Open Access

RECURSIVE FORMULAS RELATED TO THE SUMMATION OF THE MÖBIUS FUNCTION

MANUEL BENITO AND JUAN L. VARONA

ABSTRACT. For positive integers n, let $\mu(n)$ be the Möbius function, and M(n) its sum $M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(k)$. We find some identities and recursive formulas for computing M(n); in particular, we present a two-parametric family of recursive formulas.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Möbius function $\mu(n)$ is defined, for positive integers n, as

 $\mu(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } k \text{ different prime numbers,} \\ 0 & \text{if there exists a prime } p \text{ such that } p^2 \text{ divides } n \end{cases}$

(see [1, Chapter 2]). Then, for every real number $x \ge 0$, the summation of the Möbius function is defined by taking

$$M(x) = M(\lfloor x \rfloor) := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \mu(k).$$

In what follows, and as usually, we refer to M(x) as the Mertens function, although, before F. Mertens (who used it in 1897, see [2]), T. J. Stieltjes already had introduced this function in his attempts to prove the Riemann Hypothesis (see [3, Lettre 79, p. 160–164], dated in 1885).

The behaviour of M(x) is rather erratic and difficult of analyze, but it is very important in analytic number theory. In 1912, J. E. Littlewood [4] proved that the Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to this fact:

(1)
$$|M(x)| = O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}), \text{ when } x \to \infty, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0;$$

in relation to this subject, see also [5]. Of course, it is not yet known if (1) is true or false. Previously, in 1897, Mertens [2] had given a table of values of M(n) for $1 \le n \le 10000$. Relying on this table, he conjectured that, for x > 1,

$$|M(x)| < \sqrt{x}.$$

This conjecture was disproved, in 1985, by A. M. Odlyzko and H. te Riele [6], but they did not find an explicit counterexample. Actually, for every value of M(n) computed up to that date, always happened $|M(n)| < 0.6\sqrt{n}$. In 1987, J. Pintz [7] proved that the Mertens conjecture is false for some $n < \exp(3.21 \times 10^{64})$; and this was improved further recently in 2006 by T. Kotnik and H. te Riele [8], who showed that the Mertens conjecture is false for some $n < \exp(1.59 \times 10^{40})$. More studies about the order of the Mertens function can

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A25.

Key words and phrases. Möbius function, summation of the Möbius function, Mertens function.

Research of the second author supported by grant MTM2006-13000-C03-03 of the DGI.

be found in [9] and [10]. Nowadays, to find an explicit counterexample of the Mertens conjecture is yet a very pursued result in number theory, and it generally believed that no counterexample will be found for $n < 10^{20}$.

To evaluate M(n), a big quantity of recursive formulas appear in the mathematical literature. For instance, Stieltjes [3, Letter 79, p. 163] proved the expression

(2)
$$\sum_{k \le \sqrt{n}} (-1)^{k-1} M(n/k) = -1 + M(\sqrt{n}) z(\sqrt{n}) - \sum_{k \le \sqrt{n}} z(n/k) \mu(k)$$

where z(x) = 0 if $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is even and 1 if it is odd; some other recursive formulas appear in the famous *Primzahlen* of E. Landau [11]. In 1996, M. Deléglise and J. Rivat [12], used an algorithm derived from the recurrence formula

(3)
$$M(x) = M(u) - \sum_{a \le u} \mu(a) \sum_{\frac{u}{a} < b \le \frac{x}{a}} M\left(\frac{x}{ab}\right)$$

(being $1 \le u \le x$) to evaluate $M(10^{16}) = -3195437$. More recursive formulas can be found in [13], and [14]; also, a large number of further references to related studies, including a nice historical review, are given in [15].

The aim of this paper is to prove different identities and recursive formulas satisfied by the Mertens function M. We devote to this end sections 2, 3 and 4; see Theorems 2, 3, 6, 9, and 10. For instance, in Theorem 3 we present a formula to evaluate M(n) similar to the one given by its definition, but with only $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ summands. Also, let us note the interesting expansion for 2M(n) + 3 that appears in Theorem 6, as well as the properties of the involved coefficients, studied below; they will lead us to Theorems 9 and 10. In particular, Theorem 10 gives a two-parametric family of recursive formulas for computing the Mertens function. As long as we know, all the "theorems" that we present in these sections are new; however, some of the "propositions" are already known, and we have included them by completeness.

