PEN: penicillin; SXT: sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; TET: tetracycline, TOB: tobramycin.

^a Non-repetitive isolates: one isolate of each species/sample or more than one if they presented different AMR phenotypes.

^b MAR index = 0.

^c MAR index = 0.1.

^d MDR: resistance to at least 3 families of antibiotics.

Table 2

Molecular typing, AMR and virulence determinant of the 27 S. aureus isolates from healthy humans.

| H4X6379f571CC398ERY ¹ -CLI ^{ind1} 0.17 $ermT^2$ CNegativeH5X64171571CC398ERY ² -CLI ^{ind2} 0.17 $ermT^2$ CsecH7X6407t4390*CC121/ST51PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25bla2 ¹ , msrA ¹ , mupA ¹ Eeta, etb, sedH8X6389t012CC30PEN ² 0.08bla2 ² Esec, sedK6390t1390*CC121/ST51PEN ¹ -CLP ¹ 0.17bla2 ¹ , GrIA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p.S84L) ¹ Eeta, etb, sec, sed, seeH9X6396t355CC152PEN ³ 0.08bla2 ³ Dtlu ^k -F/S-PV, sea, sed, seeH14X6610t571CC398PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.25bla2 ⁴ , ermT ⁴ CNegativeH15X6618t571CC398PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.25bla2 ⁴ , ermT ⁴ Gsea, sec, sed, sepH17X6625t091CC7PEN ⁴ 0.08bla2 ⁴ Csee, sepH18X6597t7521*CC15/ST15PEN ⁴ 0.08bla2 ³ Asea, sea, sea, sea, sea, seaH22X6628t13200*CC5/ST7476PEN ¹ -CL1 ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ 0.5bla2 ³ , imtA ¹ , imtA ¹ , drlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA Bsec, seeH22X6714t1994CC159PEN ¹ -TOB ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25bla2 ³ , imtA ¹ , imtA ¹ , drlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA Bsec, seeK6713t1451CC398PEN ¹ -ERY ² -CLI ^{ind1} 0.17ermT ³ <t< th=""><th>Host ID No</th><th>Isolate ID number</th><th>spa type</th><th>^aCC/ST</th><th>AMR phenotypes</th><th>MAR index</th><th>AMR genes or mutations detected</th><th>IEC type</th><th>Virulence genes detected</th></t<> | Host ID No | Isolate ID number | spa type | ^a CC/ST | AMR phenotypes | MAR index | AMR genes or mutations detected | IEC type | Virulence genes detected |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|---|--------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|
| H4 X63/9 IS7 CC398 ERY -CL1 ^{max} 0.17 erm1 ² C Negative H5 X6417 IS71 CC398 ERY -CL1 ^{max} 0.17 erm1 ² C sec H7 X6407 I4390 CC121/ST51 PEN ¹ -ERY ^{1-MUP¹ 0.25 bla2¹, msrA¹, mupA¹ E eta, etb, sed H8 X6390 Id390 CC121/ST51 PEN¹-CIP¹ 0.17 bla2², GrIA (p.S80F)¹, GyrA (p.S84L)¹ E sec, sed, sec, sed, sec, sed, sec H9 X6396 Id390 CC121/ST51 PEN¹-CIP¹ 0.17 bla2², GrIA (p.S80F)¹, GyrA (p.S84L)¹ E eta, etb, sec, sed, sec H4 X6610 IS71 CC398 PEN⁴-EIY⁴-CL1^{ind4} 0.25 bla2⁴, erm1⁴ C Negative H15 X6618 IS71 CC398 PEN⁴-EIY²-CL1^{ind4} 0.25 bla2⁴, erm1⁴ G sec, sec sec H17 X6621 1091 C7 PEN⁴-EIY²-CL1^{ind4} 0.25 bla2⁴, erm1⁴ G sec, sec sec H18 X6597 17521^{is} CI5/ST} | | | | | meral or sindl | | ² | -51 | |
| H5 X641/ 15/1 CG 398 ERY*-LLI ^{max} 0.1/ em1 ² CG sec H7 X6407 14300° CC121/ST51 PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 blaZ ³ , msrA ¹ , mupA ¹ E ett, etb, sed H8 X6389 1012 CC30 PEN ² -ERY ¹ -CD ¹ 0.17 blaZ ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p.S84L) ¹ E ett, etb, sed, see H9 X6396 1355 CC121/ST51 PEN ¹ -CD ¹ 0.17 blaZ ³ GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p.S84L) ¹ E etta, etb, sed, see H14 X6610 1571 CC398 PEN ⁴ 0.08 blaZ ⁴ C Negative H15 X6618 1571 CC398 PEN ⁴ 0.25 blaZ ⁴ C Negative H16 X6625 1091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.26 blaZ ⁴ C sec, sed, see H18 X6597 17521* CL5/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 blaZ ³ A sec, sed, see H22 X6628 1091 CC7 PEN ³ -CL1 ¹ TET ¹ -SXT ¹ TOB ¹ 0.5 blaZ ³ , msrA ² Se | H4 | X6379 | t571 | CC398 | ERY ² -CLI ^{max} | 0.17 | erm1 ² | С | Negative |
| H7 X6407 (4390° CC121/ST51 PEN ¹ -RR ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 bla2 ⁷ , mupA ¹ E eta, etb, sed H8 X6389 t012 CC30 PEN ² 0.08 bla2 ⁷ E sec, sed H9 X6390 t4390° CC121/ST51 PEN ¹ -CIP ¹ 0.17 bla2 ⁷ , grA (p.S84L) ¹ E eta, etb, sec, sed, see H9 X6396 t355 CC152 PEN ³ 0.08 bla2 ⁷ D luk-F/S-PV, sea, sed, see H14 X6610 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -CLI ^{1nd4} 0.25 bla2 ⁷ , ermT ⁴ C Negative H15 X6618 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -ERV ⁴ -CLI ^{1nd4} 0.25 bla2 ⁷ , ermT ⁴ C Negative H17 X6621 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ -ERV ² 0.17 bla2 ⁷ , msrA ² G sec, sec K6597 t7521 ^s CC15/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 bla2 ⁷ , insrA ² , matA ¹ , dtGlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p.S8 | H5 | X6417 | t571 | CC398 | ERY ² -CLI ^{muz} | 0.17 | erm1 ² | С | sec |
| H8 X6389 1012 CC30 PEN ^A 0.08 bla2 ^A E sec, sed K6390 t4390* CC121/ST51 PEN ^A 0.08 bla2 ^A Grl A (p,S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p,S84L) ¹ E eta, etb, sec, sed, see H9 X6396 t355 CC121/ST51 PEN ^A 0.08 bla2 ^A Grl A (p,S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p,S84L) ¹ E eta, etb, sec, sed see H14 X6610 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -(CL1 ^{ind4}) 0.25 bla2 ^A , ermT ⁴ C Negative H15 X6618 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -(LL1 ^{ind4}) 0.25 bla2 ^A , ermT ⁴ G sea, sec, sed, sep K6525 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 bla2 ^A C sec, sec H18 X6589 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 bla2 ^A Sec sec, sec H22 X6628 t320* CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CL1 ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ - 0.5 bla2 ^A , int4 ^A , int(K) ^A , drG ^A , ant4 ^A , GrlA (p,S80F) ¹ , GyrA B sec, see H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -CL1 ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ - </td <td>H7</td> <td>X6407</td> <td>t4390*</td> <td>CC121/ST51</td> <td>PEN¹-ERY¹-MUP¹</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>$blaZ^1$, msrA¹, mupA¹</td> <td>E</td> <td>eta, etb, sed</td> | H7 | X6407 | t4390* | CC121/ST51 | PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -MUP ¹ | 0.25 | $blaZ^1$, msrA ¹ , mupA ¹ | E | eta, etb, sed |
| X6390t4390°CC121/ST51PEN'-CP'0.17 $bla2^2$, GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p.S84L) ¹ E eta, etb, sec, sed, see H9X6390t35CC12PEN ¹ -CP ¹ 0.17 $bla2^3$ D $luk-F/S-PV, sea, sed, see$ H14X6610t571CC398PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.08 $bla2^4$ CNegativeH15X6618t571CC398PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.25 $bla2^4$, ermT ⁴ CNegativeK6225t091CC7PEN ² -ERY ² 0.17 $bla2^2$, msrA ² Gsea, sec, sed, sepK6255t091CC7PEN ² -ERY ² 0.17 $bla2^2$, msrA ² Gsea, sec, sed, sepH18X6597t7521*CC15/ST15PEN ⁴ 0.08 $bla2^4$ Csec, secH19X6589t091CC7PEN ³ 0.08 $bla2^3$ msrA ² Asea, sec, seeH22X6625t091CC7PEN ³ 0.08 $bla2^3$ msrA ² Asea, sec, seeH23X6714t1994CC159PEN ¹ -CD1 ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ 0.5 $bla2^3$, ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ Eeta, etb, sed, seeK6713t1451CC398PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -CLI ^{ind1} 0.25 $bla2^3$, art4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ Eeta, etb, sed, seeK6715t1077*CC121/MUP0.08muqA ¹ Beta, etb, sed, seeK6715t1451CC398PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} 0.25 $bla2^3$, ermT ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C <t< td=""><td>H8</td><td>X6389</td><td>t012</td><td>CC30</td><td>PEN²</td><td>0.08</td><td>blaZ²</td><td>E</td><td>sec, sed</td></t<> | H8 | X6389 | t012 | CC30 | PEN ² | 0.08 | blaZ ² | E | sec, sed |
| H9 X6396 t355 CC152 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ D luk -E/S-PV, sea, sed, see H14 X6610 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$ C Negative H15 X6618 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CL1 ^{ind4} 0.25 $blaZ^4$, ermT ⁴ C Negative H17 X6621 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$, ermT ⁴ G sea, sea, sea, sea, sep X6525 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$, msrA ² G sea, sea, sea, sea H18 X6597 t7521* CC15/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ A sea, sea, sea, sea H22 X6628 t320° CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CL1 ¹⁻ TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ - 0.5 $blaZ^1$, ant4 ¹ , mapA ¹ A sea, sea, sea, sea H25 X6714 t1945 CC398 PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -CL1 ^{ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, ant4 ¹ , mapA ¹ E eta, eth, sed, see X6715 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -ERY ² -CL1 ^{ind1} 0.17 ermT ⁴ C Negative | | X6390 | t4390* | CC121/ST51 | PEN ¹ -CIP ¹ | 0.17 | $blaZ^{1}$, GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA (p.S84L) ¹ | E | eta, etb, sec, sed, see |
| see see H14 X6610 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CL1 ^{ind4} 0.08 blaZ ⁴ C Negative H15 X6618 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CL1 ^{ind4} 0.25 blaZ ⁴ C Negative H17 X6621 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CL1 ^{ind4} 0.08 blaZ ⁴ C sea, sec, sed, sep X6625 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ -ERY ² 0.17 blaZ ⁴ Sea Sea sea, sec, sed, sep H18 X6597 t7521 [*] C15/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 blaZ ⁴ Sea sea, sea, sea, sea sea H22 X6528 t3200 [*] CC5/ST7470 PEN ¹ -CL1 ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ Sea blaZ ¹ , muA ¹ , tet(K) ¹ , dfrG ¹ , ant4 ⁻¹ , GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA B sec, sea H23 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -TCL ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 blaZ ¹ , ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, etb, sed, sea K6713 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -TCL ¹ -M1 ¹ 0.25 blaZ ³ , ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, etb, sed, sea K3755 | H9 | X6396 | t355 | CC152 | PEN ³ | 0.08 | $blaZ^3$ | D | luk-F/S-PV, sea, sed, |
| H14X6610t571CC398PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$ $blaZ^4$ CNegativeH15X6618t571CC398PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.25 $blaZ^4$ mT^4 CNegativeH17X6621t091CC7PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.08 $blaZ^2$, msA^2 Gsee, sec, sed, sec, sed, sepH18X6597t7521*CC15/ST15PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^2$, msA^2 Csec, secH19X6589t091CC7PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ Asea, sec, sed, secH22X6628t3200*CC5/ST7476PEN ¹ -CLI ^{1-TET¹-SXT^{1-TOB¹-0.5$blaZ^1$, lmA^1, $tet(K)^1$, $dfrG^1$, $ant4^{-1}$, GrlA (p.S80F)^1, GyrABsec, secH25X6714t1994CC159PEN¹⁻CLI^{1-TET¹-SXT^{1-TOB¹-0.5$blaZ^1$, $amtA^{1}$, mmA^1Csec, secK6713t1451CC398PEN¹⁻CLI^{1-Ind1}0.25$blaZ^1$, $amtA^{1}$, mmA^1Csec, secX6755t1077*CC121/MUP0.08mmA^1mmA¹Beta, etb, sed, secK7052t1451CC398PEN³-ERY³-CLI^{Ind3}0.25$blaZ^3$, emT^3, GrlA (p.S80F) ³, GyrA (p.S84L) ³CNegativeH33X7056t1998CC398PEN³-ERY³-CLI^{Ind3}0.25$blaZ^3$, emT^3, GrlA (p.S80F) ³, GyrA (p.S84L) ³CNegativeH41X8988t1451CC398PEN³-ERY³-CLI^{Ind3}0.25$blaZ^3$, emT^3, GrlA (p.S80F) ³, GyrA (p.S84L) ³<!--</sup-->}}}} | | | | | | | | | see |
| H15 X6618 t571 CC398 PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} 0.25 $blaZ^4$, $ermT^4$ C Negative H17 X6621 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$ G sea, sec, sed, sep X6625 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$, mrA^2 G sea, sec, sed, sep H18 X6597 t7521* CC15/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$ C sec H19 X6589 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$ C sec, sec H22 X6628 t320° CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CLI ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ - 0.5 $blaZ^1$, $lmuA^1$, $tet(K)^1$, $dfrG^1$, $ant4^{-1}$, GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA sec, sec H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -CLI ^{1nd1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, $ant4^{-1}$, $mupA^1$ E eta, etb, sed, see X6715 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 $ermT^4$ C Negative X6755 t1077* C121/ MUP 0.08 $mupA^1$ E eta, etb, sed, see X7056< | H14 | X6610 | t571 | CC398 | PEN ⁴ | 0.08 | $blaZ^4$ | С | Negative |
| H17 X6621 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 blaZ ⁴ G sea, sec, sed, sep K6625 t091 CC7 PEN ² -ERY ² 0.17 blaZ ² , msrA ² G see, sep H18 X6597 (7521 ²) CC15/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 blaZ ⁴ C sec H19 X6589 t091 CC7 PEN ⁴ 0.08 blaZ ³ C sec, sec H22 X6628 t030 CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CLI ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ 0.5 blaZ ³ nut ¹ , drld (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA B sea, sec, sec H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -CDI ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 blaZ ¹ , ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, etb, sed, sec K5713 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.25 blaZ ¹ , ermT ¹ C sec, sec K6715 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 ermT ¹ C sec, sec K7715 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind3} 0.25 blaZ ³ , ermT ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative | H15 | X6618 | t571 | CC398 | PEN ⁴ -ERY ⁴ -CLI ^{ind4} | 0.25 | $blaZ^4$, $ermT^4$ | С | Negative |
| X6625t091CC7PEN2-ERY20.17 $blaZ^2$, $msrA^2$ Gsee, sepH18X6597(7521*CC15/ST15PEN40.08 $blaZ^4$ CsecH19X6589t091CC7PEN30.08 $blaZ^3$ Asea, sed, seeH22X6628t3200*CC5/ST777PEN1-CL1-TET1-SXT1-TOB1-0.08 $blaZ^3$, $nutA^1$, $tet(K)^1$, $dfrG^1$, $antA^{-1}$, GrlA (p.S80F)^1, GyrA Bsec, seeH25X6714t1994CC159PEN1-TOB1-MUP10.25 $blaZ^1$, $antA^{-1}$, $mupA^1$ Eeta, eth, sed, seeK6713t1451CC398PEN1-ERY1-CL1 ^{Ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, $antA^{-1}$, $mupA^1$ Eeta, eth, sed, seeX6715t1451CC398PEN1-ERY1-CL1 ^{Ind1} 0.17 $ermT^1$ Csec, seeK6755t1077*CC121/MUP0.08 $mupA^1$ Beta, eth, sed, seeH33X7056t1998CC398PEN3-ERY3-CL1 ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^2$, $ermC^2$ CNegativeH41X8988t1451CC398PEN3-ERY3-CL1 ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$, GrlA (p.S80F) 3, GyrA (p.S84L) 3CNegativeH43X9053t6389CC121PEN3-ERY3-CL1 ^{ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$ GsepH44X9070t223CC22PEN30.08 $blaZ^3$ Fttt, sep, sed, see, sep | H17 | X6621 | t091 | CC7 | PEN ⁴ | 0.08 | $blaZ^4$ | G | sea, sec, sed, sep |
| H18 X6597 t7521* CC15/ST15 PEN ⁴ 0.08 $blaZ^4$ C sec H19 X6589 t091 CC7 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ A sea, sed, see H22 X6628 t320° CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CL1 ¹⁻ TET ¹ -SXT ¹⁻ TOB ¹ · 0.55 $blaZ^1$, huuA ¹ , tet(K) ¹ , dfrG ¹ , ant4 ⁻¹ , GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA B sec, see H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -TOB ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 $blaZ^1$, ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, eth, sed, see X6713 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -CL1 ^{Ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ C sec, see X6715 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -CL1 ^{Ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, eth, sed, see X6755 t1077* CC121/ MUP 0.08 mupA ¹ B eta, eth, sed, see X7052 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CL1 ^{ind3} -CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CL1 ^{ind3} 0.25 blaZ ³ , ermT ³ | | X6625 | t091 | CC7 | PEN ² -ERY ² | 0.17 | $blaZ^2$, $msrA^2$ | G | see, sep |
| H19 X6589 t091 CC7 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ A sea, sed, see H22 X6628 t3200* CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CLI ¹⁻ TET ¹ -SXT ¹⁻ TOB ¹⁻ 0.5 $blaZ^1$, $lnuA^1$, $tet(K)^1$, $dfrG^1$, $ant4^{-1}$, GrIA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA B sec, see H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -CLI ¹⁻ TET ¹ -SXT ¹⁻ TOB ¹⁻ 0.25 $blaZ^1$, $ant4^{-1}$, $mupA^1$ E eta, etb, sed, see X6713 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -ERY ¹⁻ CLI ^{Ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, $ant4^{-1}$, $mupA^1$ C sec, see X6715 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 $ermT^1$ C sec, see H33 X7056 t1097* CC121/ MUP 0.08 $mupA^1$ B eta, etb, sed, see H33 X7052 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind3} -CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$, GrIA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ² -ERY ² -CLI ^{Ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$ C Negative H44 X9053 t6389 CC121 | H18 | X6597 | t7521* | CC15/ST15 | PEN ⁴ | 0.08 | $blaZ^4$ | С | sec |
| H22 X6628 t3200* CC5/ST7476 PEN ¹ -CLI ¹ -TET ¹ -SXT ¹ -TOB ¹ -0.5 CIP ¹ blaZ ¹ , huuA ¹ , tet(K) ¹ , dfrG ¹ , ant4 ⁻¹ , GrlA (p.S80F) ¹ , GyrA B sec, see H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -TOB ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 blaZ ¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, etb, sed, see X6713 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.25 blaZ ¹ , ermT ¹ C sec, see X6715 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.25 blaZ ¹ , ermT ¹ C sec, see X6715 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 ermT ¹ C Negative X6755 t1077* CI21/ MUP 0.08 mupA ¹ B eta, etb, sed, see H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind2} 0.25 blaZ ³ , ermT ³ , GrIA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind3} 0.25 blaZ ³ , ermT ³ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CI211 PEN ³ 0.08 blaZ ³ E E | H19 | X6589 | t091 | CC7 | PEN ³ | 0.08 | $blaZ^3$ | Α | sea, sed, see |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | H22 | X6628 | t3200* | CC5/ST7476 | PEN1-CLI1-TET1- SXT1-TOB1- | 0.5 | $blaZ^1$, $lnuA^1$, $tet(K)^1$, $dfrG^1$, $ant4^{,1}$, $GrlA$ (p.S80F) ¹ , $GyrA$ | В | sec, see |
| H25 X6714 t1994 CC159 PEN ¹ -TOB ¹ -MUP ¹ 0.25 $blaZ^1$, ant4 ⁻¹ , mupA ¹ E eta, etb, sed, see X6713 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, ermT ¹ C sec, see X6715 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 ermT ¹ C Negative X6755 t1077* CC121/ MUP 0.08 mupA ¹ B eta, etb, sed, see H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} -CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ G Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tz, se, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t23 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tz, see, see, sep | | | | | CIP^1 | | (p.S84L) ¹ | | |
| X6713 t1451 CC398 PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.25 $blaZ^1$, $ermT^1$ C sec, see X6715 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 $ermT^1$ C Negative X6755 t1077* CC121/ MUP 0.08 $mupA^1$ B eta , etb , sed , see H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$, GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$, GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ $ermT^3$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F ttrace, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tra | H25 | X6714 | t1994 | CC159 | PEN ¹ -TOB ¹ -MUP ¹ | 0.25 | $blaZ^1$, ant4 ^{'1} , mupA ¹ | Е | eta, etb, sed, see |
| X6715 t1451 CC398 ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} 0.17 $ermT^1$ C Negative X6755 t1077* CC121/ MUP 0.08 $mupA^1$ B eta, etb, sed, see H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind3} CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3, ermT^3, GrlA (p.S80F)^3, GyrA (p.S84L)^3$ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^3, ermT^3$ G Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{Ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3, ermT^3$ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^1$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst see, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst see, sed see sen | | X6713 | t1451 | CC398 | PEN ¹ -ERY ¹ -CLI ^{Ind1} | 0.25 | $blaZ^1$, $ermT^1$ | С | sec, see |
| X6755 t1077* CC121/ MUP 0.08 $mupA^1$ B e^{Ta} etb, sed, see H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLl ^{ind3} -CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$, GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative H31 X7052 t1451 CC398 PEN ² -ERY ² -CLl ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^2$, $ermC^2$ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLl ^{ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ $ermT^3$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, see, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, see, sed, see, sep | | X6715 | t1451 | CC398 | ERY ¹ -CLI Ind1 | 0.17 | $ermT^{1}$ | С | Negative |
| ST4244 H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} ·CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative X7052 t1451 CC398 PEN ² -ERY ² -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^2$, ermC ² C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep | | X6755 | t1077* | CC121/ | MUP | 0.08 | mupA ¹ | В | eta. etb. sed. see |
| H33 X7056 t1998 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} -CIP ³ 0.33 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ , GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ C Negative X7052 t1451 CC398 PEN ² -ERY ² -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^2$, ermC ² C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep | | | | ST4244 | | | | | |
| X7052 t1451 CC398 PEN ² -ERY ² -CLI ^{ind2} 0.25 $blaZ^2$, $ermC^2$ C Negative H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^1$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep | H33 | X7056 | t1998 | CC398 | PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3-} CIP ³ | 0.33 | $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$, GrlA (p.S80F) ³ , GyrA (p.S84L) ³ | С | Negative |
| H41 X8988 t1451 CC398 PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} 0.25 $blaZ^3$, ermT ³ C Negative H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^1$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep | | X7052 | t1451 | CC398 | PEN ² -ERY ² -CLI ^{ind2} | 0.25 | $blaZ^2$, $ermC^2$ | С | Negative |
| H43 X9053 t6389 CC121 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^1$ G sep H46 X9070 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ F tst, sec, sed, see, sep | H41 | X8988 | t1451 | CC398 | PEN ³ -ERY ³ -CLI ^{ind3} | 0.25 | $blaZ^3$, $ermT^3$ | С | Negative |
| H46X9070t223CC22PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ Fts, sec, sed, see, sepH47X9072t223CC22PEN ³ 0.08 $blaZ^3$ Ftst see, sed, see, sep | H43 | X9053 | t6389 | CC121 | PEN ¹ | 0.08 | $blaZ^1$ | G | sep |
| H47 X9072 t223 CC22 PEN^3 0.08 h/a^{23} E tet car cad can can | H46 | X9070 | t223 | CC22 | PEN ³ | 0.08 | $blaZ^3$ | F | tst, sec, sed, see, sep |
| 1177 12072 1200 0022 1210 0.00 0022 1 120 1 1 120 1 1 120 1 1 120 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | H47 | X9072 | t223 | CC22 | PEN ³ | 0.08 | $blaZ^3$ | F | tst, sec, sed, see, sep |
| H50 X9069 t223 CC22 PEN^3 0.08 $blaZ^3$ B eta , tst, sec, see | H50 | X9069 | t223 | CC22 | PEN ³ | 0.08 | $blaZ^3$ | В | eta, tst, sec, see |
| H51 X9071 t159 CC121 PEN ³ 0.08 blaZ ³ B sec | H51 | X9071 | t159 | CC121 | PEN ³ | 0.08 | $blaZ^3$ | В | sec |
| H55 X9062 t005 CC22 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^1$ F tst, sec, sep | H55 | X9062 | t005 | CC22 | PEN ¹ | 0.08 | $blaZ^1$ | F | tst, sec, sep |
| H63 X9080 t078 CC5 PEN ¹ 0.08 $blaZ^1$ B etd, sec, sed, see | H63 | X9080 | t078 | CC5 | PEN ¹ | 0.08 | $blaZ^1$ | В | etd, sec, sed, see |

