

WEAK BEHAVIOUR OF FOURIER-NEUMANN SERIES

ÓSCAR CIAURRI, MARIO PÉREZ, AND JUAN L. VARONA

ABSTRACT. Let J_μ denote the Bessel function of order μ . The functions $x^{-\alpha-1}J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, form an orthogonal system in the space $L^2((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1}dx)$ when $\alpha > -1$. In this paper we prove that the Fourier series associated to this system is of restricted weak type for the endpoints of the interval of mean convergence, while it is not of weak type if $\alpha \geq 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Given a positive measure σ on some space and an orthonormal system $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $L^2(\sigma)$, the Fourier series associated to $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence of operators S_n defined by

$$S_n f = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k(f) \varphi_k, \quad f \in L^2(\sigma),$$

where $c_k(f) = \int f \varphi_k d\sigma$. The elementary property that $\|S_n f - f\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \rightarrow 0$ for every $f \in \text{span}\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ raises the same question with the $L^2(\sigma)$ norm replaced by the $L^p(\sigma)$ norm, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, this is equivalent to the uniform boundedness $\|S_n f\|_{L^p(\sigma)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\sigma)}$, $f \in L^p(\sigma)$, $n \geq 0$.

Needless to say, the most important case is the trigonometric system on the unit circle \mathbb{T} , for which the boundedness holds if $1 < p < \infty$ [19]. For $p = \infty$ the answer is definitely negative, while for $p = 1$ the boundedness fails but there is a weak substitute in terms of the Lorentz space $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{T}, d\theta)$:

$$\|S_n f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{T}, d\theta)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}, d\theta)}, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, d\theta), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\sigma)} &= \sup_{y>0} y \lambda(y)^{1/p} = \|t^{1/p} f^*(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, dt)}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \|f\|_{L^{p,r}(\sigma)} &= \left(\frac{r}{p} \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} f^*(t)]^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/r} \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq r < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where λ is the distribution function and f^* the nonincreasing rearrangement of f . There is a Hölder's inequality $\|f\|_{p_1, p_2} \leq C \|f\|_{q_1, q_2} \|f\|_{r_1, r_2}$, $1/p_i = 1/q_i + 1/r_i$. Also, $\|f\|_{p,\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{p,p} = C \|f\|_p \leq C_1 \|f\|_{p,1}$. The reader is referred to [12] or [21] for further details on $L^{p,r}$ spaces.

After the trigonometric system, the convergence of Fourier series has been studied for a number of orthonormal systems, including Jacobi polynomials [17, 18, 14,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42C10; Secondary 44A05.

Key words and phrases. Bessel functions, Fourier series, Neumann series, Hankel transform.

Research supported by grants of the DGI and UR.

PUBLISHED IN: Glasgow Math. J. **45** (2003), no. 1, 97–104; and Glasgow Math. J. **45** (2003), no. 3, 567 (Corrigendum).

4, 10], Hermite and Laguerre polynomials [15, 16], generalized Jacobi polynomials [1] and Bessel functions on $(0, 1)$ [9].

In [23, 11] the authors characterized the L^p convergence for the Fourier-Neumann series, i.e., the Fourier expansion associated to the functions

$$\mathbf{j}_n^\alpha(x) = \sqrt{4n + 2\alpha + 2} J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)x^{-\alpha-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

which are orthonormal on $L^2((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1} dx)$ [$L^2(x^{2\alpha+1})$, from now on], see [24, § 13.41 (7), p. 404] and [24, § 13.42 (1), p. 405]. Here, $\alpha > -1$ and J_ν is the Bessel function of order ν .

For each suitable function f , let $S_n f$ be the n -th partial sum of its Fourier series with respect to the system $\{\mathbf{j}_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^\infty$, i.e.,

$$S_n(f, x) = \int_0^\infty f(t)K_n(x, t)t^{2\alpha+1} dt, \quad K_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{j}_k^\alpha(x)\mathbf{j}_k^\alpha(t).$$