Finally, in section 5, we study some properties of a function (that we will denote H(n, m)) related with the ones that appear in the previous sections; in particular, we prove the periodicity of this function.

2. Formulas in which only M appears

Let us begin by recalling the following well-known property of the Möbius function:

(4)
$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

Indeed, it is trivial for n = 1. And, for n > 1, if $n = \prod_{j=1}^{k} p_j^{\alpha_j} > 1$ (p_j primes, $p_j \neq p_i$ for $j \neq i$), then

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \binom{k}{0} - \binom{k}{1} + \dots + (-1)^k \binom{k}{k} = (1-1)^k = 0.$$

The identity (4) allows to find a way of relating the value M(n) with the values of M(m), with m less than n. This result, also known (and whose proof we reproduce by completeness), is the following:

Proposition 1. For every positive n, the Mertens function verifies

(5)
$$1 = \sum_{a=1}^{n} M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right).$$

Proof. Actually, we will prove (5) also for real numbers $x \ge 1$. From the definition $M(x) = \sum_{k \le x} \mu(k)$, we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} M\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \sum_{b=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \rfloor} \mu(b).$$

If ab = k, then a|k and, moreover, when the values of a and b vary, k takes the values $1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor x \rfloor$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \sum_{b=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \rfloor} \mu(b) = \sum_{1 \le k \le \lfloor x \rfloor} \sum_{a \mid k} \mu(a).$$

By applying (4), we get (5).

Of course, from (5) we obtain the following recursive formula satisfied by M(n):

(6)
$$M(n) = 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{n} M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right),$$

which is essentially one of the recursive formulae used by Neubauer [13] to compute M(n) up to 10^{10} . Moreover, let us note that (4) and (5) were used by Deléglise and Rivat [12] to find the identity (3).

In (6), *n* summands appear. In the following theorem, we reduce the number of summands up to $\left|\frac{n-1}{2}\right|$.

Theorem 2. If $n \ge 3$, then

(7)
$$M(n) = -\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} M\left(\frac{n}{2a+1}\right).$$

Proof. If n = 2m with m > 1, by applying (6) and (5), we get

$$M(2m) = 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{2m} M\left(\frac{2m}{a}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^{m} M\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) - \sum_{a=2}^{2m} M\left(\frac{2m}{a}\right)$$
$$= -\sum_{a=1}^{m-1} M\left(\frac{2m}{2a+1}\right) = -\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} M\left(\frac{n}{2a+1}\right).$$

For the case n = 2m + 1, let us first note that the greatest remainder that can be obtained when m is divided by a is a - 1, and, moreover

$$\frac{a-1}{a} + \frac{1}{2a} = \frac{2a-2+1}{2a} = \frac{2a-1}{2a} < 1.$$

Thus, it is clear that

$$M\left(\frac{2m+1}{2a}\right) = M\left(\frac{m}{a} + \frac{1}{2a}\right) = M\left(\frac{m}{a}\right).$$

Then, by applying (6), (5), and this fact, we get

$$M(2m+1) = 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{2m+1} M\left(\frac{2m+1}{a}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^{m} M\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) - \sum_{a=2}^{2m+1} M\left(\frac{2m+1}{a}\right)$$
$$= -\sum_{a=1}^{m} M\left(\frac{2m+1}{2a+1}\right) = -\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor} M\left(\frac{n}{2a+1}\right).$$

3. Formulas in which only μ appears

In the following theorem, we expand M(n) as a sum with $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ summands, in which only μ and the integer-part function appear. In particular, this result provides a more efficient way to compute M(n) than just to use its definition $M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(k)$.