Sequence Type: ST; * new *spa*-types; CLI: clindamycin; CIP: ciprofloxacin; ERY: erythromycin; PEN: penicillin; SXT: sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; TET: tetracycline; TOB: tobramycin; ERY-CLI^{ind}: erythromycin-clindamycin inducible.

^a CC assigned according to the spa-type, except for CC398 (determined by specific PCR). The ST of isolates with new spa types were determined by MLST.

3.3. Genetic lineages, virulence genes and IEC types of the S. aureus isolates

Seventeen different spa types were detected among the MSSA isolates that were assigned to 8 clonal complexes (CCs), of which CC398 (spa types t571, t1451 and t1998) was the predominant (33.3%), followed by CC121 (18.5%), then CC22 (14.8%) and CC7 (11.1%) (Table 2). Four new spa types were identified (t4390-ST51, t7521-ST15, t3200-ST7476 and t1077-ST4244). About 85% of the S. aureus isolates carried one or more of the luk-F/V-PV, tst, eta, etb, etd, sea, seb, sec, sed, see and sep virulence genes. All seven IEC types were identified among the MSSA isolates. Interestingly, the IEC-type C was the only one detected in the MSSA-CC398 isolates (Table 2). The predominant virulent factor (genes, frequencies, and genetic lineages) detected were those that encode: enterotoxin (sea to see and sep, 81.5%, all the CCs), followed by exfoliatin (eta, etb, etd, 22.2%, CC5, CC22, CC121, CC159), then toxic shock syndrome (tst, 11.1%, CC22). Moreover, one isolate carried the genes encoding the Panton-Valentine Leucocidin (luk-F/S-PV, 3.7%, CC152) (Table 2).

3.4. Genetic diversity of nasal staphylococci from healthy humans

Of the 21 *S. aureus* carriers, 4 (19%) of them harboured isolates with different AMR genes and/or genetic lineages in the same host (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S3).

Intra-host CoNS species diversity (more than one CoNS species in a sample) was detected in 12.5% of healthy human staphylococci carriers (Supplementary Fig. S3). Moreover, healthy human carriers of CoNS with similar species but with diverse AMR phenotypes/genotypes (2–6 AMR profile) were detected in 76.8% (Supplementary Fig. S3). For instance, in one of the *S. epidermidis* carriers (CG26), six different AMR

genotypes were identified, viz.: (i) *blaZ*, *mphC*, *msrA*, *dfrA*, *dfrG*, *ant4'*, *mupA*; (ii) *mphC*, *msrA*, *dfrA*; (iii) *blaZ*, *ermB*, *tet*(K), *dfrA*; (iv), *blaZ*, *mecA*, *msrA*, *mupA*; (v) *blaZ*, *mphC*, *msrA*, *dfrA*, *mupA*; and (vi) *blaZ*, *mecA*, *ermC*, *erm43*, *dfrA* (Table 3).

About 1/3 of healthy humans with MSSA-CC398 isolates had *S. epidermidis* nasal co-carriage, whereas 60% of those with non-CC398-MSSA isolates had *S. epidermidis* co-carriage (Supplementary Table S2). Collectively, 52.4% of those with *S. aureus* (both CC398 and non-CC398) had *S. epidermidis* co-carriage. However, there was no significant association between the presence of nasal MSSA, MSSA-CC398 and *S. epidermidis* co-carriage in healthy individuals (p > 0.05) (Supplementary Table S2).

3.5. Genetic environment and phylogenetic relatedness of the MSSA-CC398 carriers

About 78% of the CC398 isolates had the *ermT* gene that was in plasmid *rep*13 flanked by IS257, which were upstream of *cadR* and *cadD* genes (Fig. 1). However, one of the MSSA-CC398 isolates carried the *ermC* gene in plasmid *rep*10 (Fig. 2). Interestingly these markers were absent in one of the MSSA-CC398 isolates (X6610) (Table 2). Only the MSSA-CC398-*ermT*-positive isolates were highly related (<50 SNPs) and carried the φ *Sa3 prophage* (IEC type-C) (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S3). Analyses with other publicly available genomes revealed the relatedness of the isolates X6417 and X6379 with SRR15903565 in France (SNP < 200) (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S3).

3.6. Genetic characteristics of the 35 MDR-CoNS isolates from healthy human carriers

Of the 35 non-repetitive MDR-CoNS isolates (S. epidermidis, S.

Table 3

Antimicrobial resistance determinants identified in CoNS carrying multi-drug resistant (MDR) phenotype of healthy humans.

| Host ID number | Isolate/ code | *MDR phenotype | MAR index | AMR genes detected | SCCmec type | Genetic lineage ^a |
|----------------|------------------------|--|-----------|--|-------------|------------------------------|
| H1 | S. epidermidis/ X6411 | PEN-ERY-CLI-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, ermA, msrA, vgaA, mupA | _ | NT |
| H4 | S. epidermidis/ X6380 | PEN-FOX-ERY-CLI-MUP | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, msrA, mupA | IV | ST59 |
| H5 | S. epidermidis/ X6412 | PEN-FOX-ERY-SXT-MUP | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, msrA, dfrA, dfrG, mupA | IV | ST59 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6473 | PEN-FOX-ERY-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, mecA, msrA, mupA | IV | ST5 |
| H6 | S. epidermidis/ X6414 | PEN-FOX-CLI-SXT-CIP | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, dfrA, dfrG, vgaA | V | ST2 |
| H7 | S. epidermidis/ X6406 | PEN-FOX-ERY-CLI-SXT | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, erm43, vgaA | IV | ST22 |
| H13 | S. haemolyticus/ X6461 | PEN-FOX-CLI-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, mecA, vgaA, mupA | v | NT |
| H16 | S. epidermidis/ X6630 | PEN-ERY-CLI-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, ermC, vgaA, mupA | - | NT |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6827 | PEN-FOX-TET-TOB-GEN | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, tet(K), aac6'-aph2", ant4' | IV | ST87 |
| H19 | S. epidermidis/ X6590 | PEN-ERY-CLI- MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, ermC, lnuA, vgaA, mupA | - | NT |
| H21 | S. epidermidis/ X6602 | PEN-FOX-ERY-CLI-TET | 0.42 | mecA, erm43, vgaA, tet(K) | III | ST49 |
| H22 | S. epidermidis/ X6599 | PEN-ERY-CLI-SXT-TOB | 0.42 | blaZ, ermC, lnuA, dfrG, ant4' | - | ST210 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6601 | PEN-FOX-SXT-CIP-CHL | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, dfrA, dfrG, catA | V | ST210 |
| H24 | S. epidermidis/ X6752 | PEN-ERY-TET | 0.25 | blaZ, mphC, msrA, tet(K) | - | NT |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6711 | PEN-FOX-ERY-SXT-MUP | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, dfrA, mupA | IV | ST969 |
| H25 | S. hominis/ X6712 | PEN-FOX-ERY-MUP | 0.33 | mecA, mphC, msrA, mupA | IV | NT |
| H26 | S. epidermidis/ X6759 | PEN-ERY-CLI-TOB-CIP | 0.42 | blaZ, mphC, msrA, dfrA, dfrG, ant4', mupA | - | ST24 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6737 | ERY-SXT-CIP | 0.25 | mphC, msrA, dfrA | - | NT |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6738 | PEN-ERY-CLI ^{ind} -TET-SXT | 0.42 | blaZ, ermB, tet(K), dfrA | - | ST59 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6739 | PEN-FOX-ERY-MUP | 0.33 | mecA, mphC, msrA, mupA | V | ST59 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6740 | PEN-ERY-SXT-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, mphC, msrA, dfrA, mupA | - | - |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6741 | PEN-FOX-ERY-CLI ^{ind} -MUP | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, ermC, erm43, dfrA | V | ST5 |
| H29 | S. epidermidis/ X6837 | PEN-ERY-TOB-GEN | 0.33 | blaZ, msrA, aac6'-aph2" | - | NT |
| | S. epidermidis/ X6821 | PEN-ERY-MUP | 0.25 | blaZ, mphC, msrA, mupA | - | NT |
| H32 | S. epidermidis/ X6832 | PEN-ERY-TOB | 0.25 | blaZ, mphC, msrA, ant4' | - | NT |
| H33 | S. haemolyticus/ X7059 | PEN-FOX-ERY-TET-TOB-GEN-SXT | 0.58 | blaZ, mecA, msrA, mphC, tet(K), aac6'-aph2", ant4', dfrG | V | NT |
| H35 | S. epidermidis/ X8987 | PEN-FOX-TOB-GEN MUP | 0.42 | blaZ, mecA, aac6'-aph2", mupA | IV | ST8 |
| H39 | S. epidermidis/ X8993 | PEN-ERY-CLI-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, ermC, vgaA, mupA | - | NT |
| H46 | S. epidermidis/ X9066 | PEN-FOX-CLI-TET-SXT-TOB-GEN-CIP | 0.67 | blaZ, mecA, lnuA, tet(K), aac6'-aph2", ant4' | V | ST173 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X9097 | PEN-ERY-CLI-MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, ermC, vgaA, mupA | - | NT |
| | S. epidermidis/ X9092 | PEN-ERY-CLI ^{ind} -MUP | 0.33 | blaZ, ermC, vgaA, mupA | - | - |
| H47 | S. hominis/ X9052 | PEN-FOX- SXT-CIP | 0.33 | blaZ, mecA, dfrA | IV | - |
| H60 | S. epidermidis/ X9084 | PEN-ERY-CLI ^{ind} - TET-TOB-MUP | 0.5 | blaZ, erm43, aac6'-aph2", mupA | - | ST5 |
| | S. epidermidis/ X9085 | ERY-TET-TOB | 0.25 | msrA, tet(K), ant4' | - | NT |
| H64 | S. epidermidis/ X9086 | PEN-ERY-TOB-GEN-MUP | 0.42 | blaZ, vgaA, aac6'-aph2", mupA | - | ST22 |