In this paper, we study the weak and restricted weak behaviour of these series, i.e., the uniform boundedness

$$\|S_n f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad f \in L^p(x^{2\alpha+1}), \quad n \geq 0$$

or

$$\|S_n f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad f \in L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Let us focus on the weak boundedness. The a priori assumption that $\mathbf{j}_n^\alpha \in L^q(x^{2\alpha+1})$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$) should be made so as to guarantee the existence of the Fourier coefficients for any $f \in L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$. Also, we must assume that $\mathbf{j}_n^\alpha \in L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ if we want $S_n f$ to be in $L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 below, these assumptions hold if and only if $p_1 \leq p < p_2$, where $p_1 = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 3)$, $p_2 = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 1)$ if $\alpha \geq 0$, and $p_1 = 4/3$, $p_2 = 4$ if $-1 < \alpha < 0$. For the restricted weak boundedness, the same arguments lead to the a priori assumptions that $p_1 \leq p \leq p_2$. Since the L^p - L^p boundedness holds if and only if $p_1 < p < p_2$, and it implies the L^p - $L^{p,\infty}$ and $L^{p,1}$ - $L^{p,\infty}$ boundedness, the real interest is in both endpoints. We obtain a negative answer for the weak type in the case $\alpha \geq 0$, and a positive answer for the restricted weak type.

Theorem. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $p_1 = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 3)$, $p_2 = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 1)$. Then the partial sum operators S_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, are not uniformly bounded as operators from $L^{p_i}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into $L^{p_i,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ but are uniformly bounded as operators from $L^{p_i,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into $L^{p_i,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$, $i = 1, 2$. In the case $-1 < \alpha < 0$ the second statement holds with $p_1 = 4/3$ and $p_2 = 4$.*

There is a close connection between S_n and the Hankel transform \mathcal{H}_α given by

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H}_\alpha f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{J_\alpha(xy)}{(xy)^\alpha} f(y)y^{2\alpha+1} dy.$$

It turns out from [24, § 5.1 (8), p. 134] and [7, proof of Lemma 4] that $S_n f = \mathcal{H}_\alpha(\chi_{[0,1]}\mathcal{H}_\alpha f) - \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,n}(\chi_{[0,1]}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,n} f) \equiv M_\alpha f - M_{\alpha,n} f$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,n}$ is given by (1) with $J_{\alpha+2n+2}$ in place of J_α . Now, Kenig and Thomas [13] proved that the multiplier M_α is not bounded from $L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into $L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$, $p = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 3)$. Chanillo [5] proved that M_α is bounded from $L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into $L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$, $p = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 3)$. Some related uniform estimates were obtained by Carbery, Romera and Soria [20, 3] in the context of the disc multiplier.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, we use C, C_1 to denote positive constants independent of n (and all other variables), which can assume different values in different occurrences. As usual, we write $f = O(g)$ in a given domain if $|f| \leq Cg$. Finally, the standard notation $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ will be used.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Some appropriate estimates for Bessel functions will be needed. For instance,

$$(2) \quad J_\nu(x) = \frac{x^\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)} + O(x^{\nu+2}), \quad x \rightarrow 0+,$$

$$(3) \quad J_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left[\cos\left(x - \frac{\nu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(x^{-1}) \right], \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the O terms depend on ν (see [24, § 3.1 (8), p. 40] and [24, § 7.21 (1), p. 199]). Some bounds for J_ν and J'_ν with constants independent of ν are also available. If $\nu > 0$, $0 < x \leq \nu/2$, and $a \geq -\nu$ then there exists some constant C_a depending only on a , such that

$$(4) \quad |J_\nu(x)|x^a \leq C_a \nu^{a-1/2} \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^\nu$$

(see [24, § 3.31, p. 49]). The formula $2J'_\nu = J_{\nu-1} - J_{\nu+1}$ proves the same bound for $J'_\nu(x)$, as well as the analogs to (2) and (3):

$$J'_\nu(x) = \frac{x^{\nu-1}}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu)} + O(x^{\nu+1}), \quad x \rightarrow 0+,$$

$$J'_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \left[-\sin\left(x - \frac{\nu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(x^{-1}) \right], \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is easy to deduce from (4) and bounds done by Barceló and Córdoba (see [2, p. 661], [8, p. 24]) that

$$(5) \quad |J_\nu(x)| \leq Cx^{-1/4} \left(|x - \nu| + \nu^{1/3}\right)^{-1/4}, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad \nu > 1$$

$$(6) \quad |J'_\nu(x)| \leq Cx^{-3/4} \left(|x - \nu| + \nu^{1/3}\right)^{1/4}, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad \nu > 1$$

with some constant C independent on ν . As a consequence, the following estimate for the norm of $x^b J_\nu(x)$ and $x^b J'_\nu(x)$ in $L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ and $L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ can be given.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\alpha > -1$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu > 1$. Let $\lambda(4, \nu) = (\log \nu)^{1/4}$, $\lambda(p, \nu) = 1$ if $p \neq 4$. Then*