Theorem 3. If $n \ge 3$, then

(8)
$$M(n) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Proof. Let us remind (7) in Theorem 2. The greatest value achieved by $\lfloor \frac{n}{2a+1} \rfloor$ is $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. Moreover, $\lfloor \frac{n}{2a+1} \rfloor$ takes value k if

$$k \le \frac{n}{2a+1} < k+1,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n - (k+1)}{2(k+1)} < a \le \frac{n - k}{2k}.$$

In this way, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2a+1} \right\rfloor = k$ for $\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{2(k+1)} \right\rfloor$ values of a. As a consequence,

$$\begin{split} M(n) &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{2(k+1)} \right\rfloor \right) M(k) \\ &= -\left(\left(\left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2 \cdot 2} \right\rfloor \right) M(1) + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2 \cdot 2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2 \cdot 3} \right\rfloor \right) M(2) + \cdots \right. \\ &+ \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor}{2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1}{2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)} \right\rfloor \right) M\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) \right) \\ &= -\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \mu(1) + \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2 \cdot 2} \right\rfloor \mu(2) + \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2 \cdot 3} \right\rfloor \mu(3) + \cdots \right. \\ &+ \left\lfloor \frac{n-\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor}{2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor} \right\rfloor \mu\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) - \left\lfloor \frac{n-\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1}{2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)} \right\rfloor M\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) \right). \end{split}$$

Now, let us observe

$$\frac{n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1}{2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)} = \begin{cases} \frac{3m - m - 1}{2m + 2} = \frac{2m - 1}{2m + 2} < 1 & \text{if} \quad n = 3m, \\ \frac{3m + 1 - m - 1}{2m + 2} = \frac{2m}{2m + 2} < 1 & \text{if} \quad n = 3m + 1, \\ \frac{3m + 2 - m - 1}{2m + 2} = \frac{2m + 1}{2m + 2} < 1 & \text{if} \quad n = 3m + 2, \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1}{2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)} \right\rfloor = 0.$$

Then, (8) follows.

The following result relates the value of $\mu(n)$ to the values of $\mu(m)$ for $1 \leq m < n$. Actually, this result is already known (see [1, Theorem 3.12]), although the proof that we make in this paper is different and, perhaps, new; here, we use an argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 3.

Proposition 4. The Möbius function satisfies

(9)
$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1, $1 = \sum_{a=1}^{n} M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right)$. Here, we have $\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor = k$ if and only if

$$k \le \frac{n}{a} < k+1,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n}{k+1} < a \le \frac{n}{k},$$

and so $M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) = M\left(\lfloor\frac{n}{a}\rfloor\right) = M(k)$ for $\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\rfloor - \lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\rfloor$ values of a .
Then
$$1 = \sum_{a=1}^{n} M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\rfloor - \lfloor\frac{n}{k+1}\rfloor\right) M(k)$$
$$= \left(\lfloor\frac{n}{1}\rfloor - \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor\right) M(1) + \left(\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor - \lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor\right) M(2) + \dots + \left(\lfloor\frac{n}{n}\rfloor - \lfloor\frac{n}{n+1}\rfloor\right) M(n)$$
$$= \lfloor\frac{n}{1}\rfloor + \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor (M(2) - M(1)) + \dots + \lfloor\frac{n}{n}\rfloor (M(n) - M(n-1)) - \lfloor\frac{n}{n+1}\rfloor M(n)$$
$$= \lfloor\frac{n}{1}\rfloor \mu(1) + \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor \mu(2) + \dots + \lfloor\frac{n}{n}\rfloor \mu(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lfloor\frac{n}{k}\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Now, let us prove another expansion of 1 as a sum of μ 's. We will use this result in the proof of Theorem 6.

Proposition 5. For $n \ge 3$, the Möbius function satisfies

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Proof. First, let us suppose n = 3m. By Proposition 4, we have

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{3}} \left\lfloor \frac{3m}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

If n = 3m + 1,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\lfloor \frac{3m+1}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = 1,$$

because, in

$$\left\lfloor \frac{3m+1}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} + \frac{1}{3k} \right\rfloor,$$

the remainder when m is divided by k is always less or equal than k-1; and, by being

$$\frac{k-1}{k} + \frac{1}{3k} = \frac{3k-2}{3k} < 1,$$

we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{3m+1}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor.$$

If $n = 3m+2$,
$$\left\lfloor \frac{3m+2}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} + \frac{2}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor$$

by being
$$\frac{k-1}{k} + \frac{2}{3k} = \frac{3k-1}{3k} < 1;$$

thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\lfloor \frac{3m+2}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = 1.$$

Then, we establish the following result, in which, as in Theorem 3, we show an expansion of M(n) as a sum of $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ summands; in every summand, only the Möbius function and a coefficient (related to the integer-part function) appear. This will be a fruitful result, because, later in this paper, we will find some alternative formulas and interesting properties for the coefficients.

Theorem 6. For $n \geq 3$, we have

(10)
$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k)$$

with

(11)
$$g(n,k) = 3\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Let us add 2 times the expansion for M(n) in Theorem 3 plus 3 times the expansion for 1 in Proposition 5.

The next result presents an alternative way for computing g(n, k):

Proposition 7. For k > 0 and $n \ge 0$, let us take n_0 such that

$$n \equiv n_0 \mod 6k, \quad 0 \le n_0 < 6k.$$

Then

(12)
$$g(n,k) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if} \quad 0 \le n_0 < k, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad k \le n_0 < 3k, \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad 3k \le n_0 < 5k, \\ -1 & \text{if} \quad 5k \le n_0 < 6k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Le us decompose $n = n_0 + 6kn_1$, with $0 \le n_0 < 6k$. By (11),

$$g(n,k) = 3\left\lfloor \frac{n_0 + 6kn_1}{3k} \right\rfloor - 2\left\lfloor \frac{n_0 + 6kn_1 - k}{2k} \right\rfloor$$

Then, it is clear that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} & 0 \leq n_0 < k, \\ \text{if} & k \leq n_0 < 3k, \\ \text{if} & 3k \leq n_0 < 5k, \\ \text{if} & 5k \leq n_0 < 6k, \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} g(n,k) = 6n_1 - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{6k(n_1-1)}{2k} + \frac{5k+n_0}{2k} \right\rfloor = 2; \\ g(n,k) = 6n_1 - 6n_1 = 0; \\ g(n,k) = 3 + 6n_1 - 6n_1 - 2 = 1; \\ g(n,k) = 3 + 6n_1 - 6n_1 - 4 = -1. \end{array}$$

4. Formulas in which both M and μ appear

Let us consider the function g(n, k) for fixed n, i.e., as a function of k. In the following proposition, we show how g(n, k) is constant when k varies a certain interval.

Proposition 8. Let a and n be positive integers, with a < n. When k varies in the interval

$$\frac{n}{a+1} < k \le \frac{n}{a}$$

the value of g(n,k) remains constant. This value depends only upon the remainder of a modulus 6.

Proof. Let us decompose $a = a_0 + 6a_1$ with $0 \le a_0 < 6$. If $\frac{n}{a+1} < k \le \frac{n}{a}$, then $ka \le n < k(a+1)$ and so

(13)
$$ka_0 + 6ka_1 \le n < k(a_0 + 6a_1 + 1)$$

Thus, $n = n_0 + 6ka_1$ for some n_0 verifying $0 \le n_0 < 6k$. By substituting this value of n in (13), it becomes

$$ka_0 \le n_0 < ka_0 + k$$

By (12), g(n,k) takes the same value for all n_0 that satisfies (14), and this value of g(n,k) depends only on a_0 .

As a consequence of Proposition 8, we can define the function

(15)
$$h(a) = g(n,k) \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{n}{a+1} < k \le \frac{n}{a}$$

By using (12) (pay attention to a_0 in the proof of Proposition 8), h(a) takes these values:

(16)
$$h(a) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if} \quad a \equiv 0 \mod 6, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad a \equiv 1 \mod 6, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad a \equiv 2 \mod 6, \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad a \equiv 3 \mod 6, \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad a \equiv 4 \mod 6, \\ -1, & \text{if} \quad a \equiv 5 \mod 6. \end{cases}$$

Now, we will split the sum in (10) in two parts, introducing a parameter r. The first part will consist in the $\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor$ first terms of the sum in (10). The second part will be, of course, the summands that remain; they will be manipulated in such way that we will get r sumands in which only the functions h and M appear. We can say that this is a *mixed* recursive formula for computing M: M(n) is obtained from $\mu(m)$ and M(m) with m < n.