CHL: chloramphenicol; CLI: clindamycin; CIP: ciprofloxacin; ERY: erythromycin; FOX: cefoxitin; GEN: gentamicin; MUP: mupirocin; PEN: penicillin; SXT: sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; TET: tetracycline, TOB: tobramycin.

^{*} MDR was defined by \geq 3 AMR phenotypes for different families of agents.

^a MLST of all MDR-MR-*S. epidermidis* with an MDR phenotype of \geq 3 classes were conducted. Moreover, the genetic lineages of methicillin susceptible-*S. epidermidis* with an MDR phenotype of \geq 4 classes of antibiotics were also determined.

haemolyticus and *S. hominis*) from 22 carriers (38.6%), the predominant genetic lineage among *S. epidermidis* was ST59 (12.1%), followed by ST5 and ST210 (6.1% each). Other genetic lineages detected but in low frequencies include ST2, ST8 ST24, ST49, ST87 and ST173 (Table 3). Of the 22 MDR-CoNS carriers, 8 (36.4%) had varied AMR genotypes and/or SCC*mec* types in the same or different *Staphylococcus* species (Table 3). AMR patterns and genetic lineages were detected in three households (11.1%) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Many studies all over the world have previously reported the nasal carriage of *S. aureus* in healthy individuals with or without occupational contact with animals, as systematically reviewed by Abdullahi et al. (2021). However, studies on non-*aureus* staphylococci of nasal origin are seldom reported. To our knowledge, none of these studies characterized the intra-host and intra-species genetic and AMR diversity of staphylococci in healthy humans.

The detection of *S. epidermidis* at a very high frequency in healthy humans is not surprising, as it is the major colonizer of the skin and nasal cavity of individuals. This finding is similar to a German study that also reported a very high recovery rate of *S. epidermidis* in healthy volunteers (Marincola et al., 2021). Usually, previous studies have focused mainly on CoNS in clinical samples or on humans who had contact with animals, likely aimed at determining the influence of healthcare facilities, sickness or contact with animals in the acquisition of antibiotic-resistant isolates (Asante et al., 2021). Moreover, a high nasal carriage rate of

S. aureus (36.8%) was detected in healthy individuals in this study. The frequency of nasal S. aureus in healthy individuals without any risk of prior colonization varies depending on the region and/or country. Lower carriage rates (19.1% and 22.2%) were reported in Spain by Falomir et al. (2014) and Lozano et al. (2011), respectively. The variation in the frequencies of S. aureus carriers could be due to difference in detection methods with or without enrichment before cultural plating for bacterial isolation. Moreover, other factors such as the study methodologies, differences in timescale (i.e., the year of study), specific biodata of the participants or level of previous antimicrobial use could be responsible for the variations (Abdullahi et al., 2021). Following these two Staphylococcus species, S. warneri and S. haemolyticus were also detected but in low frequency (6.8% and 5.3%, respectively). Concerning S. warneri, a closely similar frequency (11.1%) was previously reported in nasal samples of healthy children in Valencia (Spain) (Falomir et al., 2019).

No MRSA carriage was detected in our study, as this could be because our participants did not have any contact with high-risk locations (such as hospitals and livestock farms) or animals that could predispose to MRSA colonization in humans. The majority of our MSSA isolates were susceptible to most of the antibiotics tested except penicillin. Aside from penicillin, resistance to macrolides-lincomycin-streptogramins B (MLS_b) predominates and this could be explained by the wide use of MLS_b in the treatment of Gram-positive bacterial infections. The detection of some mupirocin-resistant MSSA isolates in this study could render the use of this nasal de-colonizer or topical treatment of superficial skin infections less useful (Nong et al., 2023).



Fig. 1. Genetic environment of the *ermT* gene of the five MSSA-CC398 strains in this study in comparison with nine publicly available *ermT*-positive-MSSA-CC398 genomes. Shown in the figure are *ermT* gene located in the same contigs and frames with their corresponding mobile genetic elements. The percentage of identity and scale bar legends are presented on the right side of the image.



Fig. 2. Genetic environment of the *ermC* gene of one MSSA-CC398 (X7052) in comparison with two publicly available *ermC*-positive-MSSA-CC398 genomes. Shown in the figure are *ermC* gene located in the same contigs and frames with their corresponding plasmid replicon. The percentage of identity and scale bar legends are presented on the right side of the image.





Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree based on core genome SNP analysis of seven MSSA-CC398 isolates from healthy humans with 51 publicly available MSSA-CC398 and MRSA-CC398 genomes.

Colors (in circles) of the hosts and ϕSa3 prophage, while those in starts are the AMR genes.

The highly diverse *spa* types among the MSSA isolates recovered, depict that most of the *S. aureus* carriers were sparsely related. However, it is important to remark on the predominance of the MSSA-CC398 and MSSA-CC121 lineages. All the CC398 isolates recovered in our study

were MSSA and *scn*-positive, predominantly of the *spa*-type t571. It has been previously reported that the MSSA-CC398 subclade is more virulent for humans and has been implicated in invasive human infections (Mama et al., 2021). Nevertheless, all the MSSA-CC398 isolates

recovered in our study were from healthy individuals who had no prior known risk factors of colonization or infection. In addition, most of them harboured the ermT gene which appears to be a very useful biomarker for MSSA-CC398 subclade. As previously indicated, it seems that two subclades of CC398 S. aureus isolates exist: the LA-MRSA-CC398 isolates (generally lacking the scn gene) and the livestock-independent MSSA-CC398 (mostly of spa-t571 and scn positive). According to the data from our study, it seems that this livestock-independent MSSA-CC398 (scnpositive) subclade could be a frequent colonizer of healthy individuals who had no contact with livestock or with healthcare facilities. Moreover, it is important to remark on the first detection of MSSA-CC398 with erythromycin-clindamycin-inducible resistance mediated by ermC in Spain. Consequently, we report for the first time the genetic environment with a focus on the associated plasmid replicons of ermT and ermC genes of the MSSA-CC398 isolates from healthy individuals. The genetic environment of the contigs that harbour the *ermT* gene was similar to those previously reported but in human and animal isolates (Tegegne et al., 2022; Price et al., 2012). The ermT gene was often associated with cadmium-resistant genes (cadR and cadD) and plasmid rep13, whereas these features were absent in the ermC genetic environment that was solely associated with plasmid rep10. Collectively, it appears that the *ermT*-positive MSSA-CC398 subclade is fast expanding across some European countries and more hosts. Whereas the ermCcarrying MSSA-CC398 is silently evolving even in healthy individuals. Although all our MSSA-CC398 isolates carry very few or no enterotoxin genes, more studies should be conducted in the future to unravel the mechanism(s) by which MSSA-CC398 acquires virulence and causes clinical infections in humans.