- (a) $x^b J_\nu(x) \in L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ if and only if $p(b + \nu) + 2\alpha + 2 > 0$ and $p(b - \frac{1}{2}) + 2\alpha + 2 < 0$. In that case, $\|x^b J_\nu(x)\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\lambda(p, \nu)\nu^{2\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + b - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})_+}$.
- (b) $x^b J_\nu(x) \in L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ if and only if $p(b + \nu) + 2\alpha + 2 \geq 0$ and $p(b - \frac{1}{2}) + 2\alpha + 2 \leq 0$. In that case, $\|x^b J_\nu(x)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\nu^{2\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + b - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})_+}$.
- (c) $x^b J'_\nu(x) \in L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ if and only if $p(b + \nu - 1) + 2\alpha + 2 > 0$ and $p(b - \frac{1}{2}) + 2\alpha + 2 < 0$. In that case, $\|x^b J'_\nu(x)\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\nu^{2\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + b - \frac{1}{2}}$.
- (d) $x^b J'_\nu(x) \in L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ if and only if $p(b + \nu - 1) + 2\alpha + 2 \geq 0$ and $p(b - \frac{1}{2}) + 2\alpha + 2 \leq 0$. In that case, $\|x^b J'_\nu(x)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\nu^{2\frac{\alpha+1}{p} + b - \frac{1}{2}}$.

Similar results can be found in [2, 22], so we will omit the proof (details are given in [6, Chapter 2]). Let us just mention that the L^p and $L^{p,\infty}$ conditions follow easily from (2), (3), and the analogs for $J'_\nu(x)$, while the norm estimates are a consequence of (4), (5), and the analogs for $J'_\nu(x)$.

Next lemma is the main step in the proof of the uniform restricted weak type:

Lemma 2. *Let $\nu > 1$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $L_\nu(f, x) = J_\nu(x^{1/2})H(t^{1/2}J'_\nu(t^{1/2})f(t), x)$, where H denotes the Hilbert transform. Then, there exists a constant C , independent of ν , such that*

- (a) $\|L_\nu f\|_{L^p(dx)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(dx)}$, $f \in L^p(dx)$, if $p < 4$,
- (b) $\|L_\nu f\|_{L^{4,\infty}(dx)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{4,1}(dx)}$, $f \in L^{4,1}(dx)$.

Proof. (a) It follows from (5) that

$$\|L_\nu f\|_{L^p(dx)} \leq C\|H(t^{1/2}J'_\nu(t^{1/2})f(t), x)\|_{L^p(x^{-p/8}(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-p/4})}.$$

Now, $x^{-p/8}(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-p/4} \in A_p$ uniformly in ν if $p < 4$ (see [7], [11] or [23]). Thus, H is a bounded operator on $L^p(x^{-p/8}(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-p/4})$ and this, together with (6), proves (a).

(b) Let us write $L_\nu(f, x) = L_{\nu,1}(f, x) + L_{\nu,2}(f, x)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\nu,1}(f, x) &= J_\nu(x^{1/2})(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}H\left(\frac{t^{1/2}J'_\nu(t^{1/2})f(t)}{(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}}, x\right), \\ L_{\nu,2}(f, x) &= J_\nu(x^{1/2})H\left(\frac{t^{1/2}J'_\nu(t^{1/2})f(t)[(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}-(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}]}{(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}}, x\right). \end{aligned}$$

The term $L_{\nu,1}(f, x)$ is easy to handle: we have

$$|J_\nu(x^{1/2})|(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4} \leq Cx^{-1/8}$$

and $x^{-1/2} \in A_4(0, \infty)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{\nu,1}f\|_{L^{4,\infty}(dx)} &\leq C\left\|H\left(\frac{t^{1/2}J'_\nu(t^{1/2})f(t)}{(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}}, x\right)\right\|_{L^4(x^{-1/2})} \\ &\leq C\left\|\frac{x^{1/2}J'_\nu(x^{1/2})f(x)}{(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}}\right\|_{L^4(x^{-1/2})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{4,1}(dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider now $L_{\nu,2}f$. The elementary inequality $|a^{1/4}-b^{1/4}| \leq a^{-3/4}|a-b|$, which holds for every $a, b > 0$, gives

$$\left|(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}-(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{1/4}\right| \leq t^{-1/2}(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-3/4}|t-x|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{\nu,2}(f, x)| &\leq |J_\nu(x^{1/2})| \int_0^\infty |J'_\nu(t^{1/2})|(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-1}|f(t)| dt \\ &\leq |J_\nu(x^{1/2})| \|J'_\nu(t^{1/2})(|t^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-1}\|_{L^{4/3,\infty}(dt)} \|f\|_{L^{4,1}(dt)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, Lemma 1(b) and a small change in Lemma 1(d) give $\|J_\nu(x^{1/2})\|_{L^{4,\infty}(dx)} \leq C$ and $\|J'_\nu(x^{1/2})(|x^{1/2}-\nu|+\nu^{1/3})^{-1}\|_{L^{4/3,\infty}(dx)} \leq C$. \square

3. WEAK BOUNDEDNESS

Using that, for $\alpha > -1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n 2(\alpha+2k+1)J_{\alpha+2k+1}(x)J_{\alpha+2k+1}(t) = \frac{xt}{x^2-t^2} [xJ_{\alpha+1}(x)J_{\alpha}(t) - tJ_{\alpha}(x)J_{\alpha+1}(t) \\ + xJ'_{\alpha+2n+2}(x)J_{\alpha+2n+2}(t) - tJ_{\alpha+2n+2}(x)J'_{\alpha+2n+2}(t)]$$

(see [11, 23]), we have $S_n f = W_1 f - W_2 f + W_{3,n} f - W_{4,n} f$, with

$$W_1(f, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\alpha+1}J_{\alpha+1}(x)H(t^{\alpha/2}J_{\alpha}(t^{1/2})f(t^{1/2}), x^2), \\ W_2(f, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\alpha}J_{\alpha}(x)H(t^{\alpha/2+1/2}J_{\alpha+1}(t^{1/2})f(t^{1/2}), x^2), \\ W_{3,n}(f, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\alpha+1}J'_{\nu}(x)H(t^{\alpha/2}J_{\nu}(t^{1/2})f(t^{1/2}), x^2), \\ W_{4,n}(f, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{-\alpha}J_{\nu}(x)H(t^{\alpha/2+1/2}J'_{\nu}(t^{1/2})f(t^{1/2}), x^2),$$

and $\nu = \alpha + 2n + 2$. Here, H denotes the Hilbert transform on $(0, \infty)$. The following was proved in [11, Theorem 1]:

$$(7) \quad \|W_1 f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad \frac{2\alpha-1}{4(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{2\alpha+3}{4(\alpha+1)};$$

$$(8) \quad \|W_2 f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad \frac{2\alpha+1}{4(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{2\alpha+5}{4(\alpha+1)};$$

$$(9) \quad \|W_{3,n} f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad \frac{2\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}{4(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{p} < \min\left\{\frac{2\alpha+3}{4(\alpha+1)}, \frac{3}{4}\right\};$$

$$(10) \quad \|W_{4,n} f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad \max\left\{\frac{2\alpha+1}{4(\alpha+1)}, \frac{1}{4}\right\} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{2\alpha+\frac{9}{2}}{4(\alpha+1)}.$$

Now, let $\alpha \geq 0$. As mentioned in the introduction, the S_n are not bounded operators from $L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into $L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ if $p = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+1)$, so we only need to prove here that the uniform weak boundedness fails for $p = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+3)$.