Theorem 9. Let n and r two integers satisfying $3 \le r \le n-1$. Then

(17)
$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^{r} h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right).$$

Proof. By (10) and (15),

$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^{r} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{a+1} \rfloor+1}^{r} g(n,k)\mu(k)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^{r} h(a) \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{a+1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} \mu(k)$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^{r} h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right).$$

Prior to continue, let us note which would be the two limit cases: of course, r = 2 is Theorem 6; and, when r = n, the sum indexed by k in (9) disappears. Another particular case appears by taking $r = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$; thus (17) becomes

$$\begin{split} M(n) &= \frac{1}{2} \Biggl(-3 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1} \rfloor} g(n,k) \mu(k) + M\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{a=4}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} (h(a) - h(a-1)) M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - h(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor) M\left(\frac{n}{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1}\right) \Biggr), \end{split}$$

a formula that resembles (2) after isolating M(n), but starting in $M(\frac{n}{3})$ instead of $M(\frac{n}{2})$.

On the other hand, by splitting again the summand on the right in (17), we can introduce a new parameter s:

Theorem 10. Let n, r and s be three integers such that $s \ge 0$ and $6s + 9 \le r \le n - 1$. Then

$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{b=0}^{s} \left(M\left(\frac{n}{3+6b}\right) - 2M\left(\frac{n}{5+6b}\right) + 3M\left(\frac{n}{6+6b}\right) - 2M\left(\frac{n}{7+6b}\right) \right) + \sum_{a=6s+9}^{r} h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right).$$

Proof. Let us expand the summand $\sum_{a=3}^{r}$ in (17). In this way,

$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + h(3)M\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - h(3)M\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + h(4)M\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) - h(4)M\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) + h(5)M\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - h(5)M\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + h(6)M\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) - h(6)M\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) + h(7)M\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) - h(7)M\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + h(8)M\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) - h(8)M\left(\frac{n}{9}\right) + \cdots + h(6s + 8)M\left(\frac{n}{6s + 8}\right) - h(6s + 8)M\left(\frac{n}{6s + 9}\right) + \sum_{a=6s+9}^{r} h(a)\left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right)\right).$$

By applying the values of h according (16), the result follows.

Thus, in Theorem 10 we have presented a two-parametric family of recurrence relation for computing an isolated value of M(n). They provide mixed ways to calculate M(n) using, in part, previously computed (and stored) values of M(m) for a certain values of m, and another part that must be explicitly computed. Eventually, a suitable election of parameters

r and s (that may depend on n) will allow to get efficient methods of running this algorithm in a computer; at this point, it is clear that a careful implementation must be performed, taking into account the machine to be used. The idea to use this expansion is as follows: The terms in the first sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor}$ are directly evaluated. The second sum $\sum_{b=0}^{s}$ is computed by using previously computed and stored values of M. And the third sum

$$\sum_{a=6s+9}^{r} h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right) = \sum_{a=6s+9}^{r} h(a) \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{a+1} \rfloor+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} \mu(k)$$

can be computed by using both methods, according the size of n, r and s.

Finally, let us note which are the limit cases of the identity established by Theorem 10: s = -1 (Theorem 9); s = -1 and r = 2 (Theorem 6); r = n (\sum_{b} disappears); and r = 6s + 8 (\sum_{k} disappears).

5. The function H(n,m). Periodicity

In the previous sections (see Theorems 6, 9 and 10), we often obtain expressions with the form $\sum_{k=1}^{m} g(n,k)\mu(k)$. Thus, in this section, we define a new function H(n,m) (for non-negative integers n and positive integers m) by taking

$$H(n,m) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} g(n,k)\mu(k),$$

and we are going to study some of its properties. Also, we will use the following notation:

$$C_m := 6 \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

First, let us see that, when we fix m in the second variable of H, the function is periodic with period C_m .

Proposition 11. For every non-negative integer t, we have

$$H(n + tC_m, m) = H(n, m).$$

Proof. By being g(n+6kt,k) = g(n,k) for k = 1, 2, ..., m, we have $g(n+C_mt,k) = g(n,k)$. Thus, the result follows.

The following result gives the value of H(n,m) as a function of M(m).

