

Mean convergence of Fourier-Dunkl series

Óscar Ciaurri^{a,1}, Mario Pérez^{b,1,2,*}, Juan Manuel Reyes^c, Juan Luis Varona^{a,1}

^a*CIME and Departamento de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26004 Logroño, Spain*

^b*IUMA and Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain*

^c*Departament de Tecnologia, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, 08003 Barcelona, Spain*

Abstract

In the context of the Dunkl transform a complete orthogonal system arises in a very natural way. This paper studies the weighted norm convergence of the Fourier series expansion associated to this system. We establish conditions on the weights, in terms of the A_p classes of Muckenhoupt, which ensure the convergence. Necessary conditions are also proved, which for a wide class of weights coincide with the sufficient conditions.

Keywords: Dunkl transform, Fourier-Dunkl series, orthogonal system, mean convergence

2000 MSC: Primary 42C10; Secondary 33C10

1. Introduction

For $\alpha > -1$, let J_α denote the Bessel function of order α :

$$J_\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (x/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}$$

(a classical reference on Bessel functions is [17]). Throughout this paper, by $\frac{J_\alpha(z)}{z^\alpha}$ we denote the even function

$$\frac{1}{2^\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1)$$

In this way, for complex values of z , let

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(z) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \frac{J_\alpha(iz)}{(iz)^\alpha} = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)};$$

the function \mathcal{I}_α is a small variation of the so-called modified Bessel function of the first kind and order α , usually denoted by I_α . Also, let us take

$$E_\alpha(z) = \mathcal{I}_\alpha(z) + \frac{z}{2(\alpha + 1)} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

These functions are related with the so-called Dunkl transform on the real line (see [6] and [7] for details), which is a generalization of the Fourier transform. In particular, $E_{-1/2}(x) = e^x$ and the Dunkl transform

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: oscar.ciaurri@unirioja.es (Óscar Ciaurri), mperez@unizar.es (Mario Pérez), reyes.juanmanuel@gmail.com (Juan Manuel Reyes), jvarona@unirioja.es (Juan Luis Varona)

¹Supported by grant MTM2009-12740-C03-03, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain

²Supported by grant E-64, Gobierno de Aragón, Spain

of order $\alpha = -1/2$ becomes the Fourier transform. Very recently, many authors have been investigating the behaviour of the Dunkl transform with respect to several problems already studied for the Fourier transform; for instance, Paley-Wiener theorems [1], multipliers [4], uncertainty [16], Cowling-Price's theorem [11], transplattation [14], Riesz transforms [15], and so on. The aim of this paper is to pose and analyse in this new context the weighted L^p convergence of the associated Fourier series in the spirit of the classical scheme which, for the trigonometric Fourier series, can be seen in Hunt, Muckenhoupt and Wheeden's paper [10].

The function \mathcal{I}_α is even, and $E_\alpha(ix)$ can be expressed as

$$E_\alpha(ix) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \left(\frac{J_\alpha(x)}{x^\alpha} + \frac{J_{\alpha+1}(x)}{x^{\alpha+1}} xi \right).$$

Let $\{s_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ be the increasing sequence of positive zeros of $J_{\alpha+1}$. The real-valued function $\text{Im } E_\alpha(ix) = \frac{x}{2(\alpha+1)} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(ix)$ is odd and its zeros are $\{s_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $s_{-j} = -s_j$ and $s_0 = 0$. In connection with the Dunkl transform on the real line, two of the authors introduced the functions e_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(x) &= 2^{(\alpha+1)/2} \Gamma(\alpha + 2)^{1/2}, \\ e_j(x) &= \frac{2^{\alpha/2} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)^{1/2}}{|\mathcal{I}_\alpha(is_j)|} E_\alpha(is_j x), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $\alpha = -1/2$ corresponds to the classical trigonometric Fourier setting: $\mathcal{I}_{-1/2}(z) = \cos(iz)$, $\mathcal{I}_{1/2}(z) = \frac{\sin(iz)}{iz}$, $s_j = \pi j$, $E_{-1/2}(is_j x) = e^{i\pi j x}$, and $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the trigonometric system with the appropriate multiplicative constant so that it is orthonormal on $(-1, 1)$ with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure $(2\pi)^{-1/2} dx$.

For all values of $\alpha > -1$, in [5] the sequence $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ was proved to be a complete orthonormal system in $L^2((-1, 1), d\mu_\alpha)$, $d\mu_\alpha(x) = (2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1))^{-1} |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx$. That is to say

$$\int_{-1}^1 e_j(x) \overline{e_k(x)} d\mu_\alpha(x) = \delta_{jk}$$

and for each $f \in L^2((-1, 1), d\mu_\alpha)$ the series

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-1}^1 f(y) \overline{e_j(y)} d\mu_\alpha(y) \right) e_j(x),$$

which we will refer to as Fourier-Dunkl series, converges to f in the norm of $L^2((-1, 1), d\mu_\alpha)$. The next step is to ask for which $p \in (1, \infty)$, $p \neq 2$, the convergence holds in $L^p((-1, 1), d\mu_\alpha)$. The problem is equivalent, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, to the uniform boundedness on $L^p((-1, 1), d\mu_\alpha)$ of the partial sum operators $S_n f$ given by

$$S_n f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(y) K_n(x, y) d\mu_\alpha(y),$$

where $K_n(x, y) = \sum_{j=-n}^n e_j(x) \overline{e_j(y)}$. We are interested in weighted norm estimates of the form

$$\|S_n(f)U\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|fV\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)},$$

where C is a constant independent of n and f , and U, V are nonnegative functions on $(-1, 1)$.

Before stating our results, let us fix some notation. The conjugate exponent of $p \in (1, \infty)$ is denoted by p' . That is,

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1, \quad \text{or} \quad p' = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

For an interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the Muckenhoupt class $A_p(a, b)$ consists of those pairs of nonnegative functions (u, v) on (a, b) such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

for every interval $I \subseteq (a, b)$, with some constant $C > 0$ independent of I . The smallest constant satisfying this property is called the A_p constant of the pair (u, v) .

We say that $(u, v) \in A_p^\delta(a, b)$ (where $\delta > 1$) if $(u^\delta, v^\delta) \in A_p(a, b)$. It follows from Hölder's inequality that $A_p^\delta(a, b) \subseteq A_p(a, b)$.

If $u \equiv 0$ or $v \equiv \infty$, it is trivial that $(u, v) \in A_p(a, b)$ for any interval (a, b) . Otherwise, for a bounded interval (a, b) , if $(u, v) \in A_p(a, b)$ then the functions u and $v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ are integrable on (a, b) .

Throughout this paper, C denotes a positive constant which may be different in each occurrence.

2. Main results

We state here some A_p conditions which ensure the weighted L^p boundedness of these Fourier-Dunkl orthogonal expansions. For simplicity, we separate the general result corresponding to arbitrary weights in two theorems, the first one for $\alpha \geq -1/2$ and the second one for $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha \geq -1/2$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let U, V be weights on $(-1, 1)$. Assume that*

$$\left(U(x)^p |x|^{(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(2-p)}, V(x)^p |x|^{(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(2-p)} \right) \in A_p^\delta(-1, 1) \quad (2)$$

for some $\delta > 1$ (or $\delta = 1$ if $U = V$). Then there exists a constant C independent of n and f such that

$$\|S_n(f)U\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|fV\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let U, V be weights on $(-1, 1)$. Let us suppose that U, V satisfy the conditions*

$$\left(U(x)^p |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)}, V(x)^p |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right) \in A_p^\delta(-1, 1), \quad (3)$$

$$\left(U(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1}, V(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} \right) \in A_p^\delta(-1, 1) \quad (4)$$

for some $\delta > 1$ (or $\delta = 1$ if $U = V$). Then there exists a constant C independent of n and f such that

$$\|S_n(f)U\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|fV\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)}.$$

As we mentioned in the introduction, the case $\alpha = -1/2$ corresponds to the classical trigonometric case. Accordingly, (2) reduces then to $(U^p, V^p) \in A_p^\delta(-1, 1)$. It should be noted also that taking real and imaginary parts in these Fourier-Dunkl series we would obtain the so-called Fourier-Bessel series on $(0, 1)$ (see [18, 2, 3, 9]), but the known results for Fourier-Bessel series do not give a proof of the above theorems. Also in connection with Fourier-Bessel series on $(0, 1)$, Lemma 3 below can be used to improve some results of [9].

Theorems 1 and 2 establish some sufficient conditions for the L^p boundedness. Our next result presents some necessary conditions. To avoid unnecessary subtleties, we exclude the trivial cases $U \equiv 0$ and $V \equiv \infty$.

Theorem 3. *Let $-1 < \alpha$, $1 < p < \infty$, and U, V weights on $(-1, 1)$, neither $U \equiv 0$ nor $V \equiv \infty$. If there exists some constant C such that, for every n and every f ,*

$$\|S_n(f)U\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|fV\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_\alpha)},$$

then $U \leq CV$ almost everywhere on $(-1, 1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} U(x)^p |x|^{(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})(2-p)} &\in L^1((-1, 1), dx), \\ \left(V(x)^p |x|^{(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})(2-p)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} &= V(x)^{-p'} |x|^{(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})(2-p')} \in L^1((-1, 1), dx), \\ U(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} &\in L^1((-1, 1), dx), \\ \left(V(x)^p |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} &= V(x)^{-p'} |x|^{2\alpha+1} \in L^1((-1, 1), dx). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the first two integrability conditions imply the other two if $\alpha \geq -1/2$, while the last two imply the other if $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$.

When U, V are power-like weights, it is easy to check that the conditions of Theorem 3 are equivalent to the A_p conditions (2), (3), (4). By power-like weights we mean finite products of the form $|x - t|^\gamma$, for some constants t, γ . For these weights, therefore, Theorems 1, 2 and 3 characterize the boundedness of the Fourier-Dunkl expansions. For instance, we have the following particular case:

Corollary. Let $b, A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p < \infty$, and

$$U(x) = |x|^b (1-x)^A (1+x)^B.$$

Then, there exists some constant C such that

$$\|U S_n f\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|U f\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}$$

for every f and n if and only if $-1 < Ap < p - 1$, $-1 < Bp < p - 1$ and

$$-1 + p\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)_+ < bp + 2\alpha + 1 < p - 1 + p(2\alpha + 1) - p\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)_+,$$

where $(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})_+ = \max\{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 0\}$.

In the unweighted case ($U = V = 1$) the boundedness of the partial sum operators S_n , or in other words the convergence of the Fourier-Dunkl series, holds if and only if

$$\frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha + 3} < p < \frac{4(\alpha + 1)}{2\alpha + 1}$$

in the case $\alpha \geq -1/2$, and for the whole range $1 < p < \infty$ in the case $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$.

Remark. These conditions for the unweighted case are exactly the same as in the Fourier-Bessel case when the orthonormal functions are $2^{1/2}|J_{\alpha+1}(s_n)|^{-1}J_\alpha(s_n x)x^{-\alpha}$ and the orthogonality measure is $x^{2\alpha+1} dx$ on the interval $(0, 1)$.

Other variants of Bessel orthogonal systems exist in the literature, see [2, 3, 18]. For instance, one can take the functions $2^{1/2}|J_{\alpha+1}(s_n)|^{-1}J_\alpha(s_n x)$, which are orthonormal with respect to the measure $x dx$ on the interval $(0, 1)$. The conditions for the boundedness of these Fourier-Bessel series, as can be seen in [3], correspond to taking $A = B = 0$ and $b = \alpha - \frac{2\alpha+1}{p}$ in our corollary. Another usual case is to take the functions $(2x)^{1/2}|J_{\alpha+1}(s_n)|^{-1}J_\alpha(s_n x)$, which are orthonormal with respect to the measure dx on $(0, 1)$. Passing from one orthogonality to another consists basically in changing the weights. Then, from the weighted L^p boundedness of any of these systems we easily deduce a corresponding weighted L^p boundedness for any of the other systems.

