

1 **MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE IN**
2 ***ESCHERICHIA COLI* STRAINS FROM A DAIRY CATTLE FARM AND ITS**
3 **SURROUNDINGS**

4

5 Enrique Víctor Navajas-Benito^{a*}, Carla Andrea Alonso^{a*}, Susana Sanz^a, Carmen Olarte^a,
6 Roberto Martínez-Olarte^a, Sara Hidalgo-Sanz^b, Sergio Somalo^a, Carmen Torres^a

7 ^aDepartamento de Agricultura y Alimentación, Universidad de La Rioja, Logroño, Spain.

8 ^bCINFA, Pamplona, Spain

9

10 **These authors have contributed equally to this work*

11

12 *Corresponding author. +34 941 299750

13 *E-mail address:* carmen.torres@unirioja.es (C. Torres)

14 *Full postal address:* Universidad de La Rioja, Área Bioquímica y Biología Molecular,

15 Departamento de Agricultura y Alimentación, Madre de Dios 51, 26006 Logroño, Spain

16

17 *Running title:* Antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* from a dairy cattle farm and its surroundings

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ABSTRACT

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

BACKGROUND: This study describes the phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of 78 genetically different *Escherichia coli* recovered from the air and exudate samples of a dairy cattle farm and its surroundings in Spain, in order to gain insight into the flow of antimicrobial resistance through the environment and food supply.

RESULTS: Antimicrobial resistance was detected in 21.8% of the 78 *E. coli* isolates analyzed (resistance for at least one of the 14 agents tested). The highest resistance rates were recorded for ampicillin, nalidixic acid, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline. The resistance genes detected were as follows [antibiotic (number of resistant strains), gene (number of strains)]: ampicillin (9), *bla*_{TEM-1}(6); tetracycline (15), *tet(A)* (7), *tet(B)* (4), *tet(A)+ tet(B)* (1); chloramphenicol (5), *cmlA* (2), *floR*(2); trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (10), *sul2* (4), *sul1* (3), *sul3* (2), *sul1+ sul2* (1); gentamicin-tobramycin (1), *ant(2'')* (1). About 14% of strains showed a multidrug-resistant phenotype and, of them, 7 strains carried class 1 integrons containing predominantly the *dfrA1-aadA1* array. One multidrug-resistant strain was found in both inside and outside air, suggesting that the airborne spread of multidrug-resistant bacteria from the animal housing facilities to the surroundings is feasible.

CONCLUSIONS: This study gives a genetic background of the antimicrobial resistance problem in a dairy cattle farm and shows that air can act as a source for dissemination of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria.

Keywords: resistance genes, air, cattle, farm environment

INTRODUCTION

52

53 The spread of resistant bacteria as well as antimicrobial resistance genes is a global problem,
54 being present in many environments, all of them interconnected by different paths. For instance,
55 in recent years, multidrug-resistant bacteria (including extended-spectrum beta-lactamase –
56 ESBL-producing strains) have been detected in vegetable food which could potentially be
57 transmitted to humans through the food chain^{1,2}. However, there is still lack of data about
58 emission sources of these bacteria. In a previous study carried out by our group³, in order to
59 demonstrate the importance of the air as a vehicle for *E. coli* dissemination, air samples from
60 inside of a dairy cattle farm and the immediate surroundings of cultivated fields were studied by
61 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). The comparison of genetic profiles suggested that the
62 strains isolated from inside and outside the farm were related, leading to the conclusion that
63 airborne transfer of *E. coli* from inside the cattle farm to surrounding crops areas was feasible.
64 Focusing on antimicrobial resistant bacteria, findings from a study performed in broiler chicken
65 fattening farms and their environment revealed a potential airborne exchange of ESBL-
66 producing *E. coli*⁴. Similar evidences, suggesting the spread of antibiotic resistance genes from
67 beef cattle feed yards to the environment through aerial transport, have been recently reported
68 by other authors in a research conducted in USA⁵. This last study was performed using
69 quantitative PCR-based tools giving a general idea of the concentrations of antibiotic resistance
70 gene pool in the total microbial community DNA from air samples. However, it was not
71 designed to analyze the viability of the bacteria harboring these antimicrobial determinants after
72 aerial transport. In the present work carried out in Spain, we isolate, phenotypically characterize
73 and molecular analyze the resistance genotype and integron content of culturable *E. coli* strains
74 previously recovered from air and exudate samples of a dairy cattle farm and its surroundings³,
75 to gain insight into the flow of antimicrobial resistance through the environment and food
76 supply.