MSSA-CC121 has evolved into a hypervirulent etiological agent of staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome mediated by the exfoliative toxin genes (eta and etb). Conversely, etd-carrying S. aureus are primarily associated with mild cutaneous infections, particularly the MSSA-CC5 lineage has been implicated in paediatric skin abscesses many years ago (Bukowski et al., 2010; Yamasaki et al., 2006). The MSSA-CC152 lineage is often associated with PVL, especially in Africa and Europe causing community-acquired pneumonia (Lawal et al., 2022; Baig et al., 2020). Concerning the *tst*-carrying MSSA, all were of the CC22 lineage that was previously reported in Iran (Goudarzi et al., 2020). The detection of the high frequency of enterotoxin, re-emerging exfoliative and other virulent MSSA isolates underscores the potential roles of healthy humans in the transmission of S. aureus infection to vulnerable individuals such as children, the critically ill and immunosuppressed persons who can have personal contact with these carriers via kissing or from their nasal discharge (Raineri et al., 2022).

It is now recognized that CoNS could exchange AMR genes within the same group and even to S. aureus through horizontal gene transfer (Frosini et al., 2020). Our findings in this study showed that MR- and MDR-CoNS are carried in the nasal samples of healthy individuals in the community. Methicillin resistance trait in staphylococci is a major cause for concern especially when they are carried by the SCCmec mobile genetic elements. In our study, MRCoNS isolates were high (26.7%) while 30.2% presented the MDR phenotype. Specifically, 48.6% of the MDR-CoNS isolates were methicillin-resistant. This high MR-CoNS rate agrees with previous reports on CoNS in healthy individuals (He et al., 2020; Lebeaux et al., 2012). However, the frequency may vary considerably, as one study reported as high as 50% (Kateete et al., 2020). Relatively high erythromycin, mupirocin and tetracycline resistance rates were also found among the CoNS isolates. For the macrolide resistance, it was not surprising, as it is among the top classes of antibiotics that are frequently prescribed (Fan et al., 2020), whereas mupirocin has been used to decolonize nasal MRSA (Lord et al., 2022). Thus, resistance to these categories of antibiotics might be associated with high selective pressure due to their frequent use (Marincola et al., 2021).

Fortunately, linezolid resistance was not detected in any of the *Staphylococcus* isolates. However, very low (only one) resistance to

chloramphenicol was recorded. This strongly indicates that our study participants do have no contact with livestock as resistance to these antibiotics is relatively more common in livestock farmers than in healthy individuals who had no previous contact with animals.

MDR was high in our CoNS carriers, as even some isolates presented resistance against five or six classes of antibiotics (MAR index >5). Therefore, MDR-CoNS may limit the available chemotherapeutic options against staphylococcal and many other Gram-positive bacterial infections. Another important phenomenon to remark on is the high intra-host species and intra-species AMR diversity. To our knowledge, this is one of the few studies on healthy individuals to determine this phenomenon. Being heterogeneous, many S. epidermidis carriers had genetically diverse isolates with varied AMR genes and/or lineages. In the future, this may pose a difficulty in eradicating S. epidermidis when they cause infections such as prosthetic joint infections (Widerström et al., 2022). The detection of methicillin-resistant S. epidermids-ST59, a known community-associated lineage highlights its versatility and ease of transmission in the human population (Liu et al., 2022). Also, methicillin-resistant S. epidermidis-ST2, a well-established hospitalassociated genetic lineage (Ruiz-Ripa et al., 2021), was identified from one of our participants. It is important to remark on the detection of multi-resistant *S. haemolyticus* (MAR index = 0.58) that carried multiple genes that mediate MDR phenotype (*blaZ*, *mecA*, *msrA*, *mphC*, *tet*(*K*), aac6'-aph2", ant4', dfrG). In recent times, S. haemolyticus has been a major cause of neonatal sepsis (Westberg et al., 2022), consequently, this species deserves genome-base surveillance to track its relevance in clinical infectious diseases.

5. Conclusion

The high rate of toxigenic, diverse *S. aureus* and clonally related MSSA-CC398 isolates highlight the ability of healthy individuals to carry and transmit pathogenic isolates of *S. aureus*. Since the majority of the MSSA-CC398 isolates presented the characteristic erythromycinclindamycin-inducible resistance, this phenotypic feature and/or the detection of the *ermT* gene could be useful as biomarkers for the surveillance of this subclade. Moreover, MDR-CoNS needs to be closely monitored to determine their potential implications in non-*aureus* staphylococcal infections.

Consent to participate (include appropriate statements)

All the human subjects freely gave informed consent to participate in the study prior to their enrolment.

Ethics approval (include appropriate approvals or waivers)

All the procedures and experimental protocols used in this study were approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of La Rioja (Spain).

Data statement (data transparency)

The data generated from this study has been fully presented in the manuscript. However, further requests can be made through the corresponding author.

Funding

This work was supported by the project PID2019-106158RB-I00 of the MCIN/AEI /10.13039/501100011033 of Spain. Also, it received funding from the European Union's H2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement N° 801586.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Idris Nasir Abdullahi: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. Carmen Lozano: Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. Myriam Zarazaga: Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. Islem Trabelsi: Validation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Rine Christopher Reuben: Validation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Marc Stegger: Validation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Carmen Torres: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None declared by authors.

Data availability

Not applicable.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2023.105529.

References

- Abdullahi, I.N., Lozano, C., Ruiz-Ripa, L., Fernández-Fernández, R., Zarazaga, M., Torres, C., 2021 Aug 8. Ecology and genetic lineages of nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* and MRSA carriage in healthy persons with or without animal-related occupational risks of colonization: a review of global reports. Pathogens 10 (8), 1000. https://doi. org/10.3390/pathogens10081000. PMID: 34451464; PMCID: PMC8400700.
- Abdullahi, I.N., Lozano, C., Zarazaga, M., Saidenberg, A.B.S., Stegger, M., Torres, C., 2023 Mar 2. Clonal relatedness of coagulase-positive staphylococci among healthy dogs and dog-owners in Spain. Detection of multidrug-resistant-MSSA-CC398 and novel linezolid-resistant-MRSA-CC5. Front. Microbiol. 14, 1121564. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1121564 (PMID: 36937268; PMCID: PMC10017961).
- Arfaoui, A., Sallem, R.B., Fernández-Fernández, R., Eguizábal, P., Dziri, R., Abdullahi, I. N., Sayem, N., Ben Khelifa Melki, S., Ouzari, H.I., Torres, C., Klibi, N., 2022 Dec 4. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from diabetic foot infections in a Tunisian hospital with the first detection of MSSA CC398-t571. Antibiotics (Basel) 11 (12), 1755. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11121755 (PMID: 36551412; PMCID: PMC9774551).
- Asante, J., Hetsa, B.A., Amoako, D.G., Abia, A.L.K., Bester, L.A., Essack, S.Y., 2021 Aug 5. Genomic analysis of antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates from clinical sources in the Kwazulu-Natal Province, South Africa. Front. Microbiol. 12, 656306 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.656306. PMID: 34421833; PMCID: PMC8374169.
- Badawy, B., Elafify, M., Farag, A.M.M., Moustafa, S.M., Sayed-Ahmed, M.Z., Moawad, A. A., Algammal, A.M., Ramadan, H., Eltholth, M., 2022 Nov 18. Ecological distribution of virulent multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in livestock, environment, and dairy products. Antibiotics (Basel) 11 (11), 1651. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antibiotics11111651. PMID: 36421295; PMCID: PMC9686664.
- Baig, S., Rhod Larsen, A., Martins Simões, P., Laurent, F., Johannesen, T.B., Lilje, B., Tristan, A., Schaumburg, F., Egyir, B., Cirkovic, I., Nimmo, G.R., Spiliopoulou, I., Blanc, D.S., Mernelius, S., Moen, A.E.F., David, M.Z., Andersen, P.S., Stegger, M., 2020 Jul 1. Evolution and population dynamics of clonal complex 152 communityassociated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. mSphere 5 (4). https://doi. org/10.1128/mSphere.00226-20 e00226-20. (PMID: 32611695; PMCID: PMC7333568).
- Bouiller, K., Gbaguidi-Haore, H., Hocquet, D., Cholley, P., Bertrand, X., Chirouze, C., 2016 May. Clonal complex 398 methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections are associated with high mortality. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 22 (5), 451–455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2016.01.018. Epub 2016 Feb 3. PMID: 26851655.
- Bukowski, M., Wladyka, B., Dubin, G., 2010 May. Exfoliative toxins of Staphylococcus aureus. Toxins (Basel) 2 (5), 1148–1165. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins2051148. Epub 2010 May 25. PMID: 22069631; PMCID: PMC3153237.
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST), 2022. Breakpoint Tables for Interpretation of MICs and Zone Diameters. Version 12.0. Available at: http://www.eucast.org (Accessed September 6, 2023).
- Falomir, M.P., Gozalbo, D., Rico, H., 2014 Apr. Occurrence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in the nasal cavity of healthy volunteer students of the

University of Valencia (Spain). J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 47 (2), 162–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2013.05.009. Epub 2013 Aug 6. PMID: 23927826.