It follows from (8) and (10) that W_2 and $W_{4,n}$ are uniformly bounded from $L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into itself, $p = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+3)$. Thus, it will be enough to find a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ such that the inequality

$$(11) \quad \|W_1 f_n + W_{3,n} f_n\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f_n\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})}$$

fails for every constant C . Let $f_n(t) = \text{sgn}(J_{\alpha}(t))t^{-\frac{2\alpha+3}{2}}\chi_{[1,n]}(t)$. Then,

$$\|f_n\|_{L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})} = C(\log n)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+3).$$

Now, for $\nu = \alpha + 2n + 2$ and $x > 2\nu$ we have

$$|W_{3,n}(f_n, x)| \leq Cx^{-\alpha-1}|J'_{\nu}(x)| \int_1^{n^2} t^{-\frac{3}{4}}|J_{\nu}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})| dt \leq Cx^{-\alpha-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{2n},$$

where the last step follows from (6) and (4). Thus,

$$(12) \quad \|\chi_{(2\nu,\infty)} W_{3,n} f_n\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{2n}, \quad p = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+3).$$

On the other hand, for $x > 2\nu$ we have

$$|W_1(f_n, x)| \geq Cx^{-\alpha-1}|J_{\alpha+1}(x)| \int_1^{n^2} t^{-\frac{3}{4}}|J_{\alpha}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})| dt \geq C(\log n)x^{-\alpha-1}|J_{\alpha+1}(x)|,$$

the last step following from (3). Therefore,

$$(13) \quad \|\chi_{(2\nu, \infty)}(x)W_1(f_n, x)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \geq C \log n.$$

Putting (12) and (13) together, we get

$$\|W_1 f_n + W_{3,n} f_n\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \geq C \log n, \quad p = 4(\alpha + 1)/(2\alpha + 3)$$

and (11) indeed fails. \square

4. RESTRICTED WEAK BOUNDEDNESS

By duality, we only need to prove that the self adjoint operators S_n are uniformly of restricted weak type in two cases: $\alpha \geq 0$, $p = \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1}$, and $-1 < \alpha < 0$, $p = 4$.

Case $\alpha \geq 0$ and $p = \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1}$. From (7) and (9), we conclude that W_1 and $W_{3,n}$ are uniformly bounded from $L^p(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into itself. Therefore, it is enough to prove that W_2 and $W_{4,n}$ are uniformly bounded from $L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into $L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})$, i.e.,

$$\|W_{4,n} f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad f \in L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})$$

and the same inequality for W_2 . We will consider only $W_{4,n}$, since the boundedness of W_2 is completely analogous. Let $f \in L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})$ and, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$I_k = [2^k, 2^{k+1}), \quad f_1^k = f \chi_{(0, 2^{k-1}) \cup [2^{k+2}, \infty)}, \quad f_2^k = f \chi_{[2^{k-1}, 2^{k+2})}.$$

Thus, $f = f_1^k + f_2^k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$(14) \quad |W_{4,n}(f, x)| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{4,n}(f_1^k, x)| \chi_{I_k}(x) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{4,n}(f_2^k, x)| \chi_{I_k}(x).$$

Let $x \in I_k$. Then, it is easy to check that $|x^2 - y^2| \geq \frac{3}{4}y^2$ if $y \in (0, 2^{k-1}) \cup [2^{k+2}, \infty)$. Hence, after a change of variable we get

$$|W_{4,n}(f_1^k, x)| \leq C x^{-\alpha} |J_\nu(x)| \int_0^\infty y^\alpha |J'_\nu(y)| |f(y)| dy$$

and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{4,n}(f_1^k, x)| \chi_{I_k}(x) \leq C x^{-\alpha} |J_\nu(x)| \|x^{-\alpha-1} J'_\nu(x)\|_{L^{q, \infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \|f\|_{L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Therefore, the first term in (14) is bounded:

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{4,n}(f_1^k, x)| \chi_{I_k}(x) \right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})},$$

by Lemma 1(b) and (d). Let us consider now the second term. If $x \in I_k$,

$$|W_{4,n}(f_2^k, x)| \leq C 2^{-\alpha k} \left| L_\nu(t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f_k^2(t^{1/2}), x^2) \right|.$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{4,n}(f_2^k, x) \chi_{I_k}(x)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} &\leq C 2^{-\alpha k + \frac{2\alpha k}{p}} \|\chi_{I_k}(x) L_\nu(t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f_k^2(t^{1/2}), x^2)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x dx)} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\alpha k + \frac{2\alpha k}{p}} \|x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f_k^2(x^{1/2})\|_{L^{p,1}(dx)} \leq C \|f \chi_{[2^{k-2}, 2^{k+2})}\|_{L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(15) \quad \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |W_{4,n}(f_2^k, x)| \chi_{I_k}(x) \right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})}.$$