77

78

79

EXPERIMENTAL

80 **Bacterial strains included in the study.**

81 One-hundred-seventeen *E. coli* isolates were recovered in a previous study³ from organic
82 exudates (42 isolates) and from samples of inside and outside air (75 isolates) of a cattle farm.
83 These isolates were recovered from air samples taken in different points inside of the cattle farm
84 and from immediate outside surroundings (at distance of 50, 100 and 150 m in four directions)
85 and from organic exudates (dirty straw and manure inside the dairy farm). The initial isolation
86 and enumeration of *E. coli* isolates was performed in Chromocult Coliform Agar (Merck) and
87 they were identified by biochemical and molecular methods³. Seventy-eight different PFGE
88 profiles were detected among the 117 *E. coli* isolates. One *E. coli* strain from each of the 78
89 different PFGE profiles was selected (55 of air, 23 of organic exudates) and included in the
90 present study, making a collection of 78 *E. coli* strains that has been used in this study for
91 characterization of antimicrobial resistance phenotype and genotype.

92

93 **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and resistance genes**

94 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by the disc diffusion method, according to
95 the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines⁶. The susceptibility of the *E. coli* isolates
96 was tested for 14 antimicrobial agents commonly used against *E. coli* infections in humans:
97 ampicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanate, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, ceftiofur, imipenem, nalidixic
98 acid, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, amikacin, tobramycin, chloramphenicol,
99 trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a control
100 strain.

101 Phenotypically resistant *E. coli* isolates were characterized at the molecular level for their
102 antimicrobial resistance mechanisms. Simplex PCR and subsequent sequencing were used for
103 the detection of drug-resistant genes associated with beta-lactams (*bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{OXA},
104 *bla*_{PSE}, and *bla*_{CTX-M}). Mutations in the chromosomal *ampC* promoter region were also
105 determined by PCR and sequencing. Amino acid changes in GyrA and ParC proteins were
106 studied by PCR and sequencing of the corresponding genes in fluoroquinolone-resistant
107 isolates. In addition, plasmid-mediated quinolone-resistance genes (PMQR) (*qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrS*,

108 *aac(6′)-Ib-cr*, *qepA*), as well as aminoglycoside (*aac(3)-I*, *aac(3)-II*, *aac(3)-III*, *aac(3)-IV*,
109 *ant(2″)*, *aac(6′)-Ib*), sulfonamide (*sul1*, *sul2*, *sul3*), chloramphenicol (*cmlA*, *florR*, *catB*) and
110 tetracycline resistance genes (*tet(A)*, *tet(B)*) were tested by PCR and sequencing⁷.

111 The presence of integrons was detected by PCR amplification of the integrase gene *intI1* (for
112 class 1 integrons) and *intI2* (for class 2 integrons). To characterize their genetic structure, PCR
113 “primer-walking” strategy was used in order to get the complete gene cassette arrangement⁷.

114

115

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

116 The rate of antimicrobial resistance in the collection of 78 genetically different *E. coli* strains
117 recovered from air samples and organic exudates of a cattle farm was 21.8% (17 out of 78
118 strains showed resistance to at least one of the 14 antimicrobial agents tested). The highest
119 resistance rates were recorded for ampicillin, nalidixic acid, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and
120 tetracycline (11.5-17.9%). These antimicrobial agents are frequently used both in clinical and
121 veterinary practice. No ESBL-producing *E. coli* were found in the studied *E. coli* collection. It is
122 remarkable the detection of eleven strains (14.1%) which showed a multidrug-resistant (MDR)
123 phenotype, including at least 3 families of antibiotics. Co-resistance to ampicillin, tetracycline,
124 trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and nalidixic acid was observed in most of the multi-resistant
125 isolates. The presence of integrons appears to be associated with these MDR phenotypes, as
126 suggested by the fact that 7 out of 11 MDR strains carried class 1 integrons (Table 1). About
127 57% contained the conserved *qacEΔ1-sul1* region and, in all of them, the array *dfrA1-aadA1*
128 was identified. The presence of classic class 1 integrons containing trimethoprim (*dfr*) and
129 streptomycin (*aad*) resistance encoding genes have been frequently reported in *E. coli* isolates
130 recovered from different sources, including food producing animals^{8,9}, humans⁷ and the
131 environment¹.