In the case of the Fourier-Dunkl series on $(-1, 1)$ we feel, however, that the natural setting is to start from $J_\alpha(z)z^{-\alpha}$, since these functions, defined by (1), are holomorphic on \mathbb{C} ; in particular, they are well defined on the interval $(-1, 1)$.

3. Auxiliary results

We will need to control some basic operator in weighted L^p spaces on $(-1, 1)$. For a function $g : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Calderón operator is defined by

$$Ag(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x |g(y)| dy + \int_x^2 \frac{|g(y)|}{y} dy,$$

that is, the sum of the Hardy operator and its adjoint. The weighted norm inequality

$$\|Ag\|_{L^p((0,2),u)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^p((0,2),v)}$$

holds for every $g \in L^p((0, 2), v)$, provided that $(u, v) \in A_p^\delta(0, 2)$ for some $\delta > 1$, and $\delta = 1$ is enough if $u = v$ (see [12, 13]). Let us consider now the operator J defined by

$$Jf(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)}{2-x-y} dy$$

for $x \in (-1, 1)$ and suitable functions f . With the notation $f_1(t) = f(1-t)$, we have

$$|Jf(x)| = \left| \int_0^2 \frac{f(1-t)}{1-x+t} dt \right| \leq A(f_1)(1-x)$$

and a simple change of variables proves that the weighted norm inequality

$$\|Jf\|_{L^p((-1,1),u)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p((-1,1),v)}$$

holds for every $f \in L^p((-1, 1), v)$, provided that $(u, v) \in A_p^\delta(-1, 1)$ for some $\delta > 1$ (or $\delta = 1$ if $u = v$).

The Hilbert transform on the interval $(-1, 1)$ is defined as

$$Hg(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{g(y)}{x-y} dy.$$

The above weighted norm inequality holds also for the Hilbert transform with the same $A_p^\delta(-1, 1)$ condition (see [10, 13]). In both cases, the norm inequalities hold with a constant C depending only on the A_p^δ constant of the pair (u, v) .

Our first objective is to obtain a suitable estimate for the kernel $K_n(x, y)$. With this aim, we will use some well-known properties of Bessel (and related) functions, that can be found on [17]. For the Bessel functions we have the asymptotics

$$J_\nu(z) = \frac{z^\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)} + O(z^{\nu+2}), \quad (5)$$

if $|z| < 1$, $|\arg(z)| \leq \pi$; and

$$J_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \left[\cos \left(z - \frac{\nu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O(e^{\text{Im}(z)} z^{-1}) \right], \quad (6)$$

if $|z| \geq 1$, $|\arg(z)| \leq \pi - \theta$. The Hankel function of the first kind, denoted by $H_\nu^{(1)}$, is defined as

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = J_\nu(z) + iY_\nu(z),$$

where Y_ν denotes the Weber function, given by

$$Y_\nu(z) = \frac{J_\nu(z) \cos \nu\pi - J_{-\nu}(z)}{\sin \nu\pi}, \quad \text{if } \nu \notin \mathbb{Z},$$

$$Y_n(z) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow n} \frac{J_\nu(z) \cos \nu\pi - J_{-\nu}(z)}{\sin \nu\pi}, \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From these definitions, we have

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \frac{J_{-\nu}(z) - e^{-\nu\pi i} J_\nu(z)}{i \sin \nu\pi}, \text{ if } \nu \notin \mathbb{Z},$$

$$H_n^{(1)}(z) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow n} \frac{J_{-\nu}(z) - e^{-\nu\pi i} J_\nu(z)}{i \sin \nu\pi}, \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For the function $H_\nu^{(1)}$, the asymptotic

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} e^{i(z-\nu\pi/2-\pi/4)} [C + O(z^{-1})] \quad (7)$$

holds for $|z| > 1$, $-\pi < \arg(z) < 2\pi$, with some constant C .

As usual for the L^p convergence of orthogonal expansions, the results are consequences of suitable estimates for the kernel $K_n(x, y)$. The next lemma contains an estimate for the difference between the kernel $K_n(x, y)$ and an integral containing the product of two E_α functions. This integral can be evaluated using Lemma 1 in [5]. Next, to obtain the estimate we consider an appropriate function in the complex plane having poles in the points s_j and integrate this function along a suitable path.

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha > -1$. Then, there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that for each $n \geq 1$ and $x, y \in (-1, 1)$,*

$$\left| K_n(x, y) - \int_{-M_n}^{M_n} E_\alpha(izx) \overline{E_\alpha(izy)} d\mu_\alpha(z) \right| \leq C \left(\frac{|xy|^{-(\alpha+1/2)}}{2-x-y} + 1 \right),$$

where $M_n = (s_n + s_{n+1})/2$.

Proof. Using elementary algebraic manipulations, the kernel $K_n(x, y)$ can be written as

$$K_n(x, y) = 2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+2) + \frac{2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(xy)^\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{J_\alpha(s_j x) J_\alpha(s_j y) + J_{\alpha+1}(s_j x) J_{\alpha+1}(s_j y)}{J_\alpha(s_j)^2}. \quad (8)$$

Let us find a function whose residues at the points s_j are the terms in the series, so that this series can be expressed as an integral. The identities

$$-J'_{\alpha+1}(z) H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(z) + J_{\alpha+1}(z) (H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)})'(z) = \frac{2i}{\pi z}$$

(see [19, p. 76]), and

$$z J'_{\alpha+1}(z) + (\alpha+1) J_{\alpha+1}(z) = -z J_\alpha(z),$$

give

$$-J'_{\alpha+1}(s_j) H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(s_j) = \frac{2i}{\pi s_j}$$

and

$$J'_{\alpha+1}(s_j) = -J_\alpha(s_j)$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2i}{\pi} |xy|^{1/2} \frac{J_\alpha(s_j x) J_\alpha(s_j y) + J_{\alpha+1}(s_j x) J_{\alpha+1}(s_j y)}{J_\alpha(s_j)^2} \\ &= -\frac{2i}{\pi} |xy|^{1/2} \frac{J_\alpha(s_j x) J_\alpha(s_j y) + J_{\alpha+1}(s_j x) J_{\alpha+1}(s_j y)}{J'_{\alpha+1}(s_j)^2} \\ &= |xy|^{1/2} s_j H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(s_j) \frac{J_\alpha(s_j x) J_\alpha(s_j y) + J_{\alpha+1}(s_j x) J_{\alpha+1}(s_j y)}{J'_{\alpha+1}(s_j)} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow s_j} (z - s_j) H_{x,y}(z) = \text{Res}(H_{x,y}, s_j), \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$H_{x,y}(z) = |xy|^{1/2} z H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(z) \frac{J_\alpha(zx)J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx)J_{\alpha+1}(zy)}{J_{\alpha+1}(z)}$$

