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#### Abstract

This report describes the behavior of four 1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic acids, obtained in enantiomerically pure form starting from asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions between 1,3-butadiene and chiral (E)-2-cyanocinnamates, as chiral auxiliarics in the asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions of cyclopentadiene with chiral methyl N -acryloyl-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylates. A model based on the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond accounts for the stereochemical outcome in the catalyzed reactions.


Interest in using amino acids as chiral auxiliaries in organic synthesis has notably increased in the last decade. Recent reviews demonstrate that amino acid derivatives behave as efficient chiral auxiliaries in a variety of organic reactions ${ }^{1}$. In this context, high levels of diastereoselectivity have been achieved in asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions of N -acryloyl- $\alpha$-amino acids with several dienes when natural $\alpha$-amino acids were used as chiral auxiliaries, in particular with L-proline ${ }^{2}$. In this case, the high diastereoselectivity observed could be explained by the models proposed by Helmchen ${ }^{3}$ in the reactions of cyclopentadiene with acrylate of ( $S$ )-ethyl lactate catalyzed by $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ (a chelate complex dienophile- $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ with the acryloyl moiety in the syn conformation, in which a chlorine atom shields the $r e$ face of the dienophile) or $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ (a complex dienophile$\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ with an antiplanar enoate conformation, in which the ester group shields the siface of the double bond).

Nevertheless, when L-alanine or L-phenylalanine, both of which have a NH group, were used as chiral auxiliaries the reactions took place with moderate diastereoselectivities. The model proposed by us ${ }^{2 d}$ in explaining this behavior is founded on the preferential coordination of the Lewis acid ( $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ) with the amide group of the dienophile, which forces the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond that fixes the conformation of the amino acid, displaying the enoate moiety, in an antiplanar disposition. At this point, the methyl group (L-alanine) or the benzyl group (L-phenylalanine) shields the $r e$ face of the dienophile, favouring the diene attack on the si face.

In order to improve the results of the asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions when the $\alpha$-amino acids used as chiral auxiliaries obey the behavior of the latter, we have decided to study the use of new synthetic $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-amino acids, in particular conformationally constrained $\alpha, \alpha$-disubstituted- $\alpha$-amino acids, as chiral auxiliaries, where
the presence of the substituents could prove definitive and responsible for the shielding of one face of the double bond.

We have recently reported the synthesis of all four 1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic acids in enantiomerically pure form, starting from asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions of chiral ( E )-2-cyanocinnamates ${ }^{4}$ and now, we would like to report the results obtained when these constrained $\alpha$-amino acids were used as chiral auxiliaries in the Diels-Alder reactions between chiral methyl N -acryloyl-1-amino-2-phenyl-1cyclohexanecarboxylates and cyclopentadiene, taking into account that, if the model proposed is correct, the presence of the phenyl group, which must be placed in the equatorial position ${ }^{5}$, should be responsible for the major diastereofacial selectivity showed in the stereoisomers in which the phenyl group and the double bond adopt a trans configuration ( $\pi$-stacking).

The chiral dienophiles $\mathbf{2 a - d}$ were prepared by condensation of the amino esters $\mathbf{1 a}$-d, prepared by the addition of diazomethane to $\alpha$-amino acid chlorohydrates with acryloyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ${ }^{6}$ as the hypernucleophilic acylation catalyst ${ }^{7}$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. (Scheme 1).


These chiral dienophiles were reacted with an excess of freshly distilled cyclopentadiene under several conditions (scheme 2). In order to find an appropriate method for determining the results of the Diels-Alder reactions, the endo-cycloadducts were prepared by an alternative procedure. The endo-cycloadducts (3a and 4a) were obtained starting from the ( $\pm$ )-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-endo-carboxylic acid 7 by treatment with $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ and further addition of the corresponding chiral auxiliary, following the same synthetic procedure described above for the synthesis of the dienophiles 2a-d. Following this, analytical samples of compounds 3a and 4a were separated by silica gel column chromatography (Scheme 3). The results of the reactions of cyclopentadiene with dienophiles $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ were determined by direct HPLC analysis ${ }^{8}$ of the crudes of the DielsAlder reactions, the polymer of cyclopentadiene being previously eliminated with MeOH . The percentage of conversion, the endolexo ratio and the diastereofacial selectivity $3 / 4$ were ratified by integration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals ${ }^{9}$ for the $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ vinylic protons of the cycloadducts in the crudes of the cycloadditions. Neither HPLC analysis nor ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis were suitable methods to determine the diastereofacial selectivity in the exo-cycloadducts.