132 The moderate percentage of bacteria resistant to antimicrobials found in the present work is in
133 accordance with other studies carried out in cattle farms¹⁰, which shows lower resistance rates
134 than those focused on other livestock animals, such as poultry or pigs^{8, 11-14}. This fact reflects
135 variations in the use of antimicrobial agents among the different livestock production sectors, as

136 have been reported in a previous study¹⁵. It should be noted that the percentage of resistance
137 shown in this work was calculated among the clonally unrelated *E. coli* strains, so there might
138 be variations depending on the frequency of certain clones.

139 Molecular analysis showed the following acquired resistance genes among the studied *E. coli*
140 strains [antibiotic (number of resistant strains)/gene (number of strains)] (Table 1): Ampicillin
141 (9) / *bla*_{TEM-1}(6); tetracycline (15) / *tet*(A)(7)/*tet*(B) (4)/*tet*(A) + *tet*(B)(1); chloramphenicol (5) /
142 *cmlA* (2)/*floR*(2); trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (10) / *sul1* + *sul2* (1)/ *sul2* (4)/*sul1* (3)/*sul3*
143 (2); and gentamicin-tobramycin (1) / *ant*(2'') (1). Resistance to fluoroquinolones was due to
144 amino acid changes at positions 83 (S83L) and 87 (D87N) of the GyrA protein and at position
145 80 of the ParC protein [substitutions at this position were serine for isoleucine in two isolates
146 (ZO10, ZO59) and serine for arginine in the other two ones (ESTE50, ESTE51)]. In four cases
147 (three for ampicillin and one for chloramphenicol), the genes responsible for resistance could
148 not be identified, suggesting other possible resistance mechanisms. It is remarkable the
149 detection of the *ant*(2'') gene associated with resistance to gentamicin and tobramycin in one of
150 the strains. This gene, which encodes a 2"-O-adenyltransferase aminoglycoside-modifying
151 enzyme, is infrequently identified in non-human resistant *E. coli* isolates according to a previous
152 microarray based comparative study on gentamicin resistant strains from food producing
153 animals and humans¹⁶. With regard to chloramphenicol resistant strains, it is noteworthy the
154 detection of the *floR* and *cmlA* genes in the same percentage. Unlike *floR*, *cmlA* marker is not
155 very common among *E. coli* of bovine origin^{9, 16}. The type and distribution of the ampicillin
156 (*bla*_{TEM-1}) and tetracycline [*tet*(A), *tet*(B)] resistance determinants shown in this study are in
157 agreement with the data reported in a collection of MDR *E. coli* strains recovered from cattle
158 and the farm environment in Ireland⁹.

159 It is of interest to highlight that one MDR strain (ESTE50), which showed resistance to
160 chloramphenicol, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline and quinolones, was found in
161 both inside and outside air of the dairy cattle farm. This result demonstrates that the airborne
162 spread of MDR bacteria from cattle farms to the immediate environment is feasible. Similar
163 findings carried out in a broiler chicken farm have been recently reported by other authors⁴. The

164 fact that the farm studied in the present study was bordered by agricultural fields could pose a
165 risk of crops contamination and, consequently, led to antibiotic-resistant bacteria entering the
166 food chain. However, the risk for human health cannot be estimated with available data and
167 further studied are needed.
168 Supporting the findings of very recent studies^{4,5}, this work reveals that the air seems to be an
169 important vehicle for the transference of bacteria and their resistance genes from the farm field
170 to the external environment. Both commensal and pathogenic bacteria as well as susceptible or
171 resistant bacteria can be disseminated through this way, highlighting the complexity of routes of
172 dispersion of the microorganisms in the different environments.

173

174

REFERENCES

- 175 1. Ben Said L, Jouini A, Klibi N, Dziri R, Alonso CA, Boudabous A, Ben Slama K and
176 Torres C, Detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing
177 *Enterobacteriaceae* in vegetables, soil and water of the farm environment in Tunisia. *Int*
178 *J Food Microbiol* **203**: 86-92 (2015)
- 179 2. Reuland EA, Al Naiemi N, Raadsen SA, Savelkoul PHM, Kluymans JAJW and
180 Vandenbroucke-Grauls CMJE, Prevalence of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in
181 raw vegetables. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **33**:1843-1846 (2014).
- 182 3. Sanz S, Olarte C, Martínez-Olarte R, Navajas-Benito EV, Alonso CA, Hidalgo-Sanz S,
183 Somalo S and Torres C, Airborne dissemination of *Escherichia coli* in a dairy cattle farm
184 and its environment. *Int J Food Microbiol* **197**: 40-44 (2015).
- 185 4. Laube H, Friese A, von Salviati C, Guerra B and Rösler U, Transmission of
186 ESBL/AmpC-producing *Escherichia coli* from broiler chicken farms to surrounding
187 areas. *Vet Microbiol* **172**: 519-527 (2014).
- 188 5. McEachran AD, Blackwell BR, Hanson JD, Wooten KJ, Mayer GD, Cox SB, Smith
189 PN, Antibiotics, bacteria, and antibiotic resistance genes: aerial transport from cattle
190 feed yards via particulate matter. *Environ Health Perspect* **123**: 337-343 (2015).

- 191 **6.** Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial
192 susceptibility testing. Twenty-fifth informational supplement. CLSI document M100-
193 S25 (2015).
- 194 **7.** Vinué L, Sáenz Y, Somalo S, Escudero E, Moreno MA, Ruiz-Larrea F and Torres C,
195 Prevalence and diversity of integrons and associated resistance genes in faecal
196 *Escherichia coli* isolates of healthy humans in Spain. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **62**: 934-
197 937 (2008).
- 198 **8.** Guerra B, Junker E, Schroeter A, Malorny B, Lehmann S and Helmuth R, Phenotypic
199 and genotypic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in German *Escherichia coli*
200 isolates from cattle, swine and poultry. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **52**: 489-492 (2003).
- 201 **9.** Karczmarczyk M, Walsh C, Slowey R, Leonard N and Fanning S, Molecular
202 characterization of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from Irish cattle farms.
203 *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77**: 7121-7127 (2011).
- 204 **10.** Sawant AA, Hegde NV, Straley BA, Donaldson SC, Love BC, Knabel SJ and Jayarao
205 BM, Antimicrobial-resistant enteric bacteria from dairy cattle. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
206 **73**: 156-163 (2007).
- 207 **11.** Changkaew K, Intarapuk A, Utrarachkij F, Nakajima C, Suthienkul O and Suzuki I,
208 Antimicrobial resistance, extended-spectrum β -lactamase productivity, and class 1
209 integrons in *Escherichia coli* from healthy swine. *J Food Prot* **78**: 1442-1450 (2015).
- 210 **12.** Chantziaras I, Boyen F, Callens B and Dewulf J, Correlation between veterinary
211 antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals: a report on
212 seven countries. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **69**:827-834 (2014).
- 213 **13.** Enne VI, Cassar C, Sprigings K, Woodward MJ and Bennett PM, A high prevalence of
214 antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs and a low prevalence of
215 antimicrobial resistant *E. coli* from cattle and sheep in Great Britain at slaughter. *FEMS*
216 *Microbiol Lett* **278**: 193-199 (2008).

217 **14.** Jiang HX, Lü DH, Chen ZL, Wang XM, Chen JR, Liu YH, Liao XP, Liu JH and Zeng
218 ZL, High prevalence and widespread distribution of multi-resistant *Escherichia coli*
219 isolates in pigs and poultry in China. *Vet J* **187**:99-103 (2011).

220 **15.** Zhou LJ, Ying GG, Liu S, Zhang RQ, LaiHJ, Chen ZF and Pan CG, Excretion masses
221 and environmental occurrence of antibiotics in typical swine and dairy cattle farms in
222 China. *Sci Total Environ* **444**: 183-195 (2013).

223 **16.** Szmolka A, Anjum MF, La Ragiona RM, Kaszanyitzky EJ and Nagy B, Microarray
224 based comparative genotyping of gentamicin resistant *Escherichia coli* strains from
225 food animals and humans. *Vet Microbiol* **156**: 110-118 (2012).

226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241

Table 1. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of antimicrobial resistant *E.coli* detected in air or exudates collected from inside and outside the cattle farm.

Strain	Origin	Resistance phenotype ^b	Resistance genes outside the integron	Amino acid changes		Class 1 integron	
				GyrA	ParC	Int1/3'-CS	Integron structure
P8	Organic exudate (inside)	AMP, SXT, TE, NA	<i>sul1, tet(A)</i>	ND ^c	ND	+/+	<i>dfrA1-aadA1</i>
P20	Air (inside)	SXT, TE, NA	<i>sul1, tet(A)</i>	ND	ND	+/+	<i>dfrA1-aadA1</i>
ESTE42	Air, East, 50 m (outside)	AMP	<i>bla_{TEM-1}</i>	ND	ND	-	-
ESTE50 ^a	Air, East, 100 m (outside) / Air (inside)	C, SXT, TE, NA, CIP	<i>sul3, cmlA</i>	S83L, D87N	S80R	+/-	NI ^d
ESTE51	Air, East, 100 m (outside)	C, SXT, TE, NA, CIP	<i>sul3, cmlA</i>	S83L, D87N	S80R	-	-
ZO6	Air (inside)	AMP, AMC, SXT, TE	<i>sul2, tet(A)</i>	ND	ND	-	-
ZO08	Air (inside)	C, SXT, TE, NA, GN, TB	<i>sul2, tet(B), ant(2'')</i>	ND	ND	+/-	NI
ZO10	Air (inside)	AMP, C, SXT, TE, NA, CIP	<i>bla_{TEM-1}, floR, sul2, tet(A), tet(B)</i>	S83L, D87N	S80I	+/-	NI
ZO20	Air (inside)	TE	<i>tet(B)</i>	ND	ND	-	-
ZO22	Air (inside)	AMP, SXT, TE	<i>bla_{TEM-1}, sul1, sul2, tet(A)</i>	ND	ND	+/+	<i>dfrA1-aadA1</i>
ZO59	Air (inside)	AMP, SXT, TE, NA, CIP	<i>bla_{TEM-1}, sul1, tet(A)</i>	S83L, D87N	S80I	+/+	<i>dfrA1-aadA1</i>
E1	Organic exudate (inside)	TE	<i>tet(B)</i>	ND	ND	-	-
E6	Organic exudate (inside)	AMP, SXT, TE, NA	<i>bla_{TEM-1}, sul2</i>	ND	ND	-	-
E12	Organic exudate (inside)	AMP, C, TE	<i>floR, tet(A)</i>	ND	ND	-	-
E15	Organic exudate (inside)	TE, NA	<i>tet(A)</i>	ND	ND	-	-
E18	Organic exudate (inside)	AMP, TE	<i>bla_{TEM-1}, tet(B)</i>	ND	ND	-	-
E20	Organic exudate (inside)	NA	-	ND	ND	-	-

^aThis strain was isolated both inside and outside the dairy cattle farm.

^bAMP: ampicillin; AMC: amoxicillin/clavulanate; C: chloramphenicol; SXT: trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; TE: tetracycline; NA: nalidix acid; CIP: ciprofloxacin; GN: gentamicin; TB: tobramycin.

^c ND: Non determined.

^d NI: Non identified array with performed PCRs.