- Falomir, D.G., Vidal, H.R., Llorens, M.P.F., 2019. Commensal Staphylococcus isolates from the nasal cavity of community older adults in Valencia (Spain) and their resistance to methicillin and other antibiotics. Eur. J. Health Res. 5 (2), 145–158.
- Fan, H., Gilbert, R., O'Callaghan, F., Li, L., 2020 Feb 19. Associations between macrolide antibiotics prescribing during pregnancy and adverse child outcomes in the UK: population based cohort study. BMJ 368, m331. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj. m331. Erratum in: BMJ. 2020 Mar 4;368:m766. PMID: 32075790; PMCID: PMC7190043.
- Frosini, S.M., Bond, R., McCarthy, A.J., Feudi, C., Schwarz, S., Lindsay, J.A., Loeffler, A., 2020 Dec 18. Genes on the move: in vitro transduction of antimicrobial resistance genes between human and canine staphylococcal pathogens. Microorganisms 8 (12), 2031. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8122031. PMID: 33353175; PMCID: PMC7766859.
- Gómez-Sanz, E., Torres, C., Ceballos, S., Lozano, C., Zarazaga, M., 2013 Jul 9. Clonal dynamics of nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in dogowning household members. Detection of MSSA ST(398). PLoS One 8 (7), e69337. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069337 (PMID: 23874949; PMCID: PMC3706376).
- Goudarzi, H., Goudarzi, M., Sabzehali, F., Fazeli, M., Salimi, Chirani A., 2020 Nov. Genetic analysis of methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates: high prevalence of multidrug-resistant ST239 with strong biofilm-production ability. J. Clin. Lab. Anal. 34 (11), e23494 https://doi.org/10.1002/jcla.23494. Epub 2020 Jul 21. PMID: 32696587; PMCID: PMC7676197.
- He, S., Lin, J., Li, Y., Zhang, W., Zhou, J., Han, Z., Yao, Z., 2020 Nov. Insights into the epidemiology of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci carriage in community-based drug users. J. Infect. Public Health 13 (11), 1742–1748. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.09.011. Epub 2020 Oct 11. PMID: 33055005.
- Heilmann, C., Ziebuhr, W., Becker, K., 2019 Sep. Are coagulase-negative staphylococci virulent? Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 25 (9), 1071–1080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cmi.2018.11.012. Epub 2018 Nov 29. PMID: 30502487.
- Howden, B.P., Giulieri, S.G., Wong Fok Lung, T., Baines, S.L., Sharkey, L.K., JYH, Lee, Hachani, A., Monk, I.R., Stinear, T.P., 2023 Jun. *Staphylococcus aureus* host interactions and adaptation. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 21 (6), 380–395. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41579-023-00852-y. Epub 2023 Jan 27. PMID: 36707725; PMCID: PMC9882747.
- Jolley, K.A., Bray, J.E., Maiden, M.C.J., 2018 Sep 24. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 3, 124. https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14826.1 (PMID: 30345391; PMCID: PMC6192448).
- Kateete, D.P., Asiimwe, B.B., Mayanja, R., Najjuka, C.F., Rutebemberwa, E., 2020 Feb 13. Species and drug susceptibility profiles of staphylococci isolated from healthy children in eastern Uganda. PLoS One 15 (2), e0229026. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0229026. PMID: 32053668; PMCID: PMC7018018.
- Kondo, Y., Ito, T., Ma, X.X., Watanabe, S., Kreiswirth, B.N., Etienne, J., Hiramatsu, K., 2007 Jan. Combination of multiplex PCRs for staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec type assignment: rapid identification system for mec, ccr, and major differences in junkyard regions. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51 (1), 264–274. https://doi. org/10.1128/AAC.00165-06. Epub 2006 Oct 16. PMID: 17043114; PMCID: PMC1797693.
- Lawal, O.U., Ayobami, O., Abouelfetouh, A., Mourabit, N., Kaba, M., Egyir, B., Abdulgader, S.M., Shittu, A.O., 2022 May 3. A 6-year update on the diversity of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones in Africa: a systematic review. Front. Microbiol. 13, 860436 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.860436. PMID: 35591993; PMCID: PMC9113548.
- Lebeaux, D., Barbier, F., Angebault, C., Benmahdi, L., Ruppé, E., Felix, B., Gaillard, K., Djossou, F., Epelboin, L., Dupont, C., Renard, M., Peroz, G., Vandenesch, F., Wolff, M., Andremont, A., Ruimy, R., 2012 Jan. Evolution of nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci in a remote population. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56 (1), 315–323. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.00547-11 (Epub 2011 Nov 7. PMID: 22064532; PMCID: PMC3256065).
- Letunic, I., Bork, P., 2021 Jul 2. Interactive tree of life (ITOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 49 (W1), W293–W296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301. PMID: 33885785; PMCID: PMC8265157.
- Liu, C.M., Price, L.B., Hungate, B.A., Abraham, A.G., Larsen, L.A., Christensen, K., Stegger, M., Skov, R., Andersen, P.S., 2015 Jun 5. *Staphylococcus aureus* and the ecology of the nasal microbiome. Sci. Adv. 1 (5), e1400216 https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.1400216. PMID: 26601194; PMCID: PMC4640600.
- Liu, Y., Chen, L., Duan, Y., Xu, Z., 2022 Aug 10. Molecular characterization of staphylococci recovered from hospital personnel and frequently touched surfaces in Tianjin, China. Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol. 2022, 1061387. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2022/1061387. PMID: 35992512; PMCID: PMC9385319.
- Lord, J., Millis, N., Jones, R.D., Johnson, B., Kania, S.A., Odoi, A., 2022 Mar 8. Patterns of antimicrobial, multidrug and methicillin resistance among *Staphylococcus* spp. isolated from canine specimens submitted to a diagnostic laboratory in Tennessee, USA: a descriptive study. BMC Vet. Res. 18 (1), 91. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-022-03185-9. PMID: 35255907; PMCID: PMC8903740.
- Lozano, C., Gómez-Sanz, E., Benito, D., Aspiroz, C., Zarazaga, M., Torres, C., 2011 Aug. *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage, virulence traits, antibiotic resistance mechanisms, and genetic lineages in healthy humans in Spain, with detection of CC398 and CC97 isolates. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 301 (6), 500–505. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijmm.2011.02.004. Epub 2011 May 12. PMID: 21570348.
- Magiorakos, A.P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R.B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M.E., Giske, C.G., Harbarth, S., Hindler, J.F., Kahlmeter, G., Olsson-Liljequist, B., Paterson, D.L., Rice, L.B., Stelling, J., Struelens, M.J., Vatopoulos, A., Weber, J.T., Monnet, D.L.,

2012 Mar. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 18 (3), 268–281. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x. Epub 2011 Jul 27. PMID: 21793988.