(the factor $|xy|^{1/2}$ is taken for convenience). The fact that $J_\nu(-z) = e^{\nu\pi i} J_\nu(z)$ gives $\text{Res}(H_{x,y}, s_j) = \text{Res}(H_{x,y}, -s_j)$.

Since the definition of $H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(z)$ differs in case $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, for the rest of the proof we will assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$; the other case can be deduced by considering the limit.

The function $H_{x,y}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -M_n] \cup [M_n, \infty) \cup \{\pm s_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\})$. Moreover, the points $\pm s_j$ are simple poles. So, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{S} \cup \mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)} H_{x,y}(z) dz = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)$ is the interval $[-M_n, M_n]$ warped with upper half circles of radius ε centered in $\pm s_j$, with $j = 1, \dots, n$ and \mathbf{S} is the path of integration given by the interval $M_n + i[0, \infty)$ in the direction of increasing imaginary part and the interval $-M_n + i[0, \infty)$ in the opposite direction. The existence of the integral is clear for the path $\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)$; for \mathbf{S} this fact can be checked by using (5), (6) and (7). Indeed, on \mathbf{S} we obtain that $\left| \frac{H_{\alpha+1}^{(1)}(z)}{J_{\alpha+1}(z)} \right| \leq C e^{-2\text{Im}(z)}$. Similarly, on \mathbf{S} one has

$$\left| |xy|^{1/2} z J_\alpha(zx) J_\alpha(zy) \right| \leq C e^{\text{Im}(z)(x+y)} h_{x,y}^\alpha(|z|)$$

where

$$h_{x,y}^\alpha(|z|) = \max\{|xz|^{\alpha+1/2}, 1\} \max\{|yz|^{\alpha+1/2}, 1\}$$

for $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$, and

$$h_{x,y}^\alpha(|z|) = 1$$

for $\alpha \geq -1/2$. Thus

$$|H_{x,y}(z)| \leq C (h_{x,y}^\alpha(|z|) + h_{x,y}^{\alpha+1}(|z|)) e^{-\text{Im}(z)(2-x-y)}, \quad (10)$$

and the integral on \mathbf{S} is well defined.

From the definition of $H_{x,y}(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)} H_{x,y}(z) dz &= \int_{\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)} \frac{|xy|^{1/2} z J_{-\alpha-1}(z)}{i \sin(\alpha+1)\pi} \cdot \frac{J_\alpha(zx)J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx)J_{\alpha+1}(zy)}{J_{\alpha+1}(z)} dz \\ &\quad - |xy|^{1/2} \frac{e^{-(\alpha+1)\pi i}}{i \sin(\alpha+1)\pi} \int_{\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)} z (J_\alpha(zx)J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx)J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz. \end{aligned}$$

The function in the first integral is odd, and the function in the second integral has no poles at the points s_j . Then, the first integral equals the integral over the symmetric path $-\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon) = \{z : -z \in \mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)\}$. Putting $|z - s_j| = \varepsilon$ for the positively oriented circle, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon)} H_{x,y}(z) dz &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{|s_j| < M_n} \int_{|z-s_j|=\varepsilon} \frac{|xy|^{1/2} z J_{-\alpha-1}(z)}{i \sin(\alpha+1)\pi} \cdot \frac{J_\alpha(zx)J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx)J_{\alpha+1}(zy)}{J_{\alpha+1}(z)} dz \\ &\quad - |xy|^{1/2} \frac{e^{-(\alpha+1)\pi i}}{i \sin(\alpha+1)\pi} \int_{-M_n}^{M_n} z (J_\alpha(zx)J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx)J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz \\ &= -\pi i \sum_{|s_j| < M_n} \text{Res}(H_{x,y}, s_j) \\ &\quad - |xy|^{1/2} \frac{e^{-(\alpha+1)\pi i}}{i \sin(\alpha+1)\pi} (1 - e^{2\pi i \alpha}) \int_0^{M_n} z (J_\alpha(zx)J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx)J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4|xy|^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{J_\alpha(s_j x) J_\alpha(s_j y) + J_{\alpha+1}(s_j x) J_{\alpha+1}(s_j y)}{J_\alpha(s_j)^2} \\
&\quad + 2|xy|^{1/2} \int_0^{M_n} z (J_\alpha(zx) J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx) J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (9), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{J_\alpha(s_j x) J_\alpha(s_j y) + J_{\alpha+1}(s_j x) J_{\alpha+1}(s_j y)}{J_\alpha(s_j)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{4|xy|^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{S}} H_{x,y}(z) dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{M_n} z (J_\alpha(zx) J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx) J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n(x, y) &= 2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+2) + \frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(xy)^\alpha |xy|^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{S}} H_{x,y}(z) dz \\
&\quad + \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(xy)^\alpha} \int_0^{M_n} z (J_\alpha(zx) J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx) J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to check the identity

$$\frac{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(xy)^\alpha} \int_0^{M_n} z (J_\alpha(zx) J_\alpha(zy) + J_{\alpha+1}(zx) J_{\alpha+1}(zy)) dz = \int_{-M_n}^{M_n} E_\alpha(izx) \overline{E_\alpha(izy)} d\mu_\alpha(z),$$

so that

$$\left| K_n(x, y) - \int_{-M_n}^{M_n} E_\alpha(izx) \overline{E_\alpha(izy)} d\mu_\alpha(z) \right| \leq 2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+2) + \frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{|xy|^{\alpha+1/2}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{S}} H_{x,y}(z) dz \right|.$$

We conclude showing that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{S}} H_{x,y}(z) dz \right| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{2-x-y} + |xy|^{\alpha+1/2} \right), \quad (11)$$

for $-1 < x, y < 1$. For $\alpha \geq -1/2$, the bound (11) follows from (10). Indeed, in this case

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{S}} H_{x,y}(z) dz \right| \leq C \int_0^\infty e^{-t(2-x-y)} dt = \frac{C}{2-x-y}.$$

For $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$, we have $|H_{x,y}(z)| \leq C|xy|^{\alpha+1/2} e^{-\text{Im}(z)(2-x-y)}$ if $z \in \mathbf{S}$. With this inequality we obtain (11) as follows:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{S}} H_{x,y}(z) dz \right| \leq C|xy|^{\alpha+1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t(2-x-y)} dt = C \frac{|xy|^{\alpha+1/2}}{2-x-y} \leq C \left(|xy|^{\alpha+1/2} + \frac{1}{2-x-y} \right). \quad \square$$

From the previous lemma and the identity (see [5])

$$\int_{-1}^1 E_\alpha(ixz) \overline{E_\alpha(izy)} d\mu_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(\alpha+2)} \frac{x \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(ix) \mathcal{I}_\alpha(iy) - y \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(iy) \mathcal{I}_\alpha(ix)}{x-y},$$

which holds for $\alpha > -1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$, and $x \neq y$, we obtain that

$$|K_n(x, y) - B(M_n, x, y) - B(M_n, y, x)| \leq C \left(\frac{|xy|^{-(\alpha+1/2)}}{2-x-y} + 1 \right) \quad (12)$$

with

$$B(M_n, x, y) = \frac{M_n^{2(\alpha+1)}}{2^{\alpha+1}\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \frac{x\mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}(iM_n x)\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(iM_n y)}{x-y}$$

or, by the definition of \mathcal{I}_{α} and the fact that $\frac{J_{\alpha}(z)}{z^{\alpha}}$ is even,

$$B(M_n, x, y) = 2^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1) \frac{M_n x J_{\alpha+1}(M_n|x|)J_{\alpha}(M_n|y|)}{|x|^{\alpha+1}|y|^{\alpha}(x-y)}.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1

We can split the partial sum operator S_n into three terms suitable to apply (12):

$$\begin{aligned} S_n f(x) &= \int_{-1}^1 f(y)B(M_n, x, y) d\mu_{\alpha}(y) + \int_{-1}^1 f(y)B(M_n, y, x) d\mu_{\alpha}(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{-1}^1 f(y) \left[K_n(x, y) - B(M_n, x, y) - B(M_n, y, x) \right] d\mu_{\alpha}(y) \\ &=: T_{1,n}f(x) + T_{2,n}f(x) + T_{3,n}f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

With this decomposition, the theorem will be proved if we see that

$$\|UT_{j,n}f\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_{\alpha})}^p \leq C\|Vf\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_{\alpha})}^p, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

for a constant C independent of n and f .

4.1. The first term

We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,n}f(x) &= \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{-1}^1 f(y)B(M_n, x, y)|y|^{2\alpha+1} dy \\ &= \frac{M_n^{1/2} x J_{\alpha+1}(M_n|x|)}{2|x|^{\alpha+1}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha}(M_n|y|)|y|^{\alpha+1}}{x-y} dy. \end{aligned}$$

According to (5) and (6) and the assumption that $\alpha \geq -1/2$, we have

$$|J_{\alpha}(z)| \leq Cz^{-1/2}, \quad |J_{\alpha+1}(z)| \leq Cz^{-1/2},$$

for every $z > 0$. Using these inequalities and the boundedness of the Hilbert transform under the A_p condition (2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\|UT_{1,n}f\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_{\alpha})}^p \\ &= C \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha}(M_n|y|)|y|^{\alpha+1}}{x-y} dy \right|^p U(x)^p M_n^{p/2} |J_{\alpha+1}(M_n|x|)|^p |x|^{2\alpha+1-\alpha p} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha}(M_n|y|)|y|^{\alpha+1}}{x-y} dy \right|^p U(x)^p |x|^{(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(2-p)} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha}(M_n|x|)|^p V(x)^p |x|^{(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(2-p)} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^p V(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx = C\|Vf\|_{L^p((-1,1),d\mu_{\alpha})}^p. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. *The second term*

This term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,n}f(x) &= \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{-1}^1 f(y)B(M_n, y, x)|y|^{2\alpha+1} dy \\ &= \frac{M_n^{1/2}J_\alpha(M_n|x|)}{2|x|^\alpha} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)yM_n^{1/2}J_{\alpha+1}(M_n|y|)|y|^\alpha}{y-x} dy \end{aligned}$$

and everything goes as with the first term.

4.3. *The third term*

According to (12),

$$|T_{3,n}f(x)| \leq C|x|^{-(\alpha+1/2)} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)|y|^{\alpha+1/2}}{2-x-y} dy + C \int_{-1}^1 |f(y)||y|^{2\alpha+1} dy$$

so it is enough to have both

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)|y|^{\alpha+1/2}}{2-x-y} dy \right|^p U(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1-p(\alpha+1/2)} dx \quad (14)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)||x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \right|^p \int_{-1}^1 U(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \quad (15)$$

bounded by

$$C \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^p V(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1} dx.$$

For the boundedness of (14) it suffices to impose

$$\left(U(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1-p(\alpha+1/2)}, V(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1-p(\alpha+1/2)} \right) \in A_p^\delta(-1, 1),$$

but this is exactly (2). By duality, the boundedness of (15) is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_{-1}^1 U(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 V(x)^{-p/(p-1)}|x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty.$$

Now, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{-1}^1 U(x)^p|x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 V(x)^{-p/(p-1)}|x|^{2\alpha+1} dx \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-1}^1 U(x)^p|x|^{(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(2-p)} dx \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 \left(V(x)^p|x|^{(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(2-p)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from the A_p condition (2).

5. Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with a simple lemma on A_p weights.

Lemma 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $(u, v) \in A_p(-1, 1)$, $(u_1, v_1) \in A_p(-1, 1)$. Let w, ζ be weights on $(-1, 1)$ such that either*

$$w \leq C(u + u_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta \geq C_1(v + v_1)$$

or

$$w^{-1} \geq C(u^{-1} + u_1^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^{-1} \leq C_1(v^{-1} + v_1^{-1})$$

for some constants C, C_1 . Then $(w, \zeta) \in A_p(-1, 1)$ with a constant depending only on C, C_1 and the A_p constants of (u, v) and (u_1, v_1) .

Proof. Assume that $w \leq C(u + u_1)$ and $\zeta \geq C_1(v + v_1)$. For any interval $I \subseteq (-1, 1)$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \zeta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{C_1} \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1}, \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v_1^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \zeta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{C}{C_1} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} + \frac{C}{C_1} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u_1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v_1^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1}.$$

This proves that $(w, \zeta) \in A_p(-1, 1)$ with a constant depending on C, C_1 and the A_p constants of (u, v) and (u_1, v_1) .

Assume now that $w^{-1} \geq C(u^{-1} + u_1^{-1})$ and $\zeta^{-1} \leq C_1(v^{-1} + v_1^{-1})$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w \leq \frac{1}{C} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u, \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u_1 \right\} \quad (16)$$

for any interval $I \subseteq (-1, 1)$. On the other hand, the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}(a^\lambda + b^\lambda) \leq (a + b)^\lambda \leq 2^\lambda(a^\lambda + b^\lambda), \quad a, b \geq 0, \lambda > 0 \quad (17)$$

gives

$$\zeta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq C_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (v^{-1} + v_1^{-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq C_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} 2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} + v_1^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \zeta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq 2^p C_1 \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} + 2^p C_1 \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I v_1^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1}.$$

This, together with (16), proves that $(w, \zeta) \in A_p(-1, 1)$ with a constant depending on C, C_1 and the A_p constants of (u, v) and (u_1, v_1) . \square

Now, we use the following estimate for the Bessel functions, which is a consequence of (5), (6) and $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$:

$$|z^{1/2} J_\alpha(z)| \leq C(1 + z^{\alpha+1/2}), \quad z \geq 0,$$

and

$$|z^{1/2} J_{\alpha+1}(z)| \leq C(1 + z^{\alpha+1/2})^{-1}, \quad z \geq 0.$$

In particular, there exists a constant C such that, for $x \in (-1, 1)$ and $n \geq 0$, we have

$$M_n^{1/2} |J_\alpha(M_n |x|)| \leq C|x|^{-1/2}(1 + |M_n x|^{\alpha+1/2})$$

and

$$M_n^{1/2}|J_{\alpha+1}(M_n|x|)| \leq C \frac{|x|^{-1/2}}{1 + |M_n x|^{\alpha+1/2}}.$$

Moreover, the inequality (17) gives

$$2^{\alpha+1/2}|x|^{\alpha+1/2}(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-(\alpha+1/2)} \leq 1 + |M_n x|^{\alpha+1/2} \leq 2|x|^{\alpha+1/2}(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-(\alpha+1/2)}$$

so that we get

$$M_n^{1/2}|J_{\alpha}(M_n|x|)| \leq C|x|^{\alpha}(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-(\alpha+1/2)} \quad (18)$$

and

$$M_n^{1/2}|J_{\alpha+1}(M_n|x|)| \leq C|x|^{-(\alpha+1)}(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{\alpha+1/2}. \quad (19)$$

To handle these expressions, the following result will be useful:

Lemma 3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, a sequence $\{M_n\}$ of positive numbers that tends to infinity, two nonnegative functions U and V defined on the interval $(-1, 1)$, $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$ and $\delta > 1$ ($\delta = 1$ if $U = V$). If (3) and (4) are satisfied, then*

$$\left(U(x)^p(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{p(\alpha+1/2)}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)}, V(x)^p(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{p(\alpha+1/2)}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right) \in A_p^{\delta}(-1, 1), \quad (20)$$

$$\left(U(x)^p(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-p(\alpha+1/2)}|x|^{2\alpha+1}, V(x)^p(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-p(\alpha+1/2)}|x|^{2\alpha+1} \right) \in A_p^{\delta}(-1, 1), \quad (21)$$

“uniformly”, i.e., with A_p^{δ} constants independent of n .

Proof. As a first step, let us observe that (3) and (4) imply

$$\left(U(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-\frac{1}{2}p)}, V(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-\frac{1}{2}p)} \right) \in A_p^{\delta}(-1, 1).$$

To prove this, just put

$$U(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-\frac{1}{2}p)} = \left[U(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right]^{1/2} \left[U(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)} \right]^{1/2}$$

(the same with V) and check the A_p^{δ} condition using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3), (4).

Now, (17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[U(x)^p(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{p(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right]^{-\delta} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[U(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-\frac{1}{2}p)} \right]^{-\delta} + \frac{1}{2} \left[U(x)^p M_n^{-p(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right]^{-\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[V(x)^p(|x| + M_n^{-1})^{p(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right]^{-\delta} \\ & \leq 2^{-p\delta(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \left[V(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-\frac{1}{2}p)} \right]^{-\delta} + 2^{-p\delta(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \left[V(x)^p M_n^{-p(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right]^{-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Lemma 2 gives (20) with an A_p^{δ} constant independent of n , since the A_p^{δ} constant of the pair

$$\left(U(x)^p M_n^{-p(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)}, V(x)^p M_n^{-p(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right)$$

is the same constant of the pair

$$\left(U(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)}, V(x)^p|x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} \right)$$

i.e., it does not depend on n . The proof of (21) follows the same argument, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[U(x)^p (|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-p(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} |x|^{2\alpha+1} \right]^\delta \\ & \leq 2^{-p\delta(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \left[U(x)^p |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1 - \frac{1}{2}p)} \right]^\delta + 2^{-p\delta(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \left[U(x)^p M_n^{p(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} |x|^{2\alpha+1} \right]^\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left[V(x)^p (|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-p(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} |x|^{2\alpha+1} \right]^\delta \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[V(x)^p |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1 - \frac{1}{2}p)} \right]^\delta + \frac{1}{2} \left[V(x)^p M_n^{p(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} |x|^{2\alpha+1} \right]^\delta. \quad \square$$

We already have all the ingredients to start with the proof of Theorem 2. Let us take the same decomposition $S_n f = T_{1,n} f + T_{2,n} + T_{3,n} f$ as in (13) in the previous section and consider each term separately.

5.1. The first term

As in the proof of Theorem 1, by using (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|UT_{1,n} f\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}^p &= \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y) M_n^{1/2} J_\alpha(M_n |y|) |y|^{\alpha+1}}{x-y} dy \right|^p U(x)^p M_n^{p/2} |J_{\alpha+1}(M_n |x|)|^p |x|^{2\alpha+1-\alpha p} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y) M_n^{1/2} J_\alpha(M_n |y|) |y|^{\alpha+1}}{x-y} dy \right|^p U(x)^p (|x| + M_n^{-1})^{p(\alpha+1/2)} |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the A_p condition (20), this is bounded by

$$C \int_{-1}^1 \left| f(x) M_n^{1/2} J_\alpha(M_n |x|) |x|^{\alpha+1} \right|^p V(x)^p (|x| + M_n^{-1})^{p(\alpha+1/2)} |x|^{(2\alpha+1)(1-p)} dx,$$

which, by (18) is in turn bounded by

$$C \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^p V(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx = C \|Vf\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}^p.$$

5.2. The second term

The definition of $T_{2,n}$ and (18) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|UT_{2,n} f\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}^p &= \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y) y M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha+1}(M_n |y|) |y|^\alpha}{y-x} dy \right|^p U(x)^p M_n^{p/2} |J_\alpha(M_n |x|)|^p |x|^{2\alpha+1-\alpha p} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-1}^1 \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y) y M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha+1}(M_n |y|) |y|^\alpha}{y-x} dy \right|^p U(x)^p (|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-p(\alpha+1/2)} |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the A_p condition (21), this is bounded by

$$C \int_{-1}^1 \left| f(x) x M_n^{1/2} J_{\alpha+1}(M_n |x|) |x|^\alpha \right|^p V(x)^p (|x| + M_n^{-1})^{-p(\alpha+1/2)} |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx,$$

which, by (19) is in turn bounded by

$$C \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^p V(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx = C \|Vf\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}^p.$$

5.3. The third term

Taking limits when $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (20) we get (2), so the proof of the boundedness of the third summand in Theorem 1 is still valid for Theorem 2.

6. Proof of Theorem 3

The following lemma is a small variant of a result proved in [8]. We give here a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 4. *Let $\nu > -1$. Let h be a Lebesgue measurable nonnegative function on $[0, 1]$, $\{\rho_n\}$ a positive sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = +\infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |\rho_n^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x)|^p h(x) dx \geq M \int_0^1 h(x) x^{-p/2} dx \quad (22)$$

(in particular, that limit exists), where M is a positive constant independent of h and $\{\rho_n\}$.

Proof. We can assume that $h(x)x^{\nu p}$ is integrable on $(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, since otherwise

$$\int_0^1 |\rho_n^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x)|^p h(x) dx = \infty$$

for each n , as follows from (5), and (22) is trivial. Assume also for the moment that $h(x)x^{-p/2}$ is integrable on $(0, 1)$. For each $x \in (0, 1)$ and n , let us put

$$\varphi(x, n) = (\rho_n x)^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(\rho_n x - \frac{\nu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$

The estimate (6) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, n) = 0$$

for each $x \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, in case $\rho_n x \geq 1$ the same estimate gives

$$|\varphi(x, n)| \leq \frac{C}{\rho_n x} \leq C \quad (23)$$

with a constant C independent of n and x , while for $\rho_n x \leq 1$ it follows from (5) that

$$|\varphi(x, n)| \leq C \left((\rho_n x)^{\nu+1/2} + 1 \right). \quad (24)$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\rho_n \geq 1$. Then, (23) and (24) give $|\varphi(x, n)| \leq C(x^{\nu+1/2} + 1)$ with a constant C independent of x and n , so that, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left| (\rho_n x)^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(\rho_n x - \frac{\nu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right|^p h(x) x^{-p/2} dx = 0. \quad (25)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |\rho_n^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x)|^p h(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(\rho_n x - \frac{\nu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right|^p h(x) x^{-p/2} dx. \quad (26)$$

Now we use Fejér's lemma: if $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$, and g is a continuous, 2π -periodic function, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\lambda t) f(t) dt = \widehat{g}(0) \widehat{f}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi g(t) dt \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi f(t) dt$$

where \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} denote the Fourier transforms of f, g . After a change of variables, Fejér's lemma applied to the right hand side of (26) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |\rho_n^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x)|^p h(x) dx = M \int_0^1 h(x) x^{-p/2} dx$$

for some constant M , thus proving (22).

Finally, in case $h(x)x^{-p/2}$ is not integrable on $(0, 1)$, let us take the sequence of increasing measurable sets

$$K_j = \{x \in (0, 1) : h(x)x^{-p/2} \leq j\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

and define $h_j = h$ on K_j and $h_j = 0$ on $(0, 1) \setminus K_j$. Applying (22) to each h_j and then the monotone convergence theorem proves that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |\rho_n^{1/2} J_\nu(\rho_n x)|^p h(x) dx = \infty,$$

which is (22). □

We can now prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. The first partial sum of the Fourier expansion is

$$S_0 f = e_0 \int_{-1}^1 f \overline{e_0} d\mu_\alpha = (\alpha + 1) \int_{-1}^1 f(x) |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx,$$

so that the inequality $\|S_0(f)U\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|fV\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}$ gives, by duality,

$$U(x)^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} \in L^1((-1, 1), dx), \quad V(x)^{-p'} |x|^{2\alpha+1} \in L^1((-1, 1), dx).$$

In fact, this is needed just to ensure that the partial sums of the Fourier expansions of all functions in $L^p(V^p d\mu_\alpha)$ are well defined and belong to $L^p(U^p d\mu_\alpha)$. These are the last two integrability conditions of Theorem 3.

Now, if

$$\|S_n(f)U\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|fV\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}$$

then the difference

$$\begin{aligned} S_n f - S_{n-1} f &= e_n \int_{-1}^1 f \overline{e_n} d\mu_\alpha + e_{-n} \int_{-1}^1 f \overline{e_{-n}} d\mu_\alpha \\ &= e_n \int_{-1}^1 f \overline{e_n} d\mu_\alpha + \overline{e_n} \int_{-1}^1 f e_n d\mu_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

is bounded in the same way. Taking even and odd functions, and using that $\operatorname{Re} e_n$ is even and $\operatorname{Im} e_n$ is odd, gives

$$\|U \operatorname{Re} e_n\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)} \|V^{-1} \operatorname{Re} e_n\|_{L^{p'}((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \quad (27)$$

and the same inequality with $\operatorname{Im} e_n$. Recall that

$$\operatorname{Re} e_n(x) = 2^{\alpha/2} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)^{1/2} \frac{|s_n|^\alpha}{|J_\alpha(s_n)|} \frac{J_\alpha(s_n x)}{(s_n x)^\alpha}.$$

Taking into account that $|J_\nu(x)|$ is an even function (recall that $J_\alpha(z)/z^\alpha$ is taken as an even function) and $|J_\alpha(s_n)| \leq C s_n^{-1/2}$ (this follows from (6)), Lemma 4 gives

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{1}{J_\alpha(s_n)} J_\nu(s_n x) \right|^p h(x) dx \geq C \int_{-1}^1 h(x) |x|^{-p/2} dx$$

for every measurable nonnegative function h . Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U \operatorname{Re} e_n\|_{L^p((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)} \geq C \left(\int_{-1}^1 U(x)^p |x|^{-p\alpha - \frac{p}{2} + 2\alpha + 1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the corresponding lower bound for $\liminf_n \|V^{-1} \operatorname{Re} e_n\|_{L^{p'}((-1,1), d\mu_\alpha)}$ holds. The same bounds hold for $\operatorname{Im} e_n$. Thus, (27) implies

$$\left(\int_{-1}^1 U(x)^p |x|^{-p\alpha - \frac{p}{2} + 2\alpha + 1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{-1}^1 V(x)^{-p'} |x|^{-p'\alpha - \frac{p'}{2} + 2\alpha + 1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C$$

or, in other words, the first two integrability conditions of Theorem 3.

Take now $f = U/(1 + V + UV)$ and any measurable set $E \subseteq (-1, 1)$. Then $f \in L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ by Hölder's inequality, the obvious inequality $|f| \leq UV^{-1}$ and the integrability conditions $U \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, $V^{-1} \in L^{p'}(d\mu_\alpha)$, already proved. Since $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2((-1, 1), d\mu_\alpha)$, we have $S_n(f\chi_E) \rightarrow f\chi_E$ in the $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ norm. Therefore, there exists some subsequence $S_{n_j}(f\chi_E)$ converging to $f\chi_E$ almost everywhere. Fatou's lemma then gives

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f\chi_E|^p U^p d\mu_\alpha \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 |S_{n_j}(f\chi_E)|^p U^p d\mu_\alpha.$$

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3, each of the integrals on the right hand side is bounded by

$$C^p \int_{-1}^1 |f\chi_E|^p V^p d\mu_\alpha$$

(observe, by the way, that $fV \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, since $|fV| \leq 1$). Thus,

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f\chi_E|^p U^p d\mu_\alpha \leq C^p \int_{-1}^1 |f\chi_E|^p V^p d\mu_\alpha$$

for every measurable set $E \subseteq (-1, 1)$. This gives $fU \leq CfV$ almost everywhere, and $U \leq CV$. \square

Acknowledgment

We thank the referee for his valuable suggestions, which helped us to make the paper more readable.

References

- [1] N. B. Andersen and M. de Jeu, Elementary proofs of Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform on the real line, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 30 (2005), 1817–1831.
- [2] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, On mean convergence of Fourier-Bessel series of negative order, *Studies in Appl. Math.* 50 (1971), 281–292.
- [3] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, Mean convergence of series of Bessel functions, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* 26 (1972/73), 42–61.
- [4] J. J. Betancor, Ó. Ciaurri and J. L. Varona, The multiplier of the interval $[-1, 1]$ for the Dunkl transform on the real line, *J. Funct. Anal.* 242 (2007), 327–336.
- [5] Ó. Ciaurri and J. L. Varona, A Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling theorem related to the Dunkl transform, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), 2939–2947.
- [6] C. F. Dunkl, Integral kernels with reflections group invariance, *Canad. J. Math.* 43 (1991), 1213–1227.
- [7] M. F. E. de Jeu, The Dunkl transform, *Invent. Math.* 113 (1993), 147–162.
- [8] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, Two notes on convergence and divergence a.e. of Fourier series with respect to some orthogonal systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 116 (1992), 457–464.
- [9] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, Mean and weak convergence of Fourier-Bessel series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 173 (1993), 370–389.
- [10] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 176 (1973), 227–251.

- [11] H. Meijaoli and K. Trimèche, A variant of Cowling-Price's theorem for the Dunkl transform on \mathbb{R} , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 345 (2008), 593–606.
- [12] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 165 (1972), 207–226.
- [13] C. J. Neugebauer, Inserting A_p weights, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 87 (1983), 644–648.
- [14] A. Nowak and K. Stempak, Relating transplantation and multipliers for Dunkl and Hankel transforms, *Math. Nachr.* 281 (2008), 1604–1611.
- [15] A. Nowak and K. Stempak, Riesz transforms for the Dunkl harmonic oscillator, *Math. Z.* 262 (2009), 539–556.
- [16] M. Rösler and M. Voit, An uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 183–194.
- [17] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1944.
- [18] G. M. Wing, The mean convergence of orthogonal series, *Amer. J. Math.* 72 (1950), 792–808.
- [19] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.