Case $-1 < \alpha < 0$ and $p = 4$. Now, W_1 , W_2 , and $W_{3,n}$ are uniformly bounded from $L^4(x^{2\alpha+1})$ into itself (see (7), (8), (9)), so we only need to prove that

$$\|W_{4,n}f\|_{L^{4,\infty}(x^{2\alpha+1})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{4,1}(x^{2\alpha+1})}, \quad f \in L^{4,1}(x^{2\alpha+1}).$$

The above proof of (15) remains valid, while only minor changes are necessary for the first term in (14): it is not difficult to check that

$$\frac{y^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}x^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{|x^2-y^2|} \leq \frac{4}{3}$$

if $x \in I_k$ and $y \in (0, 2^{k-1}) \cup [2^{k+2}, \infty)$. Then, it follows that

$$|W_{4,n}(f_1^k, x)| \leq Cx^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}|J_\nu(x)| \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}|J'_\nu(y)||f(y)| dy. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. M. Badkov, Convergence in the mean and almost everywhere of Fourier series in polynomials orthogonal on an interval, *Math. USSR Sb.* **24** (1974), 223–256.
- [2] J. A. Barceló and A. Córdoba, Band-limited functions: L^p -convergence, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **313** (1989), 655–669.
- [3] A. Carbery, E. Romera and F. Soria, Radial weights and mixed norm inequalities for the disc multiplier, *J. Funct. Anal.* **109** (1992), 52–75.
- [4] S. Chanillo, On the weak behaviour of partial sums of Legendre series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **268** (1981), 367–376.
- [5] S. Chanillo, The multiplier for the ball and radial functions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **55** (1984), 18–24.
- [6] Ó. Ciaurri, *Aproximación de funciones cuya transformada de Hankel está soportada en $[0, 1]$* , Ph. D. Thesis, University of La Rioja, Logroño (Spain), 2000.
- [7] Ó. Ciaurri, J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez and J. L. Varona, Mean and almost everywhere convergence of Fourier-Neumann series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **236** (1999), 125–147.
- [8] A. Córdoba, The disc multiplier, *Duke Math. J.* **58** (1989), 21–29.
- [9] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, Mean and weak convergence of Fourier-Bessel series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173** (1993), 370–389.
- [10] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez and J. L. Varona, Weak behaviour of Fourier-Jacobi series, *J. Approx. Theory* **61** (1990), 222–238.
- [11] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez and J. L. Varona, Commutators and analytic dependence of Fourier-Bessel series on $(0, \infty)$, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **42** (1999), 198–208.
- [12] G. H. Hunt, On $L(p, q)$ spaces, *Enseign. Math.* **12** (1966), 249–276.
- [13] C. E. Kenig and P. A. Tomas, The weak behaviour of spherical means, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), 48–50.
- [14] B. Muckenhoupt, Mean convergence of Jacobi series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (1969), 306–310.
- [15] B. Muckenhoupt, Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (1970), 419–431.
- [16] B. Muckenhoupt, Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (1970), 433–460.
- [17] H. Pollard, The mean convergence of orthogonal series. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 355–367.
- [18] H. Pollard, The mean convergence of orthogonal series. III, *Duke Math. J.* **16** (1949), 189–191.
- [19] M. Riesz, Sur les fonctions conjuguées, *Math. Z.* **27** (1927), 218–244.
- [20] E. Romera and F. Soria, Endpoint estimates for the maximal operator associated to spherical partial sums on radial functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 1015–1022.
- [21] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1975.
- [22] K. Stempak, A weighted uniform L^p -estimate of Bessel functions: A note on a paper of Guo, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 2943–2945.
- [23] J. L. Varona, Fourier series of functions whose Hankel transform is supported on $[0, 1]$, *Constr. Approx.* **10** (1994), 65–75.

- [24] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions* (2nd edition), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1944.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA, EDIFICIO
J. L. VIVES, CALLE LUIS DE ULLOA S/N, 26004 LOGROÑO, SPAIN
E-mail address: `oscar.ciaurri@dmc.unirioja.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, EDIFICIO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA,
50009 ZARAGOZA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `mperez@posta.unizar.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA, EDIFICIO
J. L. VIVES, CALLE LUIS DE ULLOA S/N, 26004 LOGROÑO, SPAIN
E-mail address: `jvarona@dmc.unirioja.es`
URL: `http://www.unirioja.es/dptos/dmc/jvarona/welcome.html`