RENDICONTI DEL CIRCOLO MATEMATICO DI PALERMO Serie II, Tomo L (2001), pp. 213-216

# ON THE DERIVABILITY OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

## J. M. ALDAZ<sup>1</sup>

We show that given any Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , every Lipschitz function is  $\mu$ -a.e. differentiable with respect to  $\mu$ .

# 1. Introduction.

One of the earliest applications of measure theory to the differentiation of functions is Lebesgue's result to the effect that any real valued measurable function of bounded variation on  $\mathbb{R}$ , is differentiable almost everywhere (with respect to Lebesgue measure). In particular, this is the case for Lipschitz functions. On the other hand, given any set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  of measure zero, there exists a Lipschitz function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that E is contained in the set of points where f is not differentiable (more precisely, Z. Zahorski proved in [4], Theorems 3 and 4, that A is the set of points of nondifferentiability of a Lipschitz function iff  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  set of Lebesgue measure zero). The situation however is markedly different in the plane; while Lipschitz functions are differentiable a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by Rademacher's Theorem, D. Preiss has shown (cf. [2]) that there exists a measure zero  $G_{\delta}$  subset B of the plane such that every Lipschitz function has a point of differentiability on B.

Here we shall study the situation in the one dimensional case, but replacing the derivative df/dx of f with respect to Lebesgue measure, with the derivative  $df/d\mu$  of f with respect to an arbitrary Borel measure  $\mu$  on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A24.

 $\mathbb{R}$ . Due to the fact that  $\mathbb{R}$  is an ordered topological space, the general case can be reduced to the same type of argument used for Lebesgue measure; hence, if f is Lipschitz then  $df/d\mu$  exists  $\mu$ -a.e.. In the other direction, it is not true that if  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then one can find a Lipschitz function f such that on E the derivative  $df/d\mu$  does not exist. In fact, given any countable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , there is a locally finite, continuous Borel measure  $\mu$  (so  $\mu(E) = 0$ ) such that for every Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $df/d\mu \equiv 0$  on E.

### 2. Definitions and results.

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let D be either  $\mathbb{R}$  or [a, b]. A Borel function  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x \in D$  with respect to  $\mu$  if both limits

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{\mu([x, x+h])} \text{ and } \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{\mu([x-h, x])}$$

exist and are equal. When x = a or x = b only the corresponding onesided limit is considered. By the usual conventions, if  $\mu([x, x + h]) = \infty$ then  $(f(x + h) - f(x))/\mu([x, x + h]) = 0$ , while if  $\mu([x, x + h]) = 0$ ,  $(f(x + h) - f(x))/\mu([x, x + h])$  is undefined, and similarly for the left limits. A Borel measure on  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  is *continuous* if for every point  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ . The outer measure generated by  $\mu$  is denoted by  $\mu^*$ .

The following version of Vitali's lemma is due to de Guzmán (cf.[1]). By an interval, we always mean a nondegenerate interval.

LEMMA 2.1 (Vitali's covering lemma for finite measures). Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on [a, b], let  $E \subset [a, b]$ , and let  $\mathscr{V}$  be a Vitali covering of E by closed intervals. Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite disjoint collection  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  of intervals from  $\mathscr{V}$  such that  $\mu^*(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_i) < \epsilon$ .

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and monotone, where D is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or interval [a, b]. Then for every Borel measure  $\mu$  on D,  $df/d\mu$  exists  $\mu$  -a.e..

*Proof:* Since a countable union of measure zero sets has measure zero, it suffices to consider the case D := [a, b]. Set  $U := \bigcup \{I \subset D : I \text{ is an interval with } \mu(I) < \infty\}$ , and  $B := \{x \in D : \text{ there is a relatively open } \}$ 

interval  $J \subset D$  with  $x \in J$  and  $\mu(J) < \infty$ . Note first that if  $y \subset U^c$ , then for every h > 0 we have

$$\mu([y-h, y]) = \mu([y, y+h]) = \infty,$$

so on U<sup>c</sup> every real valued Borel function g satisfies  $dg/d\mu \equiv 0$ . We claim that  $U \setminus B$  is countable. Write  $U \setminus B = L \cup R$ , where  $L := \{y \in U \setminus B :$ there exists an  $h_y > 0$  with  $\mu([y - h_y, y]) < \infty$ , and  $R := \{y \in U \setminus B :$ there exists an  $h_y > 0$  with  $\mu([y, y + h_y]) < \infty$ . If x, y are different points in L (resp. in R), then  $[x - h_x, x] \cap [y - h_y, y] = \emptyset$  (resp.  $[x, x + h_x] \cap [y, y + h_y] = \emptyset$ ). Hence both L and R are countable. Now if  $x \in L \cup R$  is such that  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$ , then by the continuity of  $f, \frac{df}{d\mu}(x) = 0$ , while  $\mu((L \cup R) \setminus \{x \in L \cup R : \mu(\{x\}) > 0\}) = 0$ . Hence all is left to do is to show that  $df/d\mu$  exists  $\mu$ -a.e. on B. Since  $\mu$  is locally finite on B, it is enough to consider the case where  $\mu$  is a finite measure on an interval I. Set  $C := \{J \subset I : J \text{ is an interval with } \mu(J) = 0\}$  and  $A := \cup C$ . We claim that  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Write  $A' := \bigcup \{ \text{ int } J : J \in C \}$ , where int J denotes the interior of J. Then there is a countable subcollection of open intervals {int  $J_n$ } such that  $A' = \bigcup_n$  int  $J_n$ . Hence  $\mu(A') = 0$ . Suppose next that  $x, y \in A \setminus A'$  are left endpoints of different intervals form C, say  $J_x$  and  $J_{y}$  respectively. Then int  $J_{x} \cap$  int  $J_{y} = \emptyset$ . Using an analogous argument for points in  $A \setminus A'$  which are right endpoints of intervals from C, we conclude that  $A \setminus A'$  is countable, thereby proving the claim.

By the definition of A, for every  $x \in I \setminus A$  and every h > 0,  $\mu([x-h, x]) > 0$  and  $\mu([x, x+h]) > 0$ . Assume f is increasing. Now the fact that  $df/d\mu$  exists  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $I \setminus A$ , and hence on I, is proven following the same steps as in the case of Lebesgue measure (cf. for instance [3], Thm. 2, pp. 96-98), but using Lemma 2.1 rather than the usual Vitali's covering lemma, and recalling that on the set  $\{x \in I : \mu(\{x\}) > 0\}$  the derivative  $df/d\mu$  exists (since it is identically zero).

In terms of measures, the preceding result tells us that if v is a continuous, locally finite measure, then  $dv/d\mu$  exists  $\mu$ -a.e., for any such v can be obtained from a continuous increasing function f by setting v((x, y]) := f(y) - f(x), and then applying Caratheodory's outer measure procedure.

Since a Lipschitz function on [a, b] is a continuous function of bounded

215

variation, it can be expressed as the difference of two monotone continuous functions. So we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let  $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz if D = [a, b], or locally Lipschitz if  $D = \mathbb{R}$ . Then for every Borel measure  $\mu$  on D,  $df/d\mu$  exists  $\mu$ -a.e..

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let I be an interval and let  $E \subset I$  be countable. Then there exists a locally finite, continuous Borel measure  $\mu$  on I, such that for every Lipschitz function  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}, df/d\mu \equiv on E$ .

*Proof:* Set g(0) = 0 and  $g(x) = 1/\sqrt{|x|}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable subset of the interval *I*, and write  $g_n(x) := 2^{-n}g(x - x_n)\chi_I(x)$ . Clearly  $w := \sum_{1}^{\infty} g_n \in L^1_{loc}(I)$  (and if *I* is bounded,  $w \in L^1(I)$ ), so the

measure  $\mu$  defined by  $\mu(B) := \int w(x)dx$  for every Borel set  $B \subset I$ , is absolutely continuous and locally finite. Let  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz. Then for every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\left|\lim_{h\downarrow 0}\frac{f(x_n+h)-f(x_n)}{\mu([x_n,x_n+h])}\right| \leq \lim_{h\downarrow 0}\frac{h\mathrm{Lip}(f)}{\int_{x_n}^{x_n+h}g_n} = 0.$$

Likewise, the left limits are also zero at each  $x_n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] de Guzmán M., A general form of the Vitali theorem, Colloq. Math. 34 (1975/76), no. 1, 69-72.
- [2] Preiss D., Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 91 (1990), 312-345.
- [3] Royden H.L., Real Analysis, Second Edition, Macmillan (1968).
- [4] Zahorski Z., Sur l'ensemble des points de non-derivabilité d'une fonction continue, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 74 (1946), 147-178.

Pervenuto il 7 gennaio 1999.

Departamento de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja E-mail adress: aldaz@dmc.unirioja.es