In order to verify the absolute configuration of the endo-cycloadduct preferentially obtained in the DielsAlder cycloadditions, the crude of the reactions was treated with MeOH and the cycloadducts mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography. Further hydrogenation of these mixtures using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ as a catalyst at atmospheric pressure and room temperature, followed by hydrolysis with an aqueous 6 N HCl solution under
reflux gave the corresponding bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2-endo-carboxylic acids whose specific optical rotations were compared with those given in the literature ${ }^{10}$.


Scheme 3
The experimental data obtained from the Diels-Alder reactions are summarized in Table 1 and show the influence of the reaction conditions on the conversion, endolexo ratio and diastereoselectivity. In the catalyzed reactions, using $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, quantitative conversions could be obtained, working between $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and -50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but as the temperature decreases the cycloaddition rate falls simultaneously and at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after 72 h , the conversion is very low. As expected, high values of endo/exo selectivities are only afforded in the presence of the Lewis acids. The non-catalyzed reaction takes place with moderate endo/exo selectivity and without diastereoselectivity of $\mathbf{3 / 4}$. The diastereofacial selectivity of $\mathbf{3 / 4}$ depends on the nature of the chiral auxiliary. The best results were achieved in catalyzed reactions when chiral auxiliaries la or $\mathbf{1 b}$ were used and a great increase in the diastereoselectivity of $3 / 4$ was not observed when working at lower temperatures.

Table 1. Results Obtained from the Diels-Alder Cycloadditions between Dienophiles 2a-d and Cyclopentadiene.

| Entry | Dienophile ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Lewis Acid ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | T( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | (h) | convn(\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $3+4 / 5+6^{c}$ | $3 / 4^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2a | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ | 0 | 30 | 100 | $91: 9$ | 83:17 |
| 2 | 2a | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ | -30 | 48 | 100 | 93:7 | 82:18 |
| 3 | 2a | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | -30 | 48 | 100 | 94:6 | 83:17 |
| 4 | 2a | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | -54 | 52 | 100 | 98:2 | 85:15 |
| 5 | 2a | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | -78 | 72 | 18 | 99:1 | 90:10 |
| 6 | 2a | - | 100 | 20 | 50 | 59:41 | 50:50 |
| 7 | 2 b | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ | 0 | 30 | 100 | 91:9 | 17:83 |
| 8 | 2 b | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | -30 | 48 | 100 | 95:5 | 16:84 |
| 9 | 2 c | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | -30 | 22 | 100 | 94:6 | 70:30 |
| 10 | 2d | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | -30 | 22 | 100 | 94:6 | 28:72 |

[^0]The sense of diastereoselectivity agrees with the intramolecular hydrogen bond model, previously reported by us, used to explain the results obtained in the reactions of cyclopentadiene with N -acryloyl-Lphenylalanine methyl ester and N -acryloyl-L-alanine methyl ester catalyzed by a Lewis acid ${ }^{2 d}$.

The catalyzed reaction of cyclopentadiene with $\mathbf{2 a}$ led to $\mathbf{3 a}$ as the major cycloadduct and with $\mathbf{2 b}$ led to $\mathbf{4 b}$ with a similar diastereoselectivity. The same reactions with 2 c and 2 d led to 3 c and $\mathbf{4 d}$ as the major cycloadducts, respectively, with a similar diastereoselectivity but lower than for the above cases. This behavior can be explained in terms of the complexes formed between the dienophile and Lewis acid. In the model with 2a as the chiral auxiliary, the reactive conformer adopts an antiplanar enoate conformation, in which the phenyl group attached to the cyclohexane ring should be ideally placed for inducing attractive interactions with the double bond ( $\pi$-stacking $)^{11}$, shielding the $r e$ face of the dienophile. Thus, the approach of the cyclopentadiene was made on the si face to afford mainly cycloadduct 3a. However, in the model with 2 c as the chiral auxiliary, the phenyl and the amide groups adopt equatorial and axial positions, respectively, in the cyclohexane ring, such that the acryloyl moiety, in an anti conformation, is a long way from the phenyl group, promoting a decrease in the diastereofacial selectivity. (Scheme 4).

