

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 490 (1995) 179-188



Synthesis of novel platinum–silver and platinum–copper complexes with bridging alkynyl ligands

J. Forniés^{a,*}, E. Lalinde^{b,*}, A. Martín^a, M.T. Moreno^b

^a Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

^b Universidad de La Rioja, Departamento de Química, 26001 Logroño, Spain

Received 1 August 1994

Abstract

The study of the reactivity of $[Pt_2M_4(C\equiv CR)_8]$ (M = Ag or Cu; R = Ph or ^tBu) towards different neutral and anionic ligands is reported. This study reveals that reactions of the phenylacetylide derivatives $[Pt_2M_4(C\equiv CPh)_8]$ with anionic, X⁻ (X = Cl or Br) or neutral donors (CN^tBu or py) in a molar ratio 1:4 (M/donor ratio 1:1) yield the trinuclear anionic (NBu₄)₂[Pt(C=CPh)₄]-(MX)₂] (M = Ag or Cu, X = Cl or Br) or neutral [Pt(C=CPh)₄](AgL)₂] (L = CN^tBu or py) complexes, respectively. The crystal structure of (NBu₄)₂[Pt(C=CPh)₄](CuBr)₂](4) shows that the anion is formed by a dianionic Pt(C=CPh)₄ fragment and two neutral CuBr units joined through bridging alkynyl ligands. All the alkynyl groups are σ bonded to Pt and η^2 -coordinated to a Cu atom which have an approximately trigonal-planar geometry. By contrast, similar reactions with $[Pt_2M_4(C\equiv C^tBu)_8]$ (molar ratio M/donor 1:1) afford hexanuclear dianionic (NBu₄)₂[Pt₂M₄(C≡C^tBu)₈X₂] or neutral [Pt₂Ag₄(C≡C^tBu)₈](MBr)₂] (M = Ag, Cu) obtained. Attempted preparations of analogous complexes with phosphines (L' = PPh₃ or PEt₃) by reactions of [Pt₂M₄(C=CR)₈] with L' leads to displacement of alkynyl ligands from platinum and formation of neutral mononuclear complexes [*trans*-Pt(C=CR)₂L'₂].

Keywords: Platinum; Silver; Alkynyl; Copper; Polynuclear; X-ray diffraction

1. Introduction

The synthesis of heteropolynuclear complexes of platinum in which the metal centres are linked only by alkynyl ligands is the subject of our current interest [1-4]. In this context, we have recently reported the synthesis of hexanuclear complexes $[Pt_2M_4(C=CR)_8]$ (M = Ag or Cu; R = Ph or ^tBu) [1], the structure of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ has been determined, and it has been shown that two staggered square-planar 'Pt(C=CR)₄' fragments are connected by four silver atoms which are π bonded to two acetylide groups, one associated with each platinum environment. As far as we know, these are the only reported heteropolynuclear complexes of platinum containing only bridging alkynyls and therefore we considered it of interest to

0022-328X/95/\$09.50 © 1995 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved SSDI 0022-328X(95)05215-8

explore their reactivity. In this paper, we report the results of the reactivity towards some neutral and an-ionic ligands.

2. Results and discussion

The hexanuclear complexes $[Pt_2M_4(C=CPh)_8]$ (M = Ag or Cu) react with $(NBu_4)Cl$ or $(NBu_4)Br$ in a 1:4 molar ratio $(M/Cl^- \text{ or } Br^- \text{ ratio } 1:1)$ in acetone to give yellow solutions, from which anionic trinuclear bridging alkynyl complexes $(NBu_4)_2[{Pt(C=CPh)_4}-(MX)_2]$ (M = Ag, X = Cl 1, X = Br 2; M = Cu, X = Cl 3, X = Br 4) can be isolated as microcrystalline yellow solids (Eq. 1). Analogous results are obtained by treating $[Pt_2M_4(C=CPh)_8]$ with $(NBu_4)Br$ in a 1:8 molar ratio $(M/Br^- \text{ ratio } 1:2)$. The polynuclear complexes 1–3 can also be prepared by treating the anionic mononuclear derivative $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C=CPh)_4]$ [1] with

^{*} Corresponding authors.

MX. Thus AgCl, AgBr and CuCl dissolve almost instantly in acetone solutions of $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C=CPh)_4]$ (molar ratio 2:1) giving yellow solutions from which the yellow products 1-3 are obtained (see Experimental details).



 M
 X

 Ag
 Cl 1; Br 2

 Cu
 Cl 3; Br 4

The formulation of the anions of these complexes 1-4 as trinuclear species with the dianionic $Pt(C=CPh)_{4}$ fragment chelating two neutral MX units is supported by their analyses, conductivities, IR and ¹H NMR spectra (see Experimental details). Their conductivities in acetone solutions are as expected for 1:2 electrolytes [5] and their IR spectra show one strong ν (C=C) band (with a shoulder in the cases of 3 and 4) significantly shifted to lower wavelengths, compared with that observed for $[Pt(C=CPh)_4]^{2-}$ [1], indicating side-on π -coordination of the C=CPh groups [1]. It should be noted that the ν (C=C) absorptions for the platinum-copper complexes are at lower frequencies than those of platinum-silver derivatives, suggesting that the former have stronger M- π acetylide interactions. Similar trends have been observed for the polymeric complexes $[{M(C_2Ph)}_{\mu}(Cu \text{ or } Ag)]$ [6] and also for acetylide containing clusters of Group IB metals [7]. The ¹H NMR spectra exhibit signals due to the aromatic protons and to the NBu₄⁺ cation in the expected integration ratio (4:2).

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (in Å) and bond angles (in $^{\circ}$)

		-	
Pt(1)Cu(1)	2.945(2)	Cu(1)-C(1)	2.157(11)
Pt(1)-C(1)	2.020(8)	Cu(1) - C(2)	2.338(10)
Pt(1)-C(9)	2.015(11)	Cu(1)-C(9)	2.141(8)
C(1)-C(2)	1.200(12)	Cu(1)-C(10)	2.326(9)
C(9)-C(10)	1.209(15)	Cu(1) - X(1)	2.168(11)
C(2)–C(3)	1.438(12)	Cu(1)-X(2)	2.147(8)
C(10)-C(11)	1.455(16)	Cu(1)-Br(1)	2.298(2)
C(1) - Pt(1) - C(9)	87.5(4)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	167.9(12)
Pt(1)-C(1)-C(2)	172.4(10)	C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	167.1(9)
Pt(1)-C(9)-C(10)	172.7(7)	Pt(1)-Cu(1)-Br(1)	147.4(1)
X(1)-Cu(1)-X(2)	108.9(3)	Br(1)-Cu(1)-X(2)	126.3(3)
		Br(1)-Cu(1)-X(1)	124.6(3)

X(1) is defined as the C(1)-C(2) midpoint. X(2) is defined as the C(9)-C(10) midpoint.

It is evident from all these data that new heterometallic species stabilized by bridging acetylide ligands have been formed. However, in order to obtain structural information on the acetylide bonding mode in this family of complexes, an X-ray study of 4 has been carried out. Two views of the anion in complex 4 are presented in Fig. 1, and selected bond distances and bond angles are collected in Table 1. The compound crystallizes with two crystallographically independent, but chemically very similar, anions in the unit cell. Discussion of the structure will therefore be limited to only one of these anions.

The anion is a centrosymmetric trinuclear (Cu, Pt, Cu) species in which a dianionic 'Pt(C=CPh)₄' fragment is chelating two neutral CuBr units through bridging alkynyl ligands (μ - η^1 : η^2). Each CuBr unit is bonded to two of the four alkyne groups in a similar fashion to that found in [PtCuCl(C=C^tBu)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] [8] which contains a CuCl group linked to the two *cis*-C=C^tBu ligands at platinum. The platinum atom is in an approximately square-planar environment formed by the



Fig. 1. (a) Drawing of the anion $[{Pt(C=CPh)_4}(CuBr)_2]^2$ in complex 4 showing the atom-labelling scheme; (b) perspective showing the displacement of the CuBr groups out of the platinum coordination plane.



Fig. 2. Schematic view of the two metallocycles formed in the anion $[{Pt(C=CPh)_4}(CuBr)_2]^{2-}$ of complex (4) with bond lengths in Å.

four C_{α} atoms of the $C_{\alpha} \equiv C_{\beta}$ Ph groups. On the other hand, the copper atoms are in planar trigonal environments formed by the bromine atom and the midpoints of two acetylenic fragments.

As is observed in Fig. 1(b), the anion is not planar, because the copper atoms Cu(1) and Cu'(1) are displaced [0.905(2) Å] up and down respectively from the Pt(1) coordination plane. The dihedral angle formed by the coordination planes of the platinum [PtC(1)C(9)-C(1')C(9')] and copper [(best least squares plane formed by Cu(1), Br(1), midpoint C(1)--C(2) and midpoint C(9)-C(10)] atoms is 50.0°. A similar structural feature has been previously found in [PtCuCl(C=C'Bu)₂-(PMe₂Ph)₂] in which the Cu atom lies out (0.526 Å) of the PtP₂ plane [8]. However, the related system [{(C₅H₄SiMe₃)₂Ti(C=CSiMe₃)₂}CuCl] displays a central planar TiC₄Cu core [9].

The bonding of the $C_{\alpha} \equiv C_{\beta}$ Ph groups $(\mu - \eta^1 : \eta^2)$ and the bond lengths of the resulting metallocycles are schematized in Fig. 2. The Pt- C_{α} bond lengths [Pt(1)-C(1) 2.020(8); Pt(1)-C(9) 2.015(11) Å] and $C_{\alpha} \equiv C_{\beta}$ distances [C(1)-C(2) 1.200(12) Å and C(9)-C(10) 1.209(15)] and the angles at C_{α} [Pt(1)C(1)C(2) 172.4(10); Pt(1)C(9)C(10) 172.7(7)°] and at C_{β} [C(1)C(2)C(3) 167.9(12)° and C(9)C(10)C(11) 167.1(9)°] atoms are similar to the corresponding ones found in $[PtCuCl(C\equiv C^{\dagger}Bu)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ [8]. The C_{β} atoms [C(2) and C(10)] are, as in $[PtCuCl(C\equiv C^{\dagger}Bu)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$, slightly farther from Cu(1) [2.338(10) and 2.326(9) Å] than C_{α} [C(1) and C(9)] [2.157(11) and 2.141(8) Å] so that the interaction between the acetylides and the copper atoms is asymmetric. The distance between the Cu atoms and the midpoints of the C=C triple bonds [Cu-C(1), (2) 2.168(11) and Cu-C(9), (10) 2.147(8) Å] are identical within experimental error.

Finally, the Pt....Cu distance [2.942(5)] is slightly shorter than that found in $[PtCuCl(C=C^{t}Bu)_{2}-(PMe_{2}Ph)_{2}]$ [3.129(2) Å] [8], and a metal-metal bond interaction can be excluded.

Surprisingly, the analogous t-butylacetylide derivatives $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ (M = Ag or Cu) exhibit a different behaviour towards X⁻, and the products depend upon the amount of $(NBu_4)X$ used. Thus, when the reactions between $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ and $(NBu_4)X$ (X = Cl or Br) in acetone are carried out using a 1:4 molar ratio (M/X ratio 1:1) pale yellow solutions are obtained and from these solutions, the anionic $(NBu_4)_2[Pt_2M_4(C=C^tBu)_8X_2]$ (M = Ag, X = Cl 5, Br 6; M = Cu Cl 7 Br 8) species can be isolated as white microcrystalline solids. Similar results can be obtained starting from $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C=C^tBu)_4] \cdot 2H_2O$ and MX. By mixing $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C=C^tBu)_4] \cdot 2H_2O$ with AgCl, AgBr or CuCl in a 1:2 molar ratio in acetone, complexes 5-7 are obtained in similar yields.

We have formulated these complexes as hexametal species (Eq. 2) on the basis of their analytical and conductance data, and FAB mass, IR and ¹H NMR spectra. Analytical data and ¹H NMR spectra of complexes **5–8** are in accord with the proposed stoichiometry. In the IR spectra of **5–8** there is only one strong absorption (2042 cm⁻¹ **5**, **6**; 2012 cm⁻¹ **7** and 2014 cm⁻¹ **8**) assignable to ν (C=C), suggesting that all the acetylide ligands are engaged in π bonding.

Unfortunately we have not been able to obtain suitable crystals for X-ray studies, but a plausible structure compatible with all these data is the one shown



in Eq. 2 with the anionic part formed by two $[Pt(C=C^{T}Bu)_{2}(\mu-C=C^{T}Bu)_{2}MX]$ units holding by two M(I) cations. Thus, two of M(I) cations retain linear coordination being π bonded only by two alkyne groups, and the other two M centres are probably three-coordinate. The presence of two different environments for silver centres has been found previously in the hexanuclear derivative $[Pt_{2}Ag_{4}(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}-(C=C^{T}Bu)_{4}(CH_{3}COCH_{3})_{4}]$ [3] which displays a similar structure.

The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes 5-8, at room temperature are very similar and exhibit only a single resonance for the methyl groups of the C=C^tBu ligands besides resonances due to the NBu₄⁺ ions. This indicates that in solution all C=C^tBu groups are equivalent. In order to confirm the proposed structure, for which two different alkynyl environments should be observed, the spectra of complexes 6 and 8 were registered at low temperature, but both complexes in CDCl₃ at -55° C (see Experimental details) display similar patterns to those observed at room temperature.

In contrast, the reactions with Br^- have been also explored in 1:2 and 1:8 molar ratios and we found a lower proportion of halide (1:2), as required by Eq. (2), produces mixtures of $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ and the expected hexanuclear complexes **6** and **8**, although in lower yield. This, and the fact that the white platinum-copper compounds **7** and **8** give deep-yellow solutions in solvents such as CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂ and acetone, seems to indicate that in solution these complexes (and probably also 5 and 6) are in equilibrium with Cl^- or Br^- and the starting yellow hexanuclear derivative $[Pt_2Cu_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ (Eq. 3).

$$\left[\operatorname{Pt}_{2}M_{4}(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_{8}\right] + 2X^{-}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \left[\operatorname{Pt}_{2}M_{4}(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_{8}X_{2}\right]^{2-} \qquad (3)$$

An excess of Br⁻, with molar ratio 1:4, shifts the equilibrium to the right and the anionic hexanuclear complexes can be separated in high yields. With a molar ratio 1:2, the equilibrium is not shifted so far and a mixture of $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^tBu)_s]$ and complex 6 or 8, respectively, is then obtained. Unexpectedly, the use of a larger excess of Br⁻ (molar ratio 1:8) leads to the t-butylacetylide trinuclear derivatives (NBu₄)₂[{Pt- $(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_{4}$ $(MBr)_{2}$ (M = Ag 9, M = Cu 10), analogous to 2 and 4. Thus, when the hexanuclear derivatives $[Pt_{2}M_{4}(C=C^{t}Bu)_{8}]$ (M = Ag, Cu) are treated in acetone with (NBu₄)Br (molar ratio 1:8; M/Br⁻ ratio 1:2) white microcrystalline precipitates of $(NBu_4)_2$ [Pt- $(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_{4}(AgBr)_{2}$ 9 and $(NBu_{4})_{2}[\{Pt(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_{4}\}]$ (CuBr)₂] 10 are formed quickly. Based on the spectroscopic properties, the structure of these complexes is assumed to be analogous to that of 4 in which a dianionic $Pt(C=C^{t}Bu)_{4}$ unit is coordinated to two MBr (M = Ag, Cu) fragments in a side-on fashion. Thus, analytical and conductance data for 9 and 10 are consistent with the proposed formulae and their IR spectra show only one strong absorption (2056 cm^{-1} 9; 2024 cm⁻¹ 10) assignable to coordinated ν (C=C).



11 L = Py $12 L = CNBu^{t}$

 $\begin{array}{c} -Ag \\ BuC \equiv C \\ TBuC = C \\$

13

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixed platinum-silver complex **9** exhibits the typical resonances of the NBu⁺₄ ion (3.36 m; 1.64 m; 1.41 m and 0.97 t) and a singlet at 1.27 ppm due to C=C'Bu groups of the expected intensity ratio. The ¹H NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ for the mixed platinum-copper complex **10** reveals that in solution several species are present. The deep-yellow solution displays two different singlet signals for the C=C'Bu groups, at 1.30 and 1.23 ppm in a 1,1:1 ratio in addition to the NBu⁺₄ resonances. In the presence of an excess of Br⁻ (molar ratio **10**/Br⁻ 1:8) both 'Bu signals are slightly shifted (1.24, 1.17 ppm respectively) and have relative intensities of (1:2.6). All these facts can be explained as consequence of the equilibra (see Scheme 1).

We have also explored the reactivity of [Pt₂M₄-(C≡CR)₈] towards different neutral donors. Thus, trinuclear platinum silver complexes [{Pt(C=CPh)₄}- $(AgPy)_{2}$ (11) and $[{Pt(C=CPh)_{4}}(AgCN^{t}Bu)_{2}]$ (12) (Scheme 2) analogues of the anionic trinuclear compounds 1-4 have been obtained by reaction of $Pt_2Ag_4(C=CPh)_8$ with pyridine or $CN^{t}Bu$ in 1:4 molar ratio in CH₂Cl₂. On the basis of the spectroscopic properties (see Section 3) we infer these complexes to be isostructural to 1–4. In both complexes, the ν (C=C) band is shifted towards higher frequencies (2066 cm^{-1} 11, 2077 cm⁻¹ 12) than for $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=CPh)_8]$ (2043) cm^{-1}). These frequencies are very similar to that observed for the homoleptic anion $[Pt(C=CPh)_{4}]^{2-}$ (2075) cm^{-1}) suggesting that the interaction of the silver centres with the π bonds of the acetylenic fragments is presumably weak.

Notwithstanding, these $\nu(C=C)$ vibrations can be compared to those observed in the clusters $[Au_3Ag_2(C_2Ph)_6]^-$ (2082 cm⁻¹) [10] or $[Au_2Ag_2(C_2Ph)_4(PPh_3)_2]$ (2075 cm⁻¹) [11] as a result of asymmetric π -bonding of silver [12]. The different behaviour of the t-butylacetylide derivative is also reflected in its reactivity with pyridine. Thus, $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ reacts with Py in a molar ratio of ca. 1:4 to give also the selective formation of the 1:2 adduct $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8Py_2]$ (13) which is presumably similar (Scheme 2) to the anionic hexanuclear derivatives 5–8.

In contrast, the reactivity of all derivatives $[Pt_2M_4-(C\equiv CR)_8]$ (M = Ag or Cu; R = Ph or ^tBu) with phosphines (PEt₃, PPh₃) is very similar, but displacement of the alkynyl groups from platinum atoms occurs, and only the mononuclear complexes *trans*-[Pt(C=CR)_2L_2] (L = PEt_3 [13], PPh_3 [14,15]) are obtained instead of the expected heteronuclear derivatives.

Thus, when the hexanuclear complexes $[Pt_2M_4$ - $(C\equiv CR)_8]$ (M = Ag, R = Ph or ^tBu; M = Cu, R = Ph) are treated with four equivalents of PEt₃ in acetone, a precipitate [white {Ag(C=CR)}_n or yellow-lemon {Cu(C=CPh)}_n] is quickly formed and after filtration, the corresponding mononuclear complexes *trans*-

 $[Pt(C=CR)_2(PEt_3)_2]$ [13] (R = Ph or ^tBu) are isolated. Surprisingly, PEt₃ does not react with $[Pt_2Cu_4-(C=C^tBu)_8]$ and the analogous reactions with PPh₃ allow the isolation of *trans*-[Pt(C=CR)_2(PPh_3)_2] [R = ^tBu [14], R = Ph [15] (see Experimental details).

However, although the polynuclear phosphine complexes $[Pt_2M_2(C=CR)_4L_2]$ have never been isolated, the formation of such derivatives, analogues of 11 and 12, as transient species which evolve to $\{M(C=CR)\}_n$ and *trans*- $[Pt(C=CR)_2L_2]$ cannot be ruled out.

Finally, these reactions contrast with the stability observed previously by us for the binuclear anionic derivatives $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C_6F)_2(\mu-\eta^2-C\equiv CR)_2AgL]$ (R = Ph or ^tBu; L = PPh₃, PEt₃) which have been obtained by treating the anionic tetranuclear platinum-silver compounds $(NBu_4)_2[Pt_2Ag_2(C_6F_5)_4(C\equiv CR)_4]$ with PPh₃ or PEt₃ [4]. These tetranuclear anionic species $[Pt_2Ag_2(C_6F_5)_4(C\equiv CR)_4]^{2-}$ can be readily formed by reaction of $[Pt(C_6F_5)_2(C\equiv CR)_2]^{2-}$ and AgCl in acetone [2], suggesting that the liberated chloride ion does not react with such species once they are formed.

3. Experimental details

Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 240-B microanalyzer. Conductivities were measured in ca. 5×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³ acetone or nitromethane solutions with a Philips PW P501/01 conductimeter. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-200 or Unity-300 spectrometer, and IR spectra were measured (4000–200 cm⁻¹) on a Perkin-Elmer 883 spectrophotometer. The synthesis of [Pt₂M₄(C=CR)₈] (M = Ag, Cu; R = Ph or ^tBu) and (NBu₄)₂[Pt(C=CR)₄] · nH₂O (R = Ph, n = 0, ^tBu, n =2) has been reported previously [1]. The reactions with silver compounds were carried out in the dark.

3.1. Preparation of the complexes

3.1.1. $(NBu_4)_2[\{Pt(C \equiv CPh)_4\}(MX)_2]$ (M = Ag, X = Cl1, Br 2; M = Cu, X = Cl 3, Br 4)

Method (a).

A typical preparation (complex 1) was as follows. A yellow suspension of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=CPh)_8]$ (0.087 g, 0.053 mmol) in 20 ml of acetone was treated with $(NBu_4)Cl$ (0.059 g, 0.213 mmol) (1:4 ratio) and, almost immediately, a yellow solution was formed. After stirring for 30 min, the solution was evaporated to ca. 1 ml and stored at $-30^{\circ}C$ overnight. Pale yellow crystals of 1 were formed. They were filtered off, washed with two portions of cold acetone (1 ml each) and air-dried.

Complexes 2-4 were prepared, as yellow crystals, using a similar procedure.

(NBu₄)₂[{Pt(C=CPh)₄}(AgCl)₂](1). Yield 55%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.99 (2.04); C, 55.80 (56.06); H, 6.86 (6.76)% $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (in acetone solution): 251 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2045 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.92 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.41 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.59 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 3.35 [m, NCH₂(ⁿBu)], 7.04, 7.28 (m, Ph). EI-MS: m/z 886 [PtAg₂(C=CPh)₄Cl₂]²⁻ (37%); m/z 1522 [Pt₂Ag₃(C=CPh)₈]⁻ (100%).

(NBu₄)₂[{Pt(C≡CPh)₄}(AgBr)₂] (2) [Pt₂Ag₄-(C≡CPh)₈]: 0.116 g, 0.071 mmol; (NBu₄)Br: 0.092 g, 0.284 mmol. Yield 75%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 2.15 (1.92); C, 52.54 (52.65); H, 6.76 (6.35)%. $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (in acetone solution): 247 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(C≡C) 2048 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.80 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.45 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.60 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 3.35 [m, NCH₂(ⁿBu)], 7.06, 7.31 (m, Ph). EI-MS: *m/z* molecular peak of the anion not observed; *m/z* 2525 [Pt₃Ag₆(C≡CPh)₁₂Br]⁻ (65%); *m/z* 1711 [Pt₂Ag₄-(C≡CPh)₈Br]⁻ (52%); *m/z* 1523 [Pt₂Ag₃(C≡CPh)₈]⁻ (72%).

(NBu₄)₂[{Pt(C=CPh)₄}(CuCl)₂] (3). [Pt₂Cu₄-(C=CPh)₈]: 0.100 g, 0.069 mmol; (NBu₄)Cl: 0.076 g, 0.276 mmol. Yield 70%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 2.17 (2.18); C, 59.34 (59.94); H, 7.35 (7.23)% $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (in acetone solution): 192 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2021 (s), 1985 (sh). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.89 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.42 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.67 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 3.46 [m, NCH₂(ⁿBu)], 7.23, 7.64 (m, Ph). EI-MS: m/z molecular peak of the anion not observed: m/z 2213 [Pt₃Cu₆(C=CPh)₁₂Cl]⁻ (5%).

 $(NBu_4)_2[{Pt(C=CPh)_4}(CuBr)_2]$ (4). $[Pt_2Cu_4-(C=CPh)_8]$: 0.100 g, 0.069 mmol; NBu_4Br : 0.089 g, 0.276 mmol. Yield 76%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.97 (2.04); C, 55.54 (56.05); H, 6.94 (6.76)% Λ_M (in acetone solution): 243 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2034 (s), 1988 (sh). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.91 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.42 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.68 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 3.44 [m, NCH₂(ⁿBu)], 7.18, 7.66 (m, Ph). EI-MS: m/z 2260 [Pt₃Cu₆(C=CPh)₁₂Br]⁻ (18%); m/z 1389 [Pt₂Cu₃(C=CPh)₈]⁻ (50%).

The reactions between $[Pt_2M_4(C\equiv CPh)_8]$ (M = Ag or Cu) and (NBu₄)Br were also carried out in a 1:8 molar ratio compounds. 2 or 4 were also obtained; yields 70 and 75%, respectively.

Method (b).

Alternatively complexes 1, 2, and 3 were prepared by reaction of $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C\equiv CPh)_4]$ with MX (M = Ag, Cu, X = Cl; M = Ag, X = Br). A typical preparation (complex 1) was as follows: to a colourless solution of $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C\equiv CPh)_4]$ (0.152 g, 0.140 mmol) in 20 ml of acetone was added 0.040 g (0.281 mmol) of AgCl. Inmediately the silver chloride dissolved and the solution becames deep yellow. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then evaporated to small volume (ca. 1 ml). By cooling at -30° C overnight yellow crystals of 1 were obtained (yield 41%). Complexes 2: $(NBu_4)_2$ [Pt-(C=CPh)₄]: 0.100 g, 0.092 mmol; AgBr: 0.035 g, 0.184 mmol (78% yield) and 3 (NBu₄)₂[Pt(C=CPh)₄]: 0.100 g, 0.092 mmol; CuCl: 0.018 g, 0.184 mmol (yield 78%) were prepared similarly.

3.1.2. $(NBu_4)_2[Pt_2M_4(C \equiv C'Bu)_8X_2]$ (M = Ag, X = Cl5, Br 6; M = Cu X = Cl 7, Br 8)

Method (a).

5: A yellow solution of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ (0.071 g, 0.048 mmol) in 30 ml of acetone was treated with (NBu₄)Cl (0.054 g, 0.193 mmol) (1:4 molar ratio) for 30 min at room temperature. The evaporation of the solution (ca. 2 ml) rendered white crystals which were filtered off, washed with cold acetone and air-dried (yield 77%).

Complexes 6-8 were obtained similarly as white crystals.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{NBu}_{4})_{2}[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\mathrm{Ag}_{4}(\mathrm{C}\equiv\mathrm{C}^{t}\mathrm{Bu})_{8}\mathrm{Cl}_{2}] & (\mathbf{5}). & \mathrm{Anal. Found} \\ (\mathrm{Calc.}): \mathrm{N}, \ 1.39 & (1.38); \mathrm{C}, \ 47.27 & (47.41); \mathrm{H}, \ 7.34 & (7.16)\% \\ \Lambda_{\mathrm{M}} & (\mathrm{in \ acetone \ solution}): \ 289 \ \Omega^{-1} \ \mathrm{cm}^{2} \ \mathrm{mol}^{-1}. \ \mathrm{IR} \\ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1}): \ \nu(\mathrm{C}\equiv\mathrm{C}) \ 2042 & (\mathrm{s}). \ ^{1}\mathrm{H} \ \mathrm{NMR} & (\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}): \ \delta \ 1.00 \ [\mathrm{t}, \ -\mathrm{CH}_{3}(^{\mathrm{n}}\mathrm{Bu})], \ 1.30 & (\mathrm{s}, \ ^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{Bu}), \ 1.44 \ [\mathrm{m}, \ -\mathrm{CH}_{2}(^{\mathrm{n}}\mathrm{Bu})], \ 1.66 \\ [\mathrm{m}, \ -\mathrm{CH}_{2}(^{\mathrm{n}}\mathrm{Bu})], \ 3.39 \ [\mathrm{m}, \ \mathrm{NCH}_{2}(^{\mathrm{n}}\mathrm{Bu})]. \ \mathrm{EI-MS:} \ m/z \\ 1506 \ [\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\mathrm{Ag}_{4}(\mathrm{C}\equiv\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{Bu})_{8}\mathrm{Cl}]^{-} & (3\%); \ m/z \ 1362 \\ [\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\mathrm{Ag}_{3}(\mathrm{C}\equiv\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{Bu})_{8}]^{-} (2\%). \end{array}$

 $(NBu_4)_2[Pt_2Ag_4(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_8Br_2]$ (6). $[Pt_2Ag_4(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_8]$ (0.094 g, 0.064 mmol; NBu_4Br: 0.082 g, 0.256 mmol. Yield: 72%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.67 (1.32); C, 45.22 (45.42); H, 7.52 (6.86). A_M (in acetone solution): 267 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C \equiv C) 2042 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl_3): at 20°C, δ 1.00 [t, $-CH_3(^{n}Bu)$], 1.30 (s, ^tBu), 1.46 [m, $-CH_2(^{n}Bu)$]; at $-55^{\circ}C$, δ 0.99 [t, $-CH_3(^{n}Bu)$], 1.28 (s, ^tBu), 1.42 [m, $-CH_2(^{n}Bu)$], 1.64 [m, $-CH_2(^{n}Bu)$], 3.34 [m, NCH₂(^{n}Bu)] EI-MS: m/z 1551, $[Pt_2Ag_4(C \equiv CBu^{t})_8Br]^-$ (5%); 1362, $[Pt_2Ag_3(C \equiv C^{t}Bu)_8]^-$ (4%).

(NBu₄)₂[Pt₂Cu₄(C≡C^tBu)₈Cl₂] (7). [Pt₂Cu₄-(C≡C^tBu)₈] (0.090 g, 0.069 mmol; NBu₄Cl: 0.077 g, 0.278 mmol. Yield: 88%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.65 (1.51); C, 51.98 (51.96); H, 8.54 (7.85). $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (in acetone solution): 276 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C≡C) 2012 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.00 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.31 (s, ^tBu), 1.48 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.65 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 3.35 [m, NCH₂(ⁿBu)]. EI-MS: m/z 1328, [Pt₂Cu₄(C≡C^tBu)₈Cl]⁻ (10%).

 $(NBu_4)_2[Pt_2Cu_4(C\equiv C^*Bu)_8Br_2]$ (8). $[Pt_2Cu_4(C\equiv C^*Bu)_8]$ (0.090 g, 0.069 mmol; NBu_4Br : 0.090 g, 0.278 mmol. Yield 62%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.74 (1.45); C, 49.31 (49.58); H, 8.49 (7.49)%. Λ_M (in acetone solution): 289 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2014 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): at 20°C: δ 1.00 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.31 (s, ^tBu), 1.46 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.80

Table 2 Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ for $(NBu_4)_2[{Pt(C=CPh)_4}(CuBr)_2]$ (4)

	x	у	z
Pt(1)	0	0	0
Pt(2)	5000	5000	5000
Cu(1)	-241(1)	1660(1)	863(1)
Cu(2)	4146(1)	6103(1)	3748(1)
Br(1)	- 99(1)	2150(1)	1902(1)
Br(2)	4410(1)	6618(1)	2618(1)
N(1)	1037(7)	-1237(6)	2000(4)
N(2)	2093(7)	5342(6)	2000(4) 6668(4)
C(1)	-1384(8)	083(7)	466(4)
(1)	-2119(8)	1628(7)	753(4)
C(3)	-3163(8)	220(8)	1052(5)
C(4)		3276(10)	1052(5) 1161(7)
C(5)	-4252(13)	3016(11)	1101(7)
C(5)	-5205(13)	3584(15)	1442(0)
C(7)	= 5203(13)	3304(13) 2640(15)	1013(0)
$C(\mathbf{R})$	-3202(13)	2049(13)	1313(10)
C(0)	-41/3(11)	2002(10)	1231(7)
C(9)	090(0) 1240(9)	1029(7)	-8(4)
C(10)	1342(8)	10/8(7)	52(5)
C(12)	2099(9)	2335(7)	19(5)
C(12)	3108(10)	21/8(9)	-451(6)
C(13)	3823(11)	2817(11)	- 498(8)
C(14)	3591(12)	3577(11)	- 85(8)
	2600(13)	3732(10)	367(7)
C(16)	1839(11)	3118(9)	420(6)
$\mathcal{C}(17)$	4491(7)	6443(7)	4707(4)
C(18)	4196(9)	7279(8)	4470(5)
C(19)	3969(11)	8355(8)	4339(6)
C(20)	4102(11)	8796(8)	3702(6)
C(21)	3897(14)	9838(10)	3607(8)
C(22)	3224(37)	10422(23)	4166(20)
C(23)	2966(38)	9978(26)	4784(21)
C(24)	3242(34)	8962(27)	4891(20)
C(22')	4018(40)	10395(30)	4096(29)
C(23')	4012(59)	9935(30)	4742(27)
C(24')	4061(42)	8897(31)	4889(26)
C(25)	4058(8)	4740(7)	4333(4)
C(26)	3503(8)	4661(7)	3905(5)
C(27)	2875(8)	4318(7)	3451(5)
C(28)	2833(10)	3328(9)	3493(6)
C(29)	2267(11)	2987(10)	3065(7)
C(30)	1725(10)	3589(13)	2592(7)
C(31)	1750(9)	4568(12)	2546(5)
C(32)	2315(9)	4947(9)	2969(5)
C(33)	1799(9)	- 593(8)	1599(5)
C(34)	3080(10)	- 909(10)	1649(7)
C(35)	3708(22)	- 305(15)	1101(11)
C(35')	3643(35)	- 83(23)	1310(14)
C(36)	3441(23)	701(18)	1424(13)
C(36')	3761(28)	- 292(24)	559(16)
C(37)	1495(9)	-2319(7)	1797(5)
C(38)	1465(11)	-2476(8)	1057(6)
C(39)	2107(12)	- 3517(9)	857(7)
C(40)	3385(12)	- 3729(11)	788(7)
C(41)	1094(11)	- 1258(8)	2758(5)
C(42)	694(14)	- 243(10)	3053(6)
C(43)	540(18)	- 284(12)	3793(8)
C(44)	170(19)	742(12)	4084(8)
C(45)	- 199(8)	- 802(8)	1842(5)
C(46)	- 1100(9)	- 1288(9)	2177(5)
C(47)	- 2229(10)	- 792(10)	1909(6)
C(48)	- 3233(11)	- 1177(12)	2238(7)
C(49)	1489(9)	5272(8)	7401(5)

Table 2 (continued)				
C(50)	2261(9)	4694(10)	7913(5)	
C(51)	1549(14)	4813(13)	8630(7)	
C(51')	1577(40)	4144(26)	8460(23)	
C(52)	781(16)	4087(14)	8695(11)	
C(52')	545(32)	4824(29)	8881(19)	
C(53)	2655(8)	4310(7)	6397(5)	
C(54)	1841(10)	3642(9)	6412(7)	
C(55)	2460(13)	2649(10)	6095(6)	
C(56)	2715(13)	2773(11)	5387(8)	
C(57)	1127(8)	5936(8)	6257(5)	
C(58)	1548(9)	6103(9)	5514(5)	
C(59)	544(11)	6626(10)	5150(6)	
C(60)	836(13)	6853(12)	4428(7)	
C(61)	3067(8)	5859(8)	6621(5)	
C(62)	2710(10)	6926(8)	6821(6)	
C(63)	3769(11)	7333(9)	6714(6)	
C(64)	3434(14)	8393(11)	6909(8)	

[m, $-CH_2({}^{n}Bu)$], 3.30 [m, NCH₂(${}^{n}Bu$)]; at $-55^{\circ}C$: δ 0.91 [t, $-CH_3({}^{n}Bu)$], 1.21 (s, ${}^{t}Bu$), 1.30 [m, $-CH_2({}^{n}Bu)$], 1.35 [m, $-CH_2({}^{n}Bu)$], 3.27 [m, NCH₂(${}^{n}Bu$)] EI-MS: m/z 1373, [Pt₂Cu₄(C=C'Bu)₈Br]⁻ (12%); m/z 367 [Cu₂(C=C'Bu)₂Br]⁻ (100%).

Method (b).

Alternatively, complexes 5-7 can be prepared by reactions of $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C=C^tBu)_4] \cdot 2H_20$ with MX (M = Ag, X = Cl, Br; M = Cu, X = Cl).

5: AgCl (0.027 g, 0.192 mmol) was added to a colourless solution of $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C\equiv C^tBu)_4] \cdot 2H_2O$ (0.100 g, 0.096 mmol) in acetone solution (30 ml) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The resulting pale yellow solution was concentrated to ca. 1 ml yielding 5 (yield 74%). Complexes 6: $(NBu_4)_2[Pt(C\equiv C^tBu)_4] \cdot 2H_2O$: 0.100 g, 0.096 mmol; AgBr: 0.036 g, 0.192 mmol (75% yield) and 7 (NBu_4)_2[Pt(C\equiv C^tBu)_4] \cdot 2H_2O: 0.100 g, 0.096 mmol; CuCl: 0.019 g, 0.192 mmol (60% yield) were prepared similarly.

The reactions of $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^*Bu)_8]$ (M = Ag, Cu) with (NBu₄)Br were also carried out in molar ratios 1:2 and 1:8. The reactions between $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^*Bu)_8]$ (M = Ag, Cu) and (NBu₄)Br in a 1:2 molar ratio afforded the complexes **6** and **8** but in lower yields (**6**, 40%; **8** 50%) than when the reactions were carried out in a 1:4 molar ratio. Moreover, in these conditions small amounts of the starting materials $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^*Bu)_8]$ (20% for M = Ag and 15% for M = Cu) were recovered as second fractions. By using a large excess of Br⁻ (molar ratio $[Pt_2M_4(C=C^*Bu)_8]/(NBu_4Br \text{ of } 1:8)$ the trinuclear derivatives (NBu₄)₂- $[PtM_2(C=C^*Bu)_4Br_2]$ (M = Ag **9** and M = Cu **10**) were obtained.

3.1.3. $(NBu_4)_2[\{Pt(C \equiv C'Bu)_4\}(MBr)_2]$ $(M = Ag \ 9; M = Cu \ 10)$

 $(NBu_4)_2[{Pt(C=C^*Bu)_4}(AgBr)_2]$ (9). A yellow suspension of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^*Bu)_8]$ (0.144 g, 0.098 mmol) in

acetone (10 ml) was treated with 0.253 g (0.784 mmol) of (NBu₄)Br (molar ratio 1:8) resulting in an almost immediate change to white. After stirring for 1 h the white precipitate was removed by filtration, washed with acetone (2 ml) and air-dried (yield 50%). Evaporation of the filtrate to small volume (1 ml) causes the precipitation of a white solid which was identified by IR spectroscopy as the hexanuclear derivative $(NBu_4)_2[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8Br_2]$ (6). Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.89 (2.03); C, 48.59 (48.74); H, 8.85 (7.89)% $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (in nitromethane solution): 167 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2056 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) (20°C): δ 0.97 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.27 (s, ^tBu), 1.41 [m, $-CH_{2}(^{n}Bu)], 1.64 [m, -CH_{2}(^{n}Bu)], 3.36 [m,$ NCH₂(ⁿBu)]. EI-MS: m/z 1363 [Pt₂Ag₃(C=C^tBu)₈]⁻ (12%); m/z 1551 [Pt₂Ag₄(C=C^tBu)₈Br]⁻ (15%); m/z457 $[Ag_2(C=C^tBu)_2Br]^-$ (96%).

(NBu₄)₂[{Pt(C≡C'Bu)₄}(CuBr)₂] (**10**) was prepared similarly from a yellow solution of $[Pt_2Cu_4(C≡C'Bu)_8]$ (0.120 g, 0.093 mmol) and NBu₄Br (0.239 g, 0.741 mmol) in acetone. In this case the yield of the resulting white precipitate was of 68%. By evaporation of the filtrate a second fraction of **10** was obtained (15%). Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 1.96 (2.17); C, 52.37 (52.08); H, 8.25 (8.43). $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (in nitromethane solution): 160 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C≡C) 2024 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): at 25°C: δ 0.99 [t, -CH₃(ⁿBu)], 1.23 (s, ^tBu), 1.30 (s, ^tBu), 1.50 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 1.70 [m, -CH₂(ⁿBu)], 3.47 [m, NCH₂(ⁿBu)]. EI-MS: *m/z* 1373 [Pt₂Cu₄(C≡C^tBu)₈Br]⁻ (20%); *m/z* 367 [Cu₂-(C≡C^tBu)₂Br]⁻ (100%).

3.1.4. $[{Pt(C \equiv CPh)_4}(AgPy)_2](11)$

Pyridine (19 μ l, 0.235 mmol) was added to a yellow solution of [Pt₂Ag₄(C=CPh)₈] (0.092 g, 0.056 mmol) in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂. Immediately the solution changed to deep yellow. After 2 h, the solution was evaporated to ca. 3 ml, producing a bright yellow precipitate, which was collected by filtration, washed with cold CH₂Cl₂ and air-dried. Yield 72%. Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 2.88 (2.88); C, 51.46 (51.82); H, 2.89 (3.11)%. IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2066 (vs). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.05–7.32 (m, 20H Ph and 4H Py), 7.65 (t, 2H, py), 8.65 (d, 4H, py). EI-MS: m/z peak molecular not observed.

3.1.5. $[{Pt(C \equiv CPh)_4}(AgCN^{t}Bu)_2]$ (12)

To an orange-yellow solution of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C\equiv CPh)_8]$ (0.120 g, 0.074 mmol) in 10 ml of CH_2Cl_2 was added $CN^{t}Bu$ (34 μ l, 0.296 mmol) (1:4 molar ratio). Immediately the solution turned lemon-yellow. After stirring for 10 min at room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated to ca. 2 ml. Addition of diethyl ether (5 ml) caused the precipitation of **12** as a yellow microcrystalline solid, which was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and air-dried (yield 68%). Anal. Found (Calc.): N, 2.91 (2.85); C, 51.12 (51.39); H, 3.75 (3.90)% IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=N) 2184 (vs); ν (C=C) 2077 (s). ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃): δ 1.23 (s, 18H, Bu¹), 7.04, 7.32 (m, 20H, Ph). EI-MS: m/z molecular peak not observed.

3.1.6. $[Pt_2Ag_4(C \equiv C^tBu)_8(Py)_2]$ (13)

To a yellow solution of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8](0.096 \text{ g}, 0.065 \text{ mmol})$ in acetone (10 ml) was added pyridine (22 μ l, 0.274 mmol). Immediately the solution turned pale-yellow. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, and then evaporated to ca. (\approx 3 ml). Addition of hexane (2 ml) and cooling to -30° C for 24 h rendered **13** as a yellow microcrystalline solid, which was filtered, washed with n-hexane and air-dried (yield 50%). Anal. Found (Calcd): N, 1.51 (1.72); C, 42.28 (42.77); H, 5.07 (5.07). IR (cm⁻¹): ν (C=C) 2048 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.29 (s, 72H, ^tBu), 7.60 (m, 4H, py), 8.05 (m, 2H, py), 8.70 (m, 4H, py). EI-MS: *m/z* molecular peak not observed.

3.2. Reactions of $[Pt_2M_4(C \equiv CR)_8]$ with L $(L = PEt_3, PPh_3)$

3.2.1. Reactions with PEt₃

PEt₃ was added to a suspension (R = Ph) or a solution (R = ^tBu) of [Pt₂M₄(C=CR)₈] (M = Ag or R = Ph or ^tBu; M = Cu, R = Ph) in 20 ml of acetone (molar ratio 4:1) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. In all cases, the corresponding polymeric acetylide {Ag(C=CR)}_n (white; R = Ph, 50%, R = ^tBu, 75%) or {Cu(C=CR)}_n (yellow-green; R = Ph, 50%) precipitated (confirmed by IR spectra). After filtration, the mother liquors (R = ^tBu) were evaporated to dryness and the residue treated with water to give a white solid identified as *trans*-[Pt(C=C^tBu)₂ (PEt₃)₂] [10] (yield 40%). For R = Ph, evaporation of the mother liquors to ca. 3 ml gave pale yellow crystals which were identified (analyses, IR and ³¹P NMR) as *trans*-[Pt(C=CPh)₂(PEt₃)₂] [13] (yield 40%).

To a yellow solution of $[Pt_2Cu_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ (0.082 g, 0.063 mmol) in acetone (20 ml) were added 39 μ l (0.265 mmol) of PEt₃ and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The resulting solution was evaporated to ca. 3 ml) and cooled at -20° C for 2 days; 50% of the starting material precipitated and was recovered.

3.2.2. Reactions with PPh₃

To a yellow solution of $[Pt_2Cu_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ (0.065 g, 0.050 mmol) in 20 ml of acetone was added PPh₃ (0.053 g, 0.201 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The white precipitate was filtered off. Recrystallization from CHCl₃/acetone gave colourless crystals of *trans*-[Pt(C=C^tBu)_2(PPh_3)_2] [14] (yield 45%). A similar result was obtained with $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=C^tBu)_8]$ (0.1 g,

0.068 mmol; PPh₃: 0:071 g, 0.272 mmol), which rendered *trans*-[Pt($C \equiv C^{t}Bu_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$] (yield 66%).

A dark-garnet suspension of $[Pt_2Cu_4(C=CPh)_8]$ (0.101 g, 0.069 mmol) was treated with 0.073 g (0.278 mmol) of PPh₃ resulting in an immediate change to yellow. The mixture was stirred for 12 h, and the resulting yellow solid was filtered off, washed with acetone and air-dried. The IR spectrum of this solid is identical to that of trans-[Pt(C=CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂]. However, it analyses as [Pt(C=CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂] 2(CuC=CPh). Found: C, 65.38; H, 4.37. Calc.: C, 65.27, H, 4.03%. This solid was dissolved in chloroform (15 ml) and stirred. Immediately a yellow green precipitate of ${Cu(C=CPh)}_n$ separates. The mixture was stirred for 3 days and then filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate to dryness and addition of 3 ml of acetone yields lemonyellow crystals of trans-[Pt(C=CPh)2(PPh3)2] [15] (IR, analyses, ³¹P NMR) (yield 68%).

If the reaction is carried out in $CHCl_3$ (3 days) only $CuC\equiv CPh$ precipitate (IR). From the mother liquors, *trans*-[Pt(C $\equiv CPh_2(PPh_3)_2$] can be obtained in very high yield (81%).

To a yellow suspension of 0.103 g (0.063 mmol) of $[Pt_2Ag_4(C=CPh)_8]$ in 30 ml of acetone was added PPh₃ (0.066 g, 0.253 mmol) at room temperature, immediately giving a yellow solution. After a few minutes, a yellow precipitate was formed. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then the solid was filtered off, washed with acetone and air-dried. The IR spectrum of this solid is identical to that $trans-[Pt(C=CPh_2(PPh_3)_2],$ but it analyses as $[Pt(C=CPh)_2(PPh_3)_2] \cdot 2(AgC=CPh)$. C, 61.20 (60.95); H, 4.11 (3.76). This solid was dissolved in CHCl₃ (15 ml) giving a yellow turbid solution. The mixture was stirred for 2 days and then filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate to small volum, (? ml) gave crystals of trans-[Pt(C=CPh)2(PPh3)2] (IR, analyses, ³¹P NMR). From the filtrate only mixtures of uncharacterized complexes were obtained.

3.3. Crystal structure analysis of 4

Yellow crystals of **4** were grown by slow evaporation of an acetone solution of the complex at room temperature.

(NBu₄)₂[{Pt(C=CPh)₄}(CuBr)₂]: C₆₄H₉₂Br₂Cu₂N₂Pt, M = 1371.4, triclinic, space group P1 (No. 2), a =12.148(4), b = 13.977(5), c = 20.053(4) Å, $\alpha = 84.71(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 80.81(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 74.38(3)^{\circ}$, V = 3232.7(17) Å³, Z = 2, $\rho_{calc} = 1.409$ g cm⁻³, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\mu = 4.083$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1392, T = 293 K.

Diffraction measurements were made with a Nicolet (Siemens) four-circle Autodiffractometer (Crystalytics, Co.) using graphite monochromated Mo K α X-radiation on a single crystal (approximate dimensions $0.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.65$ mm) mounted in a thin-walled glass capil-

lary sealed with epoxy. Cell dimensions were determined from the setting angle values of 15 centred reflections. A total of 9445 diffracted intensities (including checks) were measured in a unique hemisphere of reciprocal space for $3.0 < 2\theta < 45.8^{\circ}$ by ω scans. Six check reflections remeasured after every 300 ordinary data showed no decay and ca. 3% variation over the period of data collection. Of the non-check intensity data collected, 8887 unique observations remained after averaging of duplicate and equivalent measurements; of these 6016 with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ were retained for use in structure solution and refinement. An absorption correction was applied in the basis of 252 azimuthal scan data, maximum and minimum transmission coefficients were 0.682 and 1.000, respectively. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied. All calculations were made with programs of the SHELXTL-PLUS system package [16]. Complex neutralatom scattering factors were taken from Ref. [17]. The structure was solved by heavy atom (Patterson and difference Fourier) methods, and refined by full-matrix least-squares against F. Three carbon atoms of one of the phenyl rings show disorder over two positions and were refined with partial occupancies of 0.54 [C(22), C(23) and C(24)] and 0.46 [C(22'), C(23') and C(24')]. In each NBu₄⁺ cation there are also two carbon atoms (one terminal methyl carbon atom and the methylene carbon bonded to it) disordered over two positions. These atoms were refined with the following partial occupancies: 0.56 [C(35) and C(36)] and 0.44 [C(35') and C(36')] for the tetrabutylammonium group containing the N(1) atom, and 0.73 [C(51) and C(52)] and 0.27 [C(51') and C(52')] for the tetrabutylammonium group containing the N(2) atom. All non-hydrogen atoms, except for the disordered ones in the tetrabutylammonium groups, were assigned anisotropic displacement parameters and refined without positional constraints. For the disordered atoms in the NBu⁺₄ cations, the C-C bond distance were restrained to 1.54(1) Å. Hydrogen atoms were constrained to idealized geometries (C-H 0.96 Å) (except for the carbon atoms of the whole phenyl ring in the anion, and the butyl chains of the cations containing disordered atoms) and a common refined isotropic displacement parameter was assigned. Refinement of the 667 least-squares variables converged smoothly to residual indices R = 0.042, wR = 0.049, S = 1.07 [18]. Weights, w, were set equal $[\sigma_c^2(F_o) + gF_o^2]^{-1}$ where $\sigma_c^2(F_o)$ is the variance in F_o due to counting statistics and g = 0.0010 was chosen to minimize the variation in S as a function of F_{0} . Final difference electron density maps showed no features outside the range +0.59 to -0.90 e Å⁻³. Table 2 reports the atomic positional parameters. A complete list of bond lengths and angles, atomic coordinates and hydrogen atoms parameters are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica (Spain) (Project PB92-0364) for financial support. M.T. Moreno thanks the Universidad de La Rioja for financial support.

References and notes

- P. Espinet, J. Forniés, F. Martínez, M. Tomás, E. Lalinde, M.T. Moreno, A. Ruiz and A.J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans., (1990) 791.
- [2] P. Espinet, J. Forniés, F. Martínez, M. Sotés, E. Lalinde, M.T. Moreno, A. Ruiz and A.J. Welch, J. Organomet. Chem., 403 (1991) 253.
- [3] J. Forniés, M.A. Gómez Saso, F. Martínez, E. Lalinde, M.T. Moreno and A.J. Welch, New. J. Chem., 16 (1992) 483.
- [4] J. Forniés, E. Lalinde, F. Martínez, M.T. Moreno and A.J. Welch, J. Organomet. Chem., 455 (1993) 271.
- [5] W.J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 7 (1971) 81.
- [6] G.E. Coates and C. Parkin, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 22 (1961) 59.
- [7] O.M. Abu-Salah, J. Organomet. Chem., 387 (1990) 123.

- [8] S. Yamazaki and A.J. Deeming, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1993) 3051.
- [9] H. Lang, M. Herres, L. Zsolnai and W. Imhof, J. Organomet. Chem., 409 (1991) C7.
- [10] O.M. Abu-Salah and A.R.A. Al-Ohaly, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1988) 2292.
- [11] O.M. Abu-Salah and C.B. Knobler, J. Organomet. Chem., 302 (1986) C10.
- [12] O.M. Abu-Salah, A.R.A. Al-Ohaly and Z.F. Mutter, J. Organomet. Chem., 389 (1990) 427.
- [13] A. Sebald, B. Wrackmeyer and W. Beck, Z. Naturforsch., 386 (1983) 45.
- [14] J.R. Berenguer, J. Forniés, E. Lalinde, F. Martínez, M.T. Moreno, A.J. Welch and J.C. Cubero, *Polyhedron*, 12 (1993) 1797.
- [15] I. Collamati and A. Furlani, J. Organomet. Chem., 17 (1969) 457.
- [16] SHELXTL-PLUS Software Package for the Determination of Crystal Structures, Release 4.0, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments, Inc., Madison, WI, 1990.
- [17] International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Kynoch, Birmingham, 1974.
- [18] $R = \Sigma |\Delta| / \Sigma |F_o|$; $wR = [\Sigma w \Delta^2 / \Sigma w F_o^2]^{1/2}$; $S = [\Sigma w \Delta^2 / (\text{NO-NV})]^{1/2}$; $\Delta = F_o F_c$.