- Mama, O.M., Aspiroz, C., Ruiz-Ripa, L., Ceballos, S., Iñiguez-Barrio, M., Cercenado, E., Azcona, J.M., López-Cerero, L., Seral, C., López-Calleja, A.I., Belles-Belles, A., Berdonces, P., Siller, M., Zarazaga, M., Torres, C., 2021 Feb 9. Study group of clinical *s. aureus* CC398. Prevalence and genetic characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* CC398 isolates from invasive infections in Spanish hospitals, focusing on the livestock-independent CC398-MSSA clade. Front. Microbiol. 12, 623108. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.623108. PMID: 33717011; PMCID: PMC7945039.
- Marincola, G., Liong, O., Schoen, C., Abouelfetouh, A., Hamdy, A., Wencker, F.D.R., Marciniak, T., Becker, K., Köck, R., Ziebuhr, W., 2021 Jun 17. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of coagulase-negative staphylococci in community-based healthy individuals in Germany. Front. Public Health 9, 684456. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpubh.2021.684456. PMID: 34222184; PMCID: PMC8247762.
- Matuszewska, M., Murray, G.G.R., Ba, X., Wood, R., Holmes, M.A., Weinert, L.A., 2022 Jun 28. Stable antibiotic resistance and rapid human adaptation in livestockassociated MRSA. Elife 11, e74819. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.74819. PMID: 35762208; PMCID: PMC9239682.
- Michels, R., Last, K., Becker, S.L., Papan, C., 2021 Apr 14. Update on coagulase-negative staphylococci-what the clinician should know. Microorganisms 9 (4), 830. https:// doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9040830. PMID: 33919781; PMCID: PMC8070739.
- Monecke, S., Müller, E., Braun, S.D., Armengol-Porta, M., Bes, M., Boswihi, S., El-Ashker, M., Engelmann, I., Gawlik, D., Gwida, M., Hotzel, H., Nassar, R., Reissig, A., Ruppelt-Lorz, A., Senok, A., Somily, A.M., Udo, E.E., Ehricht, R., 2021 Apr 14. Characterisation of S. aureus/MRSA CC1153 and review of mobile genetic elements carrying the fusidic acid resistance gene fusC. Sci. Rep. 11 (1), 8128. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86273-4. PMID: 33854075; PMCID: PMC8046974.
- Moosavian, M., Shahin, M., Navidifar, T., Torabipour, M., 2017 Nov 28. Typing of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* encoding methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in Ahvaz, Iran. New Microbes New Infect. 21, 90–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2017.11.006. PMID: 29379604; PMCID: PMC5773478.
- Nong, Y., Taiaroa, G., Pasricha, S., Guérillot, R., Monk, I.R., Baines, S.L., Carter, G.P., Howden, B.P., Williamson, D.A., 2023 May 1. Clinical relevance of topical antibiotic use in co-selecting for multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: insights from *in vitro* and *ex vivo* models. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 95 (5) https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.02048-20 e02048-20. (Epub 2021 Feb 16. PMID: 33593834; PMCID: PMC8092865).
- Parlet, C.P., Brown, M.M., Horswill, A.R., 2019 Jun. Commensal staphylococci influence Staphylococcus aureus skin colonization and disease. Trends Microbiol. 27 (6), 497–507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2019.01.008 (Epub 2019 Mar 5. PMID: 30846311; PMCID: PMC7176043).
- Price, L.B., Stegger, M., Hasman, H., Aziz, M., Larsen, J., Andersen, P.S., Pearson, T., Waters, A.E., Foster, J.T., Schupp, J., Gillece, J., Driebe, E., Liu, C.M., Springer, B., Zdovc, I., Battisti, A., Franco, A., Zmudzki, J., Schwarz, S., Butaye, P., Jouy, E., Pomba, C., Porrero, M.C., Ruimy, R., Smith, T.C., Robinson, D.A., Weese, J.S., Arriola, C.S., Yu, F., Laurent, F., Keim, P., Skov, R., Aarestrup, F.M., 2012 Feb 21. *Staphylococcus aureus* CC398: host adaptation and emergence of methicillin resistance in livestock. mBio 3 (1), e00305–e00311. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.00305-11. Erratum in: MBio. 2013;4(1):doi/10.1128/mBio.00520-12. PMID: 22354957; PMCID: PMC3280451.

- Raineri, E.J.M., Altulea, D., van Dijl, J.M., 2022 Jan 18. Staphylococcal trafficking and infection-from 'nose to gut' and back. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 46 (1) https://doi.org/ 10.1093/femsre/fuab041 fuab041. (PMID: 34259843; PMCID: PMC8767451).
- Rossi, C.C., Pereira, M.F., Giambiagi-deMarval, M., 2020 Feb 10. Underrated *Staphylococcus* species and their role in antimicrobial resistance spreading. Genet. Mol. Biol. 43 (1 suppl 2), e20190065 https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2019-0065. PMID: 32052827; PMCID: PMC7198029.
- Ruiz-Ripa, L., Feßler, A.T., Hanke, D., Eichhorn, I., Azcona-Gutiérrez, J.M., Alonso, C.A., Pérez-Moreno, M.O., Aspiroz, C., Bellés, A., Schwarz, S., Torres, C., 2021 Feb. Mechanisms of linezolid resistance among clinical *Staphylococcus* spp. in Spain: spread of methicillin- and linezolid-resistant *S. epidermidis* ST2. Microb. Drug Resist. 27 (2), 145–153. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2020.0122 (Epub 2020 May 22. PMID: 32456543).
- Sahl, J.W., Lemmer, D., Travis, J., Schupp, J.M., Gillece, J.D., Aziz, M., Driebe, E.M., Drees, K.P., Hicks, N.D., Williamson, C.H.D., Hepp, C.M., Smith, D.E., Roe, C., Engelthaler, D.M., Wagner, D.M., Keim, P., 2016 Aug 25. NASP: an accurate, rapid method for the identification of SNPs in WGS datasets that supports flexible input and output formats. Microb. Genom. 2 (8), e000074 https://doi.org/10.1099/ mgen.0.000074. PMID: 28348869; PMCID: PMC5320593.
- Stegger, M., Lindsay, J.A., Moodley, A., Skov, R., Broens, E.M., Guardabassi, L., 2011 Feb. Rapid PCR detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* clonal complex 398 by targeting the restriction-modification system carrying sau1-hsdS1. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49 (2), 732–734. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01970-10. Epub 2010 Dec 1. PMID: 21123532; PMCID: PMC3043477.
- Tegegne, H.A., Madec, J.Y., Haenni, M., 2022 Jun. Is methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) CC398 a true animal-independent pathogen? J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist. 29, 120–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2022.02.017. Epub 2022 Mar 1. PMID: 35240347.
- Tong, S.Y., Davis, J.S., Eichenberger, E., Holland, T.L., Fowler Jr., V.G., 2015 Jul. Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 28 (3), 603–661. https://doi. org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14. PMID: 26016486; PMCID: PMC4451395.
- van Wamel, W.J., Rooijakkers, S.H., Ruyken, M., van Kessel, K.P., van Strijp, J.A., 2006 Feb. The innate immune modulators staphylococcal complement inhibitor and chemotaxis inhibitory protein of *Staphylococcus aureus* are located on betahemolysin-converting bacteriophages. J. Bacteriol. 188 (4), 1310–1315. https://doi. org/10.1128/JB.188.4.1310-1315.2006. PMID: 16452413; PMCID: PMC1367213.
- Vandendriessche, S., Kadlec, K., Schwarz, S., Denis, O., 2011 Nov. Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus ST398-t571 harbouring the macrolide-lincosamidestreptogramin B resistance gene erm(T) in Belgian hospitals. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66 (11), 2455–2459. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr348. Epub 2011 Aug 25. PMID: 21868413.
- Westberg, R., Stegger, M., Söderquist, B., 2022 Dec 21. Molecular epidemiology of neonatal-associated *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* reveals endemic outbreak. Microbiol. Spectr. 10 (6), e0245222 https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02452-22. Epub 2022 Oct 31. PMID: 36314976; PMCID: PMC9769988.
- Widerström, M., Stegger, M., Johansson, A., Gurram, B.K., Larsen, A.R., Wallinder, L., Edebro, H., Monsen, T., 2022 Jan. Heterogeneity of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in prosthetic joint infections: time to reevaluate microbiological criteria? Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 41 (1), 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-021-04352-w. Epub 2021 Oct 2. PMID: 34599708; PMCID: PMC8732909.
- Yamasaki, O., Tristan, A., Yamaguchi, T., Sugai, M., Lina, G., Bes, M., Vandenesch, F., Etienne, J., 2006 Jun. Distribution of the exfoliative toxin D gene in clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in France. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 12 (6), 585–588. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2006.01410.x (PMID: 16700711).