Proposition 12. For every non-negative integer t, we have

$$\begin{split} H(0+tC_m,m) &= 2M(m), \\ H(1+tC_m,m) &= 2M(m)-2, \\ H(2+tC_m,m) &= 2M(m), \\ H(n+tC_m,m) &= 2M(m)+3, \quad \ if \ \ 2 < n \leq m \end{split}$$

Proof. By Proposition 11, without loss of generality, we can suppose t = 0. Then, it is enough for computing H(n,m) for $0 \le n \le m$. First, let us analyze the cases n = 0, 1, 2, 3. By applying (12), we have

$$H(0,m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} g(0,k)\mu(k) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu(k) = 2M(m),$$

$$H(1,m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} g(1,k)\mu(k) = 0 \cdot \mu(1) + 2\sum_{k=2}^{m} \mu(k) = 2M(m) - 2,$$

$$H(2,m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} g(2,k)\mu(k) = 0 \cdot \mu(1) + 0 \cdot \mu(2) + 2\sum_{k=3}^{m} \mu(k) = 2M(m).$$

For *n* verifying $2 < n \leq m$, let us decompose

(18)
$$H(n,m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\pi}{3} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor+1}^{n} g(n,k)\mu(k) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} g(n,k)\mu(k).$$

Now, in the first sum, let us apply (10); in the second sum, let us use that, for $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor < k \leq n$ (i.e., $k \leq n < 3k$) we have g(n, k) = 0 (see (12)); and, finally, for the third sum, let us note that g(n, k) = 2 for $0 \leq n < n + 1 \leq k$. In this way, (18) becomes

$$H(n,m) = (2M(n)+3) + 0 + 2(M(m) - M(n)) = 2M(m) + 3.$$

References

- [1] Apostol TM. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1976.
- [2] Mertens F. Über eine zahlentheoretische Funktion. Sitzungsberichte Akad. Wiss. Wien IIa. 1897; 106: 761–830.
- [3] Hermite C. Correspondence d'Hermite et de Stieltjes: publiée par le soins de B. Baillaud et H. Bourget. Vol. 1. Paris: Gauthier-Villars; 1905.
- [4] Littlewood JE, Quelques conséquences de l'hypothèse que la fonction $\zeta(s)$ n'a pas de zéros dans le demi-plan $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 1912; 154: 263–266.
- [5] Titchmarsh EC. The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function. Oxford: At the Clarendon Press; 1967.
- [6] Odlyzko AM, te Riele H. Disproof of the Mertens conjecture. J. Reine Angew. Math. 1985; 357: 138-160.
- [7] Pintz J. An effective disproof of the Mertens conjecture. In: Journées Arithmétiques (Besançon, France, 1985). Astérisque. 1987; 147-148: 325–333, 346.
- [8] Kotnik T, te Riele H. The Mertens conjecture revisited. In: Algorithmic number theory. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 4076. Berlin: Springer; 2006. p. 156–167.
- [9] el Marraki M. Fonction sommatoire de la fonction de Möbius, 3. Majorations asymptotiques effectives fortes. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux. 1995; 7: 407–433.
- [10] Kotnik T, van de Lune J. On the order of the Mertens function. Experiment. Math. 2004; 13: 473-481.
- [11] Landau E. Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen. Leipzig & Berlin: Teubner; 1909.
- [12] Deléglise M, Rivat J. Computing the summation of the Möbius function. Experiment. Math. 1996; 5: 291–295.
- [13] Neubauer G. Eine empirische Untersuchung zur Mertensschen Funktion. Numer. Math. 1963; 5: 1–13.
- [14] Dress F. Fonction sommatiore de la fonction de Möbius. I. Majorations expérimentales. Experiment. Math. 1993; 2: 89–98.
- [15] te Riele H. On the history of the function $M(x)/\sqrt{x}$ since Stieltjes. In: Thomas Jan Stieltjes: Œuvres Complètes/Collected Papers. Vol. 1. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1993. p. 69–79.

INSTITUTO SAGASTA, GLORIETA DEL DOCTOR ZUBÍA S/N, 26003 LOGROÑO, SPAIN E-mail address: mbenit8@palmera.pntic.mec.es

Departamento de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, Edificio J. L. Vives, Calle Luis de Ulloa s/n, 26004 Logroño, Spain

E-mail address: jvarona@dmc.unirioja.es URL: http://www.unirioja.es/dptos/dmc/jvarona/welcome.html

Received: January 24 2008 Revised: April 18, 2008 Accepted: September 6, 2008

© Benito and Varona; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.