

**Effects of Kaolin on *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) and its Compatibility with the Natural Enemy, *Trichogramma cacoeciae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae)**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Economic Entomology</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Pease, Christina; Universidad de La Rioja, Agricultura y Alimentación López-Olguín, Jesús; Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, ICUAP- Centro de Agroecología Pérez-Moreno, Ignacio; Universidad de La Rioja, Agricultura y Alimentación Marco-Mancebón, Vicente; Universidad de La Rioja, Agricultura y Alimentación
<b>Please choose a section from the list</b>:	Horticultural Entomology
Field Keywords:	Agricultural Entomology, Biological Control-Parasitoids & Predators
Organism Keywords:	Lepidoptera, Trichogramma

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1

Pease et al.: Effects of Kaolin on *L. botrana* and  
Side Effects on *T. cacoeciae*

Journal of Economic Entomology  
Horticultural Entomology

V. Marco-Mancebón  
Unidad de Protección de Cultivos,  
Departamento de Agricultura y  
Alimentación, Universidad de La Rioja  
C/ Madre de Dios No. 51, 26006 Logroño,  
España  
Phone: 34 941 299-746  
Fax: 34 941 299-721  
E-mail: [vicente.marco@unirioja.es](mailto:vicente.marco@unirioja.es)

2

3

4

5

6 **Effects of Kaolin on *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) and its Compatibility with the**  
7 **Natural Enemy, *Trichogramma cacoeciae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae)**

8

9

10 Christina E. Pease<sup>1</sup>, Jesús F. López-Olguín<sup>2</sup>, Ignacio Pérez-Moreno<sup>1</sup> and Vicente Marco-Mancebón<sup>1</sup>

11

12

13 <sup>1</sup>Unidad de Protección de Cultivos, Departamento de Agricultura y Alimentación, Universidad de La  
14 Rioja. C/ Madre de Dios No. 51, 26006 Logroño, España

15 <sup>2</sup>Centro de Agroecología, Instituto de Ciencias, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla. 14 Sur No.  
16 6301, Ciudad Universitaria. 72570 Puebla, México

17

18 **ABSTRACT** *Lobesia botrana* (Den. & Schiff.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) is an important grapevine pest  
19 in Europe recently encountered in America. *Trichogramma cacoeciae* Marchal (Hymenoptera:  
20 Trichogrammatidae) is amongst the most effective parasitoids for Lepidopteran species. Studies to  
21 evaluate the effect of kaolin, an inert, non-toxic mineral on these species were carried out. Efficacy on *L.*  
22 *botrana* neonate larvae, oviposition and egg hatch were evaluated. Effects of kaolin on parasitism and  
23 emergence of *T. cacoeciae* from *L. botrana* and *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) eggs  
24 were also evaluated. *L. botrana* egg hatch and oviposition rates were reduced and neonate larvae mortality  
25 was significantly greater in kaolin treated arenas and when included in synthetic neonate larvae diet.  
26 Kaolin had no effect on *T. cacoeciae* parasitism in both hosts. There was only a slight but statistically  
27 insignificant effect on *T. cacoeciae* progeny emergence from *L. botrana* eggs and no effect from *E.*  
28 *kuehniella*. The reductions in *L. botrana* oviposition, and egg hatch and increase in larval mortality with  
29 kaolin contribute to reduction in population densities and can be considered in rational IPM strategies for  
30 *L. botrana*. Due to the laboratory results presented on parasitoid emergence, even though field bioassays  
31 would give a more exhaustive evaluation, it appears kaolin can be compatible with *T. cacoeciae* in *L.*  
32 *botrana* management.

33

34 **KEY WORDS** European grapevine moth, parasitoid, kaolin particle film, side-effects

35

36 *Lobesia botrana* (Den. and Schiff.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) is a lepidopteran species which historically  
37 prefers grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) causing direct damage to flowers and fruit set (Bovey 1966) which is  
38 normally not economically important. However, *L. botrana* physical damage to the berry is associated  
39 with the even greater economic loss from pathogen infection and subsequent rotting due especially to  
40 *Botrytis cinerea* Pers. (Deuteromycotina) (Roehrich and Bollere 1991) and bacterial species. This  
41 Lepidoptera is an important pest in European and Mediterranean area vineyards and has been recently  
42 detected in Chile, (González 2008) California, (Varela 2010, Gilligan 2011) and Argentina (Gonzalez  
43 2010). Due to the extension of this species range with its associated damage it has become economically  
44 important worldwide.

45 Traditionally, *L. botrana* has been controlled by chemical means. Yet now with the need to avoid  
46 agricultural contamination, secondary effects on auxiliary fauna, and resistance development, other  
47 environmentally friendly control methods such as *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner (Bacillales: Bacillaceae)  
48 (Escudero 2006) and mating disruption (Louis 2001) have also been included in IPM strategies. Also, with  
49 auxiliary fauna in mind, biological control has returned to the centre of many management approaches. The  
50 use of oophagous parasitoid *Trichogramma* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) species as  
51 inundative control agents is one example. Along those lines, *Trichogramma cacoeciae* Marchal  
52 (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) is giving promising experimental results, such as high parasitic  
53 potential with *L. botrana* (Moreno 2009).

54 On the other hand, particle film technology including kaolin was initially employed for the control of  
55 the 12-spotted cucumber beetle, *Diabrotica duodecimpunctata* Fab. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in 1932  
56 (Richardson and Glover 1932). This technology has re-emerged for the use of controlling arthropods such  
57 as *Thrips tabaci* Lindeman (Larentzaki et al. 2008), *Anthonomus grandis* Boheman (Coleoptera:  
58 Curculionidae) (Silva and Ramalho 2013), *Toxoptera aurantii* Boyer de Fonscolombe (Homoptera:  
59 Aphididae) and *Aphis spiraecola* Patch (Homoptera: Aphididae) (Smaili et al. 2014). Mitigation of  
60 diseases, sunburn, and heat stress in crop plants (Russo and Diaz-Perez 2005, Cantorea et al. 2009) fruit  
61 trees, along with increased yield in blueberry (Spiers et al. 2004) are other benefits of kaolin use  
62 (Melgarejo et al. 2004, D'Aquino et al. 2011). With its high pH kaolin can prevent the adhesion of  
63 pathogen spore and their germination (Walters 2006) on plant tissue.

64 Due to its mechanisms of action, kaolin should, theoretically, not be toxic to natural enemies. However,  
65 slight effects on *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) (Porcel et al. 2011, Bengochea  
66 et al. 2014), *Chelonus inanitus* (L.) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and on *Scutellista cyanea* Motschulsky  
67 (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) (Bengochea et al. 2013) were reported. Also, the arthropod assemblage of  
68 auxiliary fauna in olive groves was altered (Pascual et al. 2010). In light of the negative effects reported  
69 on other natural enemies it is fundamental to examine side-effects on biological control agents employed

70 in each case.

71 Herein presented is the evaluation of the effect of kaolin treatments on *L. botrana* oviposition, egg  
72 hatch, and neonate larvae mortality. To assure compatibility of management strategies, the effects of the  
73 particle film on parasitism and emergence of the natural enemy *T. cacoeciae* was also evaluated in both *L.*  
74 *botrana* and an alternative host, *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae).

75

## 76 **Materials and Methods**

77 **Insects.** All individuals used for the experiments were taken from laboratory colonies reared and  
78 maintained in a growth chamber at the constant, standard conditions of  $24 \pm 1$  °C,  $60 \pm 5\%$  RH, and 16:8;  
79 (L:D). *L. botrana* was originally collected in an ecological vineyard in 2000 in La Rioja, Spain. The  
80 population was maintained in the laboratory following a previously defined protocol (Del Tío 1996) yet  
81 with a modification of the semi-synthetic diet (Sáenz de Cabezón 2003). The *T. cacoeciae* individuals  
82 originated from an endemic population collected in La Rioja. The population was maintained in the  
83 laboratory (Moreno 2007) since its collection from the field in 2003. The *E. kuehniella* eggs were  
84 produced with a laboratory population (Marco et al. 1993) which originated in the Polytechnic University  
85 of Madrid in 1990. When necessary, individuals from remote populations were added to our laboratory  
86 colonies to avoid genetic funnels. Pre-oviposition periods were carried out when necessary before the  
87 beginning of each bioassay. The specific rearing details were published by the corresponding authors  
88 herein listed.

89 **Kaolin Compound.** The product Surround<sup>®</sup> WP, which consisted of 95% refined kaolin,  
90  $Al_4Si_4O_{10}[OH]_8$ , from the Engelhard Corporation, hereafter referred to as kaolin, was employed in all  
91 bioassays, unless stated otherwise, at 47.5 grams of kaolin per liter, the maximum suggested concentration  
92 for vineyard. It was suspended in water with continual mixing.

93 **Bioassay types.** Two types of bioassays were carried out: choice and no choice. In the choice bioassays  
94 the individual had equal access to both conditions (kaolin treatment or control) and could choose where to  
95 reside, lay its eggs or in the case of *T. cacoeciae*, parasitize. In the no choice bioassays the individuals  
96 were placed in arenas of only one condition (kaolin treatment or control). The parameters recorded, such  
97 as oviposition, percentage of egg hatch, and neonate larvae mortality, also determined the kind of  
98 bioassay. Being that kaolin is not a cuticle penetrating pesticide we could not measure fertility and  
99 fecundity, only behavioural and mechanical effects on oviposition and egg hatch. Parasitism by *T.*  
100 *cacoeciae*, in *E. kuehniella* and *L. botrana* eggs and survival of its progeny from each species was  
101 evaluated in accordance with each specific bioassay.

102 **Treatments.** A quantity of 5.5 ml was applied using a Potter Tower at a pressure of 20 kPa which  
103 produced a deposit of  $0.05 \pm 0.005$  ml per  $cm^2$  yielding an equivalent field coverage of 50 kg of the

104 product per hectare. All grape clusters employed were prepared by immersion in a solution of kaolin or  
105 the water carrier for 5 seconds during continual mixing to avoid settling of the kaolin particles in the  
106 treatment solution. The semi-synthetic laboratory diet disks were treated by submersion for 5 seconds in  
107 the solution of kaolin with continual mixing or in the water carrier. All materials employed were set to dry  
108 before their addition to the bioassay arenas.

109 **Effect of Kaolin on *L. botrana* Oviposition and Egg Hatch on Synthetic Substrate.** In all *L. botrana*  
110 oviposition assays, after a preoviposition period of 24 hours, one female and two males were placed in  
111 each replicate. The arenas consisted of 330 cc plastic cup with hexagonal base, upside down, inside the  
112 base of a 9 cm diameter (Ø) Petri dish containing one 2.5 cm Ø Petri hydration dish filled with water  
113 soaked cotton. In the choice bioassay, three alternate sections of the chamber were treated with the kaolin  
114 solution. In the no choice bioassay, the oviposition chambers in the kaolin condition were completely  
115 covered with kaolin at the application specifications stated above. The adults were moved to new arenas  
116 every 48 hours for three consecutive time periods. The number of eggs laid was recorded after moving the  
117 adults to new oviposition chambers. The previous chambers were maintained in the experimental  
118 conditions described above until egg hatch. After the incubation period of 5 days the number of eggs  
119 hatched in each condition was also recorded. Forty-eight replicates were evaluated in the choice bioassays,  
120 whereas, thirty-one of each, kaolin treated and control replicates, were evaluated in the no choice  
121 bioassays.

122 **Effect of Kaolin on *L. botrana* Oviposition and Egg Hatch on Grape.** One female and two male  
123 moths were used in each replicate. The experimental arenas consisted of one transparent plastic 9 cm Ø by  
124 21 cm tall cylindrical box containing two 1 cm Ø respiration holes in the lid, and one hydration container  
125 as described above. All plastic surfaces were covered with filter paper to avoid oviposition on the  
126 chamber. In all trails, grape clusters (*Vitis vinifera* var. Tempranillo) of  $6 \pm 1$  g, were treated as stated  
127 above before introduction in the oviposition chambers. In the no choice bioassays, one kaolin or one  
128 control treated grape cluster was placed inside each chamber. In the choice trail, two clusters, kaolin and  
129 control were added to each experimental arena. Oviposition was allowed during 48 hours on each set of  
130 grape clusters for three consecutive periods. The number of eggs laid on each grape cluster was recorded  
131 after removal of the cluster from the oviposition chamber. The grape clusters were thereafter maintained  
132 in standard conditions until egg hatch. The number of eggs hatched in each condition was recorded, yet  
133 after their incubation period of 5 days. Twenty-five replicates were evaluated in the choice bioassays,  
134 whereas twenty and thirteen replicates of control and kaolin treated, respectively, were evaluated in the no  
135 choice bioassays.

136 **Effect of Kaolin on *L. botrana* Neonate Larvae Mortality.** Five neonate larvae, less than 24 hours old  
137 were placed in the centre of each replicate. The arenas consisted of one 9 cm Ø by 3 cm tall cylindrical

138 plastic box containing two layers of filter paper lining the bottom. Five disks, 1 cm  $\varnothing$  by 3 mm tall, of  
139 semi-synthetic laboratory diet (Del Tío 1996) were added in a circle 2 cm from the edge of each arena.  
140 Every container was topped with a lid containing one 2 cm  $\varnothing$  filter paper covered respiration aperture. All  
141 experimental arenas were treated with the kaolin solution or carrier using the Potter tower as described  
142 above. The percentage of surviving individuals was recorded 72 hours after the beginning of the trial.  
143 Fifteen replicates of each, treatment and control, were evaluated in the statistical analysis.

144 **Effects of Kaolin on the Parasitism of *E. kuehniella* Eggs and *T. cacoeciae* Progeny Emergence.**

145 One *T. cacoeciae* female less than 48 hours old was employed in each replicate. The experimental arenas  
146 consisted of one 6.5 cm long, 4.5 cm wide, and 2.5 cm tall, rectangular, transparent plastic box. The lids  
147 contained one 2 cm  $\varnothing$  ventilation hole covered with filter paper. On the inner side of the lid two 5  $\mu$ l  
148 drops of honey were placed at opposite edges of the ventilation hole to provide nutrients to the female.  
149 Groups of 20 *E. kuehniella* eggs previously sterilized with UV light for 1.5 hours were glued to 1 by 1 cm  
150 yellow cards using tragacanth gum adhesive. These alternative host eggs were sterilized to prevent their  
151 hatching during the experiment. The yellow cards with eggs were treated with kaolin in the Potter tower  
152 and set to dry before introduction into the experimental arena. The choice bioassays employed two cards,  
153 one of each condition, whereas the no choice experiments contained one card with one of the two  
154 conditions, kaolin treated or control. Cards containing the host eggs were replaced every 24 hours over  
155 four consecutive periods. These egg groups were isolated at standard conditions after removing from the  
156 oviposition chambers until parasitoid emergence. At the end of the ten day developmental period  
157 parasitism and parasitoid emergence was recorded. In the choice bioassays, 27 replications were  
158 evaluated. In the no choice bioassays, 40 replications of each treatment were assessed. Due to the fact that  
159 emergence data is implicitly unpaired in these bioassays, parasitized replicates from both the choice and  
160 no choice bioassays were used in combination to analyse the effect of kaolin on parasitoid offspring  
161 survival.

162 **Effects of Kaolin on the Parasitism of *L. botrana* Eggs and *T. cacoeciae* Progeny Emergence.**

163 In this set of bioassays the number of females used, all conditions, and arena materials were the same as  
164 those in the evaluation of parasitism and parasitoid progeny survival from the *E. kuehniella* host, except  
165 the eggs of the *L. botrana* host had been previously laid on plastic substrate. Groups of approximately 20  
166 *L. botrana* eggs were used on their respective sections of their oviposition chambers after sterilization  
167 with UV light for 1.5 hours. During four consecutive 24 hour periods, the *L. botrana* egg groups were  
168 replaced and those of the previous days isolated until parasitoid emergence. In the choice bioassay two  
169 groups of *L. botrana* eggs with their respective treatments were added to the experimental arenas. The  
170 percentages of parasitism and parasitoid emergence were recorded after the 10 day parasitoid  
171 developmental period. Twenty replications of both conditions in both the choice and no choice bioassays

172 were carried out.

173 **Statistical Analyses.** All statistical analyses were performed with Statgraphics (t Test, Statgraphics  
174 2010). The t-Student Test was used at a 95.0% confidence interval for all bioassays. Abbott's formula for  
175 the correction of mortality data was used when necessary (Abbot 1925).

176

177

### Results

178 **Effects of kaolin on Oviposition and Egg Hatch of *L. botrana*.** Females laid less eggs on all kaolin  
179 treated surfaces markedly illustrated in the choice bioassays with grape (Tables 1 and 2). In the bioassays  
180 with synthetic substrate, when females had a choice, they laid 11.6% less eggs on the kaolin treated  
181 surface. Whereas, in the no choice trials, kaolin reduced the number of eggs laid on the kaolin treated  
182 surface by 49.4%. In contrast, in the choice trial on grape, females laid 83.6% less eggs due to kaolin's  
183 presence. In the no choice trial on grape kaolin reduced the number of eggs laid on the kaolin treated  
184 surface by 93.8%.

185 Along with lower oviposition, higher egg mortality was also observed in the kaolin treatment in all  
186 bioassays (Table 3). A 21.7 and 46.8% reduction in the percentage of eggs hatch due to the kaolin  
187 treatments in the synthetic substrate and in grape, respectively, was observed.

188 **Effects of Kaolin on *L. botrana* Neonate Larvae Survival.** The average percentage of neonate larvae  
189 mortality in the kaolin treatment was 78.7%, compared to 37.1% in the control. There was a significant  
190 difference between neonate larvae mortality rates in this bioassay ( $t = -5.24$  and  $P$  value  $< 0.001$ ). This  
191 difference was illustrated by the Abbot corrected mortality in the treatment of 66.1%.

192 **Effects of kaolin on *T. cacoeciae* parasitism of *E. kuehniella* and *L. botrana* and parasitoid  
193 offspring emergence.** No significant difference between treatments was found when the parasitism and  
194 emergence data sets were evaluated. This held true for both the choice and no choice bioassays involving  
195 the two hosts, *E. kuehniella* and *L. botrana* (Tables 4 and 5).

196

197

### Discussion

198 For many decades this inert dust, kaolin, has been known to affect arthropods. Excessive time spent  
199 grooming (Alexander 1944), and or disruption of movement and feeding (Glenn et al. 2005, Barker et al.  
200 2006) has been suggested to have contributed to lower oviposition rates. This was the case with the black  
201 pecan aphid, *Melanocallis caryaefoliae* (Davis) (Homoptera: Aphididae) (Cottrell et al. 2002). Thus, it  
202 was logical that *L. botrana* oviposition rate was lower in the kaolin treatments in all four experiments of  
203 choice and no choice on both synthetic substrate and grape.

204 Lower oviposition in our berry laboratory experiments could be a result of the interference of visual  
205 cues (Villanueva and Walgenbach 2007) being that the grapes were white as a consequence of the kaolin



206 coating. The masking of natural attractive fruit volatiles when covered with the kaolin solution could have  
207 also contributed to our laboratory bioassay outcome. That was the case with the codling moth, *Cydia*  
208 *pomonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in apple and pear (Unruh et al. 2000). The inhibition of  
209 oviposition may have also been influenced by disruption of egg anchorage to the kaolin covered surface  
210 (Marchal 1912) as with the pear psyllid (Pasqualini et al. 2002).

211 Lower oviposition with the obliquebanded leafroller, *Choristoneura rosaceana*, (Harris) (Lepidoptera:  
212 Tortricidae) within kaolin treated plots in semi-field bioassays with apple seedlings was also found  
213 (Knight et al. 2000), however, no significant difference in embryo survival was observed. The difference  
214 may lay in the dissimilarity in the surface area to volume ratio in the isolated eggs of *L. botrana*, as  
215 opposed to the egg clusters of similar species. Therefore, the eggs should have had a relatively high  
216 percentage of chorion in contact with the kaolin treated surface. Kaolin is known to be particularly  
217 absorbent, thus influences moisture levels on treated surfaces. It has also been suggested that kaolin  
218 physically affects cuticle lipids resulting in eventual dehydration (Korunic 1998). The absorbent quality  
219 and the high percent of chorion in contact with the kaolin treated surface could have contributed to the egg  
220 hatch results in our bioassays.

221 As with our finding, lower nymph density within kaolin treated plots was found with the potato psyllid,  
222 *Bactericera cockerelli* (Homoptera: Psyllidae) (Peng et al. 2011). The population dynamics of larvae and  
223 oviposition of major lepidopteran pests of cotton have been affected by kaolin treatments (Alavo et al.  
224 2010). Larvae of the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), were also  
225 affected when feeding on kaolin treated leaf surfaces (Showler 2003). The effects on the larvae  
226 demonstrated in our bioassay could have attributed to dehydration as the proposed effect of abrasion of the  
227 arthropod cuticle (Puterka et al. 2000) and illustrated in *Trichoplusia ni* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)  
228 larvae (Díaz et al. 2002).

229 Lack of side effects to many parasitoid species due to kaolin has been established in a variety of crops,  
230 as in our laboratory trial. However, altered species composition of generalist predator assemblages along  
231 with lower relative abundance of parasitoids in kaolin treated apple orchard plots was encountered  
232 (Sackett et al. 2007). Yet, in those same kaolin treated plots the proportion of parasitized obliquebanded  
233 leafroller, *C. rosaceana*, controlled by various different parasitoid species was not demonstrated to be  
234 perturbed. Thus, the alteration in assemblage could have been a result of lower host species density.

235 On the other hand, some authors have purposed an interruption of the ability of the parasitoid to  
236 recognize host species due to kaolin treatments. The parasitoid *Psytalia concolor* Szepligeti  
237 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) for example, did not parasitize kaolin treated *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann)  
238 (Diptera: Tephritidae) larvae in laboratory trials (Adán et al. 2007).

239 The inability to recognise hosts was also found in citrus field studies with two other hymenopteran

240 parasitoids, *Aphytis melinus* (DeBach) (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae), and *Comperiella bifasciata* (Howard)  
241 (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) (Rill et al. 2008). In light of previous studies the lack of inhibitory effects on  
242 *T. cacoeciae* parasitism of, and parasitoid emergence from *L. botrana* was encouraging to find.

243 The present results indicate the potential of kaolin particle film as a rational component to be  
244 incorporated in the control of *L. botrana* including its combination with *T. cacoeciae*. The potential  
245 benefits and lack of negative ecological impacts associated with the use of kaolin not only rest in its effect  
246 on the two arthropod species examined in our laboratory bioassays. Kaolin's interaction with the crop to  
247 be protected and other innate advantages which come with the compound include, but are surely not  
248 limited to, the reduction of heat stress (Shellie and Glenn 2008) or apparent ability to improve yield  
249 (Arthurs et al. 2008) and fruit, the lack of toxicity and phytotoxicity at recommended concentrations, long  
250 duration to protect treated crops, low probability of resistance development due to its physical, instead of  
251 toxic mode of action, increased grape cultivar carrying capacity under some conditions (Wand et al 2006),  
252 and its ability to protect and even enhance the germination of some microbial pesticides after their  
253 application onto the plant surface (Eigenbrode et al. 2006, Glen et al. 2015). Even with all these positive  
254 aspects there are some limitations, such as, some risk to certain natural enemies, previously described, and  
255 the limited persistence on plant with heavy rains. Consequently, kaolin with its lack of detrimental impacts  
256 detected on *T. cacoeciae* in laboratory bioassays and all its benefits, could lead to another rational,  
257 sustainable and non-toxic management option for the control of *L. botrana*.

258

259

#### Acknowledgements

260 We would like to express our gratitude to Engelhard Corp. for providing Surround<sup>®</sup> WP, the kaolin  
261 product. We are also grateful to the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, for funding the research  
262 project AGL2007-66130-C03-03 (Plan Nacional de I+D+i 2004-2007), and the Spanish Agency of  
263 International Cooperation for Development (AECID) for the PhD grant.

264

265

#### References Cited

266 **Abbot W. S. 1925.** A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265-  
267 267.

268 **Adán, A., T. González, R. Bastante, F. Budia, P. Medina, P. del Estal, and E. Viñuela. 2007.** Efectos  
269 de diversos insecticidas aplicados en condiciones de laboratorio extendido sobre *Psytalia concolor*.  
270 Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas 33: 391-397.

- 271 **Alavo, T. B. C., B. B. Yarou, and P. Atachi. 2010.** Field effects of kaolin particle film formulation  
272 against major cotton lepidopteran pests in North Benin, West Africa. *Int. J. Pest. Manage.* 56(4): 287-  
273 290.
- 274 **Alexander, P., J. A. Kitchener, and H. V. A. Briscoe. 1944.** Inert dust insecticides: Part 1. Mechanisms  
275 of action. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 31: 143-159.
- 276 **Arthurs, S., L. A. Lacey, and R. W. Behle. 2008.** Evaluation of lignins and particle films as solar  
277 protectants for the granulovirus of the codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*. *Biocontrol Sci. Techn.* 18: 829-  
278 839.
- 279 **Barker, J. E., A. Fulton, K. A. Evans, and G. Powell. 2006.** The effects of kaolin particle film on  
280 *Plutella xylostella* behaviour and development. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 62: 498–504.
- 281 **Bengochea, P., F. Amor, R. Saelices, S. Hernando, F. Budia, A. Adán, and P. Medina. 2013.** Kaolin  
282 and copper-based products applications: Ecotoxicology on four natural enemies. *Chemosphere* 91:  
283 1189-1195.
- 284 **Bengochea, P., R. Saelices, F. Amor, A. Adán, F. Budia, P. del Estal, E. Viñuela, and P. Medina**  
285 **2014.** Non-target effects of kaolin and coppers applied on olive trees for the predatory lacewing  
286 *Chrysoperla carnea*. *Biocontrol Sci. Techn.* 24(6): 625–640.
- 287 **Bovey, P. 1966.** Super-famille des Tortricoidea, L'Eudeémis de la Vigne, 456-893. *In:* A.S. Balachowsky  
288 (ed.), *Entomologie Appliqués à L'agriculture. Tome II, Lépidoptères.* Masson et Cie, Paris, France.
- 289 **Cantorea, V., B. Pacea, and R. Albrizio. 2009.** Kaolin-based particle film technology affects tomato  
290 physiology, yield and quality. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 66: 279–288.
- 291 **Cottrell, T. E., B. W. Wood, and C. C. Reilly. 2002.** Particle Film Affects Black Pecan Aphid  
292 (Homoptera: Aphididae) on Pecan. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 95(4): 782-788.
- 293 **D'Aquino, S., A. Cocco, S. Ortu, and M. Schirra. 2011.** Effects of kaolin-based particle film to control  
294 *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) infestations and postharvest decay in citrus and stone fruit.  
295 *Crop. Prot.* 30: 1079-1086.
- 296 **Del Tío, M. R. 1996.** Estudios Biológicos y modelos de predicción para el control integrado de *Lobesia*  
297 *botrana* Den. and Schiff. (Lepidoptera Tortricidae) en el marco de Jerez. Ph.D. dissertation, University  
298 of Sevilla, Spain.
- 299 **Díaz, B., E. Garzo, M. Duque, P. González, and A. Ferreres. 2002.** Partículas de caolín: efecto sobre la mortalidad y  
300 desarrollo de *Trichoplusia ni* Hübner. *Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas* 28: 177-183.
- 301 **Eigenbrode, S. D., H. Ding, J. Neufeld, and P. Duetting. 2006.** Effects of hydrophilic and hydrophobic  
302 kaolin-based particle films on pea aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its entomopathogen *Pandora*  
303 *neoaphidis* (Entomophthorales: Entomophthoraceae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 99: 23–31.
- 304 **Escudero, I. R., A. Estela, B. Escriche, and P. Caballero. 2006.** Potential of the *Bacillus*

- 305 *thuringiensis* Toxin Reservoir for the Control of *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), a Major  
306 Pest of Grape Plants. Appl. Environ. Microb. 73: 337-340.
- 307 **Gilligan, T. M., M. E. Epstein, S. C. Passoa, J. A. Powell, O. C. Sage, and J. W. Brown. 2011.**  
308 Discovery of *Lobesia botrana* (Den. and Schiff.) in California: An Invasive Species New to North  
309 America (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). P. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 113: 14-30.
- 310 **Glenn D. M., and G. J. Puterka. 2005.** Particle films: a new technology for agriculture. Hortic. Rev. 31:  
311 1-44.
- 312 **Glenn, D. M., C. Bassett, and S. E. Dowd. 2015.** Effect of pest management system on 'Empire' apple  
313 leaf phyllosphere populations. Sci. Hortic.-Amsterdam 183: 58-65.
- 314 **Gonzalez, M. 2010.** *Lobesia botrana*: Polilla de la Uva. Enología VII(2): 1-5.
- 315 **González, R. H. 2008.** Biología, desarrollo, caracterización, de daños y manejo fitosanitario de la polilla  
316 Europea de la vid, *Lobesia botrana* (Den. and Schiff.) (Lep., Tortricidae). Ph.D. dissertation, University  
317 of Chile, Chile.
- 318 **Knight, A. L., T. R. Unruh, B. A. Christianson, G. J. Puterka, and D. M. Glenn. 2000.** Effects of a  
319 Kaolin-Based Particle Film on Obliquebanded Leafroller (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). J. Econ. Entomol.  
320 9: 744-749.
- 321 **Korunic, Z. 1998.** Diatomaceous earths, a group of natural insecticides. J. Stored Prod. Res. 34: 87-97.
- 322 **Larentzaki, E., A. M. Shelton, and J. Plate. 2008.** Effect of kaolin particle film on *Thrips tabaci*  
323 (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), oviposition, feeding and development on onions: A lab and field case study.  
324 Crop Prot. 27: 727-234.
- 325 **Louis, F. and K. J. Schirra. 2001.** Mating disruption of *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in  
326 vineyards with very high population densities. Pheromones for Insect Control in Orchards and  
327 Vineyards. IOBC/WPRS Bull., Acta Hortic. 24: 75-79.
- 328 **Marchal, P. 1912.** Rapport sur les travaux accomplis par la mission d'études de la Cochyliis et de  
329 l'Eudémis. Libr. Polytech, Paris et Liège.
- 330 **Marco, V., J. Jacas, F. Budia, A. Adán, P. del Estal, and E. Viñuela. 1993.** Manejo de *Ephestia*  
331 *kuehniella* Zell. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) para evaluar la toxicidad de plaguicidas en laboratorio. Boletín  
332 de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas 19: 587-596.
- 333 **Melgarejo, P., J. J. Martinez, F. Hernandez, R. Martinez-Font, P. Barrows, and A. Erez. 2004.**  
334 Kaolin treatment to reduce pomegranate sunburn. Sci. Hortic.-Amsterdam 100: 349-353.
- 335 **Moreno, F. 2007.** Evaluación del potencial parasitoide de una población de *Trichogramma Westwood*  
336 (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) como agente de Control Biológico de *Lobesia botrana* Den. y  
337 Schiff. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Ph.D. dissertation, University of La Rioja, Spain.
- 338 **Moreno, F., I. Pérez-Moreno, and V. Marco. 2009.** Effects of *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera:

- 339 Tortricidae) egg age, density, and UV treatment on parasitism and development of *Trichogramma*  
340 *cacoeciae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). Environ. Entomol. 38(5): 1513-1520.
- 341 **Pascual, S., G. Cobos, E. Seