

ENDPOINT WEAK BOUNDEDNESS OF SOME POLYNOMIAL EXPANSIONS

José J. Guadalupe ¹, Mario Pérez ¹, Francisco J. Ruiz ¹ and Juan L. Varona ²

Abstract. Let $w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ on $[-1, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$ and for each function f let $S_n f$ be the n -th expansion in the corresponding orthonormal polynomials. We show that the operators $f \rightarrow uS_n(u^{-1}f)$ are not of weak (p, p) -type, where u is another Jacobi weight and p is an endpoint of the interval of mean convergence. The same result is shown for expansions associated to measures of the form $d\nu = w(x)dx + \sum_{i=1}^k M_i \delta_{a_i}$.

§1. Introduction and main results.

Let μ be a positive measure on \mathbb{R} with infinitely many points of increase and such that all the moments

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\mu \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

exist. Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ stand for the corresponding orthonormal polynomials. For $f \in L^1(d\mu)$, let $S_n f$ denote the n -th partial sum of the orthonormal Fourier expansion of f in $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$:

$$S_n(f, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)K_n(x, y)d\mu(y), \quad K_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_k(x)P_k(y).$$

The problem of the uniform boundedness of the partial sum operators S_n in weighted L^p spaces, that is,

$$\|uS_n f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\|uf\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \quad \forall n \geq 0, \forall f \in L^p(u^p d\mu) \quad (1)$$

has been completely solved only in some specific cases (this boundedness implies, in rather general situations, the L^p convergence of $S_n f$ to f). For example, Badkov gave in [3] necessary and sufficient conditions for (1) when $d\mu$ and u are generalized Jacobi weights (earlier results can be found in [22], [24], [21], [15]). Orthogonal Hermite and Laguerre series were studied by Askey and Wainger ([1]) and Muckenhoupt ([16], [17]).

Let us consider the case of a Jacobi weight on the interval $[-1, 1]$, that is, $d\mu = w(x)dx$,

$$w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$$

¹ Dpto. de Matemáticas. Universidad de Zaragoza. 50009 Zaragoza. Spain.

² Dpto. de Matemática Aplicada. Colegio Universitario de La Rioja. 26001 Logroño. Spain.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C10.

Research supported by DGICYT under grant PB89-0181-C02-02

AN UPDATED VERSION OF THIS MANUSCRIPT WERE PUBLISHED IN: J. Comput. Appl. Math. **49** (1993), 93–102.

and let $1 < p < \infty$. If $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$, then (see [15])

$$\|S_n f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad \forall f \in L^p(w) \quad (2)$$

if and only if p belongs to the open interval (p_0, p_1) , where

$$p_0 = \frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha + 3}, \quad p_1 = \frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha + 1}$$

when $\alpha \geq \beta$ (and the analogous formulas with α replaced by β if $\beta \geq \alpha$).

If both $\alpha, \beta > -1/2$, the authors proved (see [6]) that the n -th partial sum operators are not of weak (p, p) -type when p is an endpoint of the interval of mean convergence. In theorem 1 we extend this result to the weighted case $f \rightarrow uS_n(u^{-1}f)$, where u is also a Jacobi weight, $u(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, the weighted uniform boundedness (1) holds (see [15]) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} |a + (\alpha + 1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})| &< \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}\}, \\ |b + (\beta + 1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})| &< \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\beta + 1}{2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let us state our first result.

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$, $w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$, $u(x) = (1-x)^a(1+x)^b$, $1 < p < \infty$. Let S_n be the partial sum operators associated to w . If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^p(u^p w)$ and for every $n \geq 0$*

$$\|uS_n f\|_{L^p_*(w)} \leq C \|u f\|_{L^p(w)},$$

then the inequalities

$$|a + (\alpha + 1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})| < \frac{1}{4}, \quad |b + (\beta + 1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})| < \frac{1}{4}$$

are verified.

On the other hand, we also study the weak boundedness of the operators S_n associated to a measure $d\nu = d\mu + \sum_{i=1}^k M_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $\mu\{a_i\} = 0$. In the particular case of a Jacobi weight and two mass points on 1 and -1 , the corresponding orthonormal polynomials were studied by Koornwinder in [10] from the point of view of differential equations (see also [4], [2], [11], [12]). The authors have found (see [7]) some estimates for the orthonormal polynomials and kernels relative to this type of measures.

In this context, let us consider the polynomial expansion associated to a measure $d\nu = w(x)dx + \sum_{i=1}^k M_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$, $M_i > 0$ and take $u(x) = (1-x)^a(1+x)^b$ for $x \neq a_i$, $0 < u(a_i) < \infty$. With this notation, we can state the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|uS_n f\|_{L^p_*(d\nu)} \leq C \|u f\|_{L^p(d\nu)} \quad \forall f \in L^p(u^p d\nu), \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

if and only if the inequalities

$$|a + (\alpha + 1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)| < \frac{1}{4}, \quad |b + (\beta + 1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)| < \frac{1}{4}$$

are verified.

§2. Preliminary lemmas.

A basic tool in the study of Fourier series on the interval $[-1, 1]$ is Pollard's decomposition of the kernels $K_n(x, t)$ (see [22], [15]): if $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence of polynomials orthonormal with respect to $w(x)dx$ and $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence of polynomials relating to $(1 - x^2)w(x)dx$, then

$$K_n(x, t) = r_n T_{1,n}(x, t) + s_n T_{2,n}(x, t) + s_n T_{3,n}(x, t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,n}(x, t) &= P_{n+1}(x)P_{n+1}(t), \\ T_{2,n}(x, t) &= (1 - t^2) \frac{P_{n+1}(x)Q_n(t)}{x - t}, \\ T_{3,n}(x, t) &= (1 - x^2) \frac{P_{n+1}(t)Q_n(x)}{t - x} \end{aligned}$$

and $\{r_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$ are bounded sequences. In fact, for any measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ with $\mu' > 0$ *a.e.* (in particular, for $w(x)dx$),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = -1/2, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1/2$$

(this can be deduced from [22] and [23] or [13]). Therefore, we can write

$$S_n f = r_n W_{1,n} f + s_n W_{2,n} f - s_n W_{3,n} f,$$

where

$$W_{1,n} f(x) = P_{n+1}(x) \int_{-1}^1 P_{n+1}(t) f(t) w(t) dt,$$

$$W_{2,n} f(x) = P_{n+1}(x) H((1 - t^2) Q_n(t) f(t) w(t), x)$$

and

$$W_{3,n} f(x) = (1 - x^2) Q_n(x) H(P_{n+1}(t) f(t) w(t), x),$$

H being the Hilbert transform on the interval $[-1, 1]$. Thus, the study of S_n can be reduced to that of $W_{i,n}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

The boundedness of the Hilbert transform can be stated in terms of Muckenhoupt's A_p classes of weights (see [9] and [19]; throughout this paper, the Hilbert transform, as well as the A_p classes, are taken on the interval $[-1, 1]$): if u is a weight on $[-1, 1]$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

then $u \in A_p$ if and only if H is a bounded operator in $L^p(u)$, with a constant which depends only on the A_p constant of u .

Concerning mixed weak norm inequalities for the Hilbert transform, we can state the following property, which can be proved in the same way as in theorem 3 of [18]: assume that $u_1(x), u_2(x), v(x) \geq 0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u_2 Hg\|_{L_*^p(u_1)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^p(v)} \quad \forall g \in L^p(v);$$

then, there exists another constant $B > 0$ which depends only on C , such that for every interval I

$$\|u_2 \chi_I\|_{L_*^p(u_1)} \left(\int_{-1}^1 \frac{v(x)^{-1/(p-1)}}{(|I| + |x - x_I|)^q} dx \right)^{1/q} \leq B, \quad (4)$$

x_I being the centre of I and $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

The polynomials P_n satisfy the estimate

$$|P_n(x)| \leq C(1-x)^{-(2\alpha+1)/4}(1+x)^{-(2\beta+1)/4} \quad \forall n, \forall x \in [-1, 1] \quad (5)$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of x and n . A similar estimate is verified by Q_n , with $\alpha + 1$ and $\beta + 1$ instead of α and β :

$$|Q_n(x)| \leq C(1-x)^{-(2\alpha+3)/4}(1+x)^{-(2\beta+3)/4} \quad \forall n, \forall x \in [-1, 1]. \quad (6)$$

Thus, the following easy result will be useful.

Lemma 3. *Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $|x|^r \in A_p([-1, 1]) \iff -1 < r < p - 1$.*

The same property holds if we replace x by $x - a$, with $a \in [-1, 1]$. Even more, it is not difficult to show that in order to see whether a finite product of this type of expressions belongs to A_p , we only need to check the above inequalities for each factor separately.

We will eventually need to show that some of the operators are not of strong or weak type. In this sense, this lemma (see [14]) will be used:

Lemma 4. *Let $\text{supp } d\alpha = [-1, 1]$, $\alpha' > 0$ a.e. in $[-1, 1]$, and $0 < p \leq \infty$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if g is a Lebesgue-measurable function on $[-1, 1]$, then*

$$\|\alpha'(x)^{-1/2}(1-x^2)^{-1/4}\|_{L^p(|g|^p dx)} \leq C \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\|_{L^p(|g|^p dx)}.$$

There is a weak version of this property: it is a consequence of Kolmogorov's condition (see [5], lemma V.2.8, p. 485) and the previous lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $\text{supp } d\alpha = [-1, 1]$, $\alpha' > 0$ a.e. in $[-1, 1]$, and $0 < p < \infty$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if g, h are Lebesgue-measurable functions on $[-1, 1]$, then*

$$\|\alpha'(x)^{-1/2}(1-x^2)^{-1/4}g(x)\|_{L_*^p(|h|^p dx)} \leq C \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n g\|_{L_*^p(|h|^p dx)}.$$

The following lemma will be useful to estimate some weighted L_*^p norms:

Lemma 6. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$. Then,

$$\chi_{(0,a)}(x)x^r \in L_*^p(x^s dx) \iff pr + s + 1 \geq 0, \quad (r, s) \neq (0, -1).$$

Moreover, in this case there is a constant K depending on r, s, p such that

$$\|\chi_{(0,a)}(x)x^r\|_{L_*^p(x^s dx)} = Ka^{r+(s+1)/p}.$$

§3. Proof of theorem 1.

The weak boundedness

$$\|uS_n f\|_{L_*^p(w)} \leq C\|u f\|_{L^p(w)}$$

implies the following conditions (see [6], theorem 1, with the appropriate changes):

$$u \in L_*^p(w),$$

$$u^{-1} \in L^q(w),$$

$$u(x)w(x)^{-1/2}(1-x^2)^{-1/4} \in L_*^p(w),$$

$$u(x)^{-1}w(x)^{-1/2}(1-x^2)^{-1/4} \in L^q(w),$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. With the weight $u(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ and having in mind that $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$, this means

$$-\frac{1}{4} \leq a + (\alpha + 1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{4},$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} \leq b + (\beta + 1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, we only need to show that the equality cannot occur in the left hand side of these equations. Assume, for example,

$$-\frac{1}{4} = a + (\alpha + 1)\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (7)$$

Let us consider again Pollard's decomposition of the partial sums $S_n f$. We will prove that there exists a constant C such that

$$\|uW_{1,n} f\|_{L_*^p(w)} \leq C\|u f\|_{L^p(w)}$$

and

$$\|uW_{3,n} f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C\|u f\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

This, together with the boundedness of S_n , implies the same property for $W_{2,n}$ and will lead to a contradiction.

a) *Boundedness of $W_{1,n}$.* From its definition, we have

$$\|uW_{1,n} f\|_{L_*^p(w)} \leq \|uP_{n+1}\|_{L_*^p(w)} \|u^{-1}P_{n+1}\|_{L^q(w)} \|u f\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

So, we only need to prove

$$\|uP_n\|_{L^p_*(w)} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\|u^{-1}P_n\|_{L^q(w)} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which follows from lemma 6, (5) and the dominate convergence theorem.

b) *Boundedness of $W_{3,n}$.* Using again (5) and (6), it is enough to obtain

$$\|Hg\|_{L^p(v)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^p(v)} \quad \forall g \in L^p(v),$$

with

$$v(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha+ap+p(1-2\alpha)/4}(1+x)^{\beta+ap+p(1-2\beta)/4}.$$

Now, we only need to prove that $v \in A_p$. This can be deduced from lemma 3.

c) From a), b) and the hypothesis, we have a constant C such that for all $f \in L^p(u^pw)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|uW_{2,n}f\|_{L^p_*(w)} \leq C\|uf\|_{L^p(w)},$$

that is,

$$\|uP_{n+1}Hg\|_{L^p_*(w)} \leq C\|u(x)(1-x^2)^{-1}Q_n(x)^{-1}w(x)^{-1}g\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

Applying (4), we have

$$\|uP_{n+1}\chi_I\|_{L^p_*(w)} \left(\int_{-1}^1 \frac{u(x)^{-q}(1-x^2)^q|Q_n(x)|^qw(x)}{(|I|+|x-x_I|)^q} dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C$$

for every interval $I \subseteq [-1, 1]$, with a constant $C > 0$ independent of n and I ; by lemma 5 with $I = [1-\varepsilon, 1]$, it follows

$$\|x^{a-\alpha/2-1/4}\chi_{[0,\varepsilon]}\|_{L^p_*(x^\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{x^{-aq+q/4+\alpha(1-q/2)}}{(\varepsilon+|x-\varepsilon/2|)^q} dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C. \quad (8)$$

Now, by lemma 6 and (7)

$$\|x^{a-\alpha/2-1/4}\chi_{[0,\varepsilon]}\|_{L^p_*(x^\alpha)} = K \quad (9)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^{-aq+q/4+\alpha(1-q/2)}}{(\varepsilon+|x-\varepsilon/2|)^q} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{x^{1/(p-1)}}{(\varepsilon+|x-\varepsilon/2|)^q} dx \geq C \int_\varepsilon^1 x^{1/(p-1)-q} dx = C|\log \varepsilon|,$$

which, together with (9), leads to a contradiction in (8). Therefore, (7) cannot be true and the theorem is proved.

§4. Adding mass points.

Let $d\mu$ be a positive measure on \mathbb{R} , $d\nu = d\mu + \sum_{i=1}^k M_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $M_i > 0$, $\mu\{a_i\} = 0$. Let also u be a weight such that $0 < u(a_i) < \infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). We will denote by $\{K_n(x, y)\}$ the kernels relative to $d\mu$ and by $\{L_n(x, y)\}$ the kernels relative to $d\nu$. Then, the n -th partial sum of the Fourier series with respect to $d\nu$ is given by

$$S_n f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_n(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y).$$

Let us take $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and

$$T_n f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_n(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Then

Theorem 7. *With the above notation, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|u S_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)} \leq C \|u f\|_{L^p(d\nu)} \quad \forall n \geq 0, \forall f \in L^p(u^p d\nu) \quad (10)$$

if and only if there exists another constant C such that:

- a) $\|u T_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)} \leq C \|u f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \quad \forall n \geq 0, \forall f \in L^p(u^p d\mu);$
- b) $u(a_i) \|u^{-1} L_n(x, a_i)\|_{L^q(d\mu)} \leq C \quad \forall n \geq 0, (i = 1, \dots, k);$
- c) $\|u L_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)} \leq C u(a_i) \quad \forall n \geq 0, (i = 1, \dots, k).$

The same holds replacing $L_^p(d\nu)$ by $L^p(d\nu)$ and $L_*^p(d\mu)$ by $L^p(d\mu)$.*

Proof. From the definition, it follows

$$S_n f(x) = T_n f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k M_i L_n(x, a_i) f(a_i). \quad (11)$$

Now, suppose (10) holds. If $f \in L^p(u^p d\nu)$, let us define $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \neq a_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $g(a_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Since $\mu(\{a_i\}) = 0$, we have $S_n g = T_n f$ and

$$\|u g\|_{L^p(d\nu)} = \|u f\|_{L^p(d\mu)}.$$

Therefore, (10) implies

$$\|u T_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)} \leq C \|u f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \quad \forall n \geq 0, \forall f \in L^p(u^p d\nu). \quad (12)$$

Taking now $f = \chi_{\{a_i\}}$ we obtain $S_n f(x) = M_i L_n(x, a_i)$ and $\|u f\|_{L^p(d\nu)} = M_i^{1/p} u(a_i)$. Thus, (10) also implies

$$\|u L_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)} \leq C u(a_i) \quad \forall n \geq 0, (i = 1, \dots, k). \quad (13)$$

Actually, since $\|uf\|_{L^p(d\mu)}$, $u(a_i)|f(a_i)| \leq \|uf\|_{L^p(d\nu)}$ it is immediate from (11) that (12) and (13) imply (10). So, we only need to show that (12) is equivalent to *a*) and *b*) and that (13) is the same as *c*).

It is easy to see that

$$\|uL_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)}^p \leq \|uL_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)}^p + \sum_{j=1}^k M_j u(a_j)^p |L_n(a_j, a_i)|^p.$$

Now, by Schwarz inequality we have

$$|L_n(a_j, a_i)| \leq L_n(a_j, a_j)^{1/2} L_n(a_i, a_i)^{1/2}$$

and $\{L_n(a_i, a_i)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a bounded sequence, since $\mu(\{a_i\}) > 0$. Therefore

$$\|uL_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)}^p \leq \|uL_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)}^p \leq \|uL_n(x, a_i)\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)}^p + C$$

and (13) is actually equivalent to *c*).

Let us examine now condition (12). It is easy to see that

$$\|uT_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)}^p \leq \|uT_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^k M_i u(a_i)^p |T_n f(a_i)|^p,$$

$$\|uT_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\mu)}^p \leq \|uT_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)}^p$$

and

$$M_i u(a_i)^p |T_n f(a_i)|^p \leq \|uT_n f\|_{L_*^p(d\nu)}^p.$$

Thus, (12) holds if and only if condition *a*) holds together with

$$u(a_i)|T_n f(a_i)| \leq C \|uf\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad \forall f \in L^p(u^p d\mu) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Taking into account that

$$T_n f(a_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_n(a_i, x) f(x) d\mu(x),$$

this last inequality is simply *b*).

The proof can be rewritten with L^p norms instead of L_*^p norms.

The operators T_n can be handled in a similar way to expansions with respect to $d\mu$. As to like in parts *b*) and *c*), let us introduce the following notation:

$$d\mu^c(x) = (x - c)^2 d\mu(x);$$

$\{P_n^c\}$ is the sequence of orthonormal polynomials relative to $d\mu^c$;

$$P_n^c(x) = k_n^c x^n + \dots, \quad k_n^c > 0;$$

$\{K_n^c(x, y)\}$ is the sequence of kernels relative to $d\mu^c$.

Then (see [7])

Proposition 8. Let $d\mu$ be a positive measure on \mathbb{R} , $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $M > 0$. Let $\{\tilde{P}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the polynomials orthonormal with respect to $d\mu + M\delta_c$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist two constants $A_n, B_n \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = A_n P_n(x) + B_n (x - c) P_{n-1}^c(x).$$

Furthermore, if $\text{supp } d\mu = [-1, 1]$, $\mu' > 0$ a.e. and $c \in [-1, 1]$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n K_{n-1}(c, c) = \frac{1}{\lambda(c) + M}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = \frac{M}{\lambda(c) + M},$$

where

$$\lambda(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K_n(c, c)}.$$

We can also find some relations which involve the kernels.

Proposition 9. Let $d\mu$ be a positive measure on \mathbb{R} , $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M > 0$. Let $\{\tilde{K}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the kernels relative to $d\mu + M\delta_c$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\tilde{K}_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 + MK_n(c, c)} K_n(x, y) + \frac{MK_n(c, c)}{1 + MK_n(c, c)} (x - c)(y - c) K_{n-1}^c(x, y).$$

Propositions 8 and 9 lead to bounds for \tilde{P}_n and \tilde{K}_n , provided bounds for P_n, P_n^c, K_n, K_n^c are known. These bounds, together with theorem 7, can be used to prove theorem 2 (see [8]).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Askey and S. Wainger, *Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 695–708.
- [2] F. V. Atkinson and W. N. Everitt, *Orthogonal polynomials which satisfy second order differential equations*, in “E. B. Christoffel, the influence of his work on mathematics and the physical sciences” (P. L. Butzer and F. Fehér, eds.), Birkhäuser, 1981, pp. 173–181.
- [3] V. M. Badkov, *Convergence in the mean and almost everywhere of Fourier series in polynomials orthogonal on an interval*, Math. USSR Sb. **24** (1974), 223–256.
- [4] T. S. Chihara, *Orthogonal polynomials and measures with end point masses*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **15** (1985), 705–719.
- [5] J. García Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, “*Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*”, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [6] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez and J. L. Varona, *Weak behaviour of Fourier-Jacobi series*, J. Approx. Theory **61** (1990), 222–238.

- [7] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, *Asymptotic behaviour of orthogonal polynomials relative to measures with mass points* (preprint).
- [8] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, *On mean and weak convergence of Fourier series relative to measures with mass points* (preprint).
- [9] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 227–251.
- [10] T. Koornwinder, *Orthogonal polynomials with weight function $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta + M\delta(x+1) + N\delta(x-1)$* , Canad. Math. Bull. **27** (1984), 205–214.
- [11] A. M. Krall, *Orthogonal polynomials satisfying fourth order differential equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **87** (1981), 271–288.
- [12] L. L. Littlejohn, *On the classification of differential equations having orthogonal polynomial solutions*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **138** (1984), 35–53.
- [13] A. Máté, P. Nevai and V. Totik, *Asymptotics for the ratio of the leading coefficients of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, Constr. Approx. **1** (1985), 63–69.
- [14] A. Máté, P. Nevai and V. Totik, *Necessary conditions for weighted mean convergence of Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **46** (1986), 314–322.
- [15] B. Muckenhoupt, *Mean convergence of Jacobi series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 306–310.
- [16] B. Muckenhoupt, *Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (1970), 419–431.
- [17] B. Muckenhoupt, *Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (1970), 433–460.
- [18] B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Two weight function norm inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and the Hilbert transform*, Studia Math. **55** (1976), 279–294.
- [19] C. J. Neugebauer, *Inserting A_p weights*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 644–648.
- [20] P. Nevai, *“Orthogonal Polynomials”*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 18, n. 213, Providence, RI, U.S.A., 1979.
- [21] J. Newman and W. Rudin, *Mean convergence of orthogonal series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 219–222.
- [22] H. Pollard, *The mean convergence of orthogonal series. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 355–367.
- [23] E. A. Rahmanov, *On the asymptotics of the ratio of orthogonal polynomials. II*, Math. USSR. Sb. **46** (1983), 105–117.
- [24] G. M. Wing, *The mean convergence of orthogonal series*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 792–808.