$2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ complex
$\mathbf{3 a} / 4 \mathbf{a}=85: 15$



Scheme 4
The results obtained from the Diels-Alder reactions with both catalysts ( $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ) account for the intramolecular hydrogen bond model; however, in order to confirm this model, an amino acid without an NH group was tested as a chiral auxiliary: methyl (1S, 2R)-N-methyl-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate 8a. The new dienophile, methyl (1S, 2R)-N-acryloyl-N-methyl-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate 9 a was obtained as a byproduct in the synthesis of dienophile 2a ${ }^{12}$. (Scheme 5 ).

When dienophile 9 a was reacted with an excess of cyclopentadiene using $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ as a catalyst in an equimolar ratio, at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, we observed poor endolexo and diastereofacial selectivities. The reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR, which showed that the peaks corresponding to the N -methyl protons can be used to determine the results obtained from the Diels-Alder reaction ${ }^{13}$. (Scheme 6).


Scheme 5
The poor diastereoselectivities observed in the reaction support the model proposed and reflect the importance of the intramolecular hydrogen bond in fixing a determined conformation.


Scheme 6
In conclusion, we have improved the results obtained in asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions, in which natural $\alpha$-amino acids with NH groups were used as chiral auxiliaries by means of employing of synthetic $\alpha, \alpha$-disubstituted- $\alpha$-amino acids, in particular (1S, 2R)-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic acid and its enantiomer.
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## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Solvents were purified according to standard procedures. Analytical TLC was performed by using Polychrom SI $F_{254}$ plates. Column chromatography was performed by using Silica gel 60 ( $230-400$ mesh). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-300. Deuterated chloroform was used as a solvent with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard (the chemical shifts are reported in ppm on the $\delta$ scale, coupling constants in Hz ). The assignment of all separate signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra was made on the basis of coupling constants, selective proton-proton homonuclear decoupling experiments and proton-proton COSY experiments. Microanalyses were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 240-C analyser and were in good agreement with the calculated values. Optical rotations were measured in 1 and 0.5 dm cells of 1 and 3.4 mL capacity, respectively.

## Synthesis of Chiral Dienophiles. General Procedure.

An ethereal diazomethane solution was added dropwise to a solution of a 1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylic acid chlorohydrate derivative ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature. After 5 min , the solvents were eliminated in vacuo to yield an oily mixture of two products in a $90 / 10$ ratio corresponding to methyl 1 -amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate 1 and methyl N -methyl-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate 8 , respectively ${ }^{14}$. This mixture was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20$ mmol ) and acryloyl chloride ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in an inert atmosphere. After stirring for 12 h at room temperature, the solution was washed with an aqueous $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to give a residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (70:30) as eluent, yielding the methyl N -acryloyl-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate 2 in 83$87 \%$ yield.
2a: $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)=+102.7^{\circ}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 1.55-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{e}}\right) ; 2.29$ ('q'd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{e} \sim \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{a}} \sim}$
 $3.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{a}}=12.9, \mathrm{~J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{e}}=3.9, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; 5.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{\text {trans-gem }}=9.9, \mathrm{~J}_{\text {trans-cis }}=1.5, \mathrm{H}_{\text {trans }}\right) ; 6.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}_{\text {gem-trans }}=9.9, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{gem}}$ cis $\left.=16.8, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gem}}\right) ; 6.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{cis}-\mathrm{gem}}=16.8, \mathrm{~J}_{\text {cis-trans }}=1.5, \mathrm{H}_{\text {cis }}\right) ; 6.52(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$; 7.03-7.26(m, 5H, Arom).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 22.7,25.0,28.2,31.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}\right) ; 45.4,52.3,64.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{COOMe}\right) ; 126.1$, 127.1, 128.1, 128.2, 132.0, 141.2(Arom, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 164.5 (CONH); 173.4(COOMe).

Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C} 71.04, \mathrm{H} 7.37, \mathrm{~N} 4.88$ found: $\mathrm{C} 71.20, \mathrm{H} 7.48, \mathrm{~N} 5.01$. 2b: $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)=-101.4^{\circ}$.
Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C} 71.04, \mathrm{H} 7.37, \mathrm{~N} 4.88$ found: $\mathrm{C} 71.20, \mathrm{H} 7.43, \mathrm{~N} 4.90$. $\mathbf{2 c}:[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)=+12.3^{\circ}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 1.39-1.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; 2.06\left(\right.$ 'q'd $^{\prime}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{e}} \sim \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{a}} \sim$ $\left.\mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-4 \mathrm{a}}=13.2, \mathrm{~J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-4 \mathrm{e}}=3.6, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; 3.11-3.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{c}}\right) ; 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOMe}) ; 5.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{\text {trans }}\right.$ gem $=9.3$, J trans-cis $\left.=2.1, H_{\text {trans }}\right) ; 5.64(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ; 6.09\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{\text {gem-trans }}=9.3, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{gem}}\right.$-cis $\left.=16.8, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gem}}\right)$; $6.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{cis}-\mathrm{gem}}=16.8, \mathrm{~J}_{\text {cis-trans }}=2.1, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cis}}\right) ; 7.12-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, Arom).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 20.7,25.7,26.6,31.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}\right) ; 49.5,51.9,64.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{COOMe}\right) ; 126.3$, $127.5,127.8,128.8,131.4,140.0$ (Arom, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 165.9 (CONH); 173.2 (COOMe).
Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C 71.04, H 7.37, N 4.88 found: C 71.13, H 7.47, N 4.96.
2d: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)=-12.8^{\circ}$.
Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C} 71.04, \mathrm{H} 7.37, \mathrm{~N} 4.88$ found: $\mathrm{C} 71.18, \mathrm{H} 7.41, \mathrm{~N} 4.99$.

## Non-catalyzed Asymmetric Diels-Alder Cycloadditions. General Procedure.

Freshly distilled cyclopentadiene ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of chiral dienophile $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- d}$ ( 71 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry 1,4 -dioxane ( 7 mL ) at room temperature, and then was heated to the reaction temperature. The reaction was analyzed by HPLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

## Catalyzed Asymmetric Diels-Alder Cycloadditions. General Procedure.

The catalyst was added, under an inert atmosphere, to a solution of chiral dienophile 2a-d ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ). After being stirred for 1 h at room temperature, the solution was cooled to the reaction temperature (Table 1) and freshly distilled cyclopentadiene ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was stirred for the time reported in Table 1 and then quenched by the addition of solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate analyzed by HPLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

## Alternative Synthesis of Endo-Cycloadducts. 3a and 4a.

$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ( $\pm$ )-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-endo-carboxylic acid 7 ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The solvent and most of the $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ was removed under reduced pressure. The oily residue was dissolved in toluene ( 5 mL ) and the solvent and the residual $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ distilled off in vacuo. This operation was repeated to ensure complete removal of the $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$. The ( $\pm$ )-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-endo-carbonyl chloride was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then was added to a solution of 4 -dimethylaminopyridine ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl ( $1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 \mathrm{R}$ )-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate la ( 106 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 12 h at room temperature, the solution was washed with an aqueous $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to give a residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with hexane-ethyl acetate ( $70: 30$ ) to afford $144 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of the mixture of methyl N -[( $1^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, 2^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, 4^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$ )-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept- $5^{\prime}$-en-2'-ylcarbonyl]-(1S, 2 R$)$-1-amino-2-phenyl-1cyclohexanecarboxylate 3 a and methyl $\mathrm{N}-\left[\left(1^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 2^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 4^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right)\right.$-bicyclol 2.2 .1]hept- $5^{\prime}$-en- $2^{\prime}$-ylcarbonyl]-(1S, 2R)-1-amino-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexanecarboxylate 4a. Analytical samples could be separated in order to determine their physical properties.
3a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 1.15-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~s}}\right) ; 2.23$ ('q'd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{e}} \sim \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{a}} \sim \mathrm{J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-4 \mathrm{a}}=12.9, \mathrm{~J}_{3 \mathrm{a}-4 \mathrm{c}}=3.3, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; 2.54\left(\mathrm{t} d, 1 \mathrm{IH}, \mathrm{J}_{6 \mathrm{a}-6 \mathrm{c}} \sim \mathrm{J}_{6 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{a}}=13.5, \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{e}}=4.8, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; 2.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{x}}\right) ; 2.87\left(\right.$ brs, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ; 3.05\left(\right.$ brs, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ; 3.69-3.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{COOMe}\right) ; 5.83\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{5-6}=5.7\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{5-4}=2.7, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ; 6.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{6-5}=5.7, \mathrm{~J}_{6-1}=3.0, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) ; 6.31(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ; 7.03-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, Arom).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 22.7,25.1,28.6,30.4,32.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right) ; 42.7,46.1,46.2,46.5,50.1,52.0$, $64.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{COOMe}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{7}\right) ; 127.1,128.0,128.4,132.5,137.5,141.3$ (Arom, $\mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}$ ); 173.6,
173.8(COOMe, CONH ). Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C 74.74, H 7.70, N 3.96 found: $\mathrm{C} 74.80, \mathrm{H} 7.83, \mathrm{~N}$ 4.05.

4a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 1.23-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~s}}\right.$ ) ; 2.24('q'd,
 $2.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ; 3.05\left(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ; 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOMe}) ; 3.82\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{a}}=12.9, \mathrm{~J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{e}}=3.9\right.$, $\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$ ) $; 5.76\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{5-6}=5.7, \mathrm{~J}_{5-4}=2.7, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ; 6.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{6-5}=5.7, \mathrm{~J}_{6-1}=3.0, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) ; 6.35(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ; 7.02-$ 7.28(m, 5H, Arom).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 22.7,25.0,28.3,29.9,32.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right) ; 42.7,45.8,45.9,46.1,49.9,52.1$, 64.5( $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{COOMe}, \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{7^{\prime}}$ ); 127.0, 128.0, 128.3, 132.5, 137.5, 141.4(Arom, $\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}$ ); 173.5, 173.6(COOMe, CONH ). Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C 74.74, H 7.70, N 3.96 found: $\mathrm{C} 74.82, \mathrm{H} 7.81, \mathrm{~N}$ 4.02 .

## Procedure for Determining the Absolute Configuration.

The Diels-Alder reactions (entries $3,8,9$ and 10 in Table 1) were quenched by the addition of solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the mixtures were filtered and the filtrates evaporated in vacuo. The oily residues were chromatographed on silica gel using hexane-ethyl acetate (70:30) as eluent to purify the major cycloadducts. These cycloadducts were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and were hydrogenated at room temperature and atmospheric pressure for 12 h with $10 \%$ palladium-carbon as a catalyst. Removal of the solvent and the catalyst gave quantitatively the saturated cycloadducts which were hydrolyzed by refluxing for 48 h with 6 N HCl solution ( 10 mL ). The aqueous solutions were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic phases were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated in vacuo to afford (+) or (-)-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2-endo-carboxylic acids, whose optical rotations agreed with the value given in the literature: $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{c}=2.5$, $\mathrm{EtOH} 95 \%)=-$ and $+27.8^{\circ}$.
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(1a or 1 b ): $2.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{a}}=12.9, \mathrm{~J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{e}}=3.6, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOMe})$.
( 8 a or 8 b ): 2.74(dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{a}}=12.3, \mathrm{~J}_{2 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{c}}=3.3, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$ ); 3.52(s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ All reactions were carried out with a diene/dienophile ratio of 7.0 and a dienophile concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}^{\text {in }} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ except for entry 6 which was carried out in 1,4 -dioxane. ${ }^{\text {b The Lewis acids were used in an equimolar ratio with the dienophile. }}$ ${ }^{c}$ Determined by HPLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, sce ref. 8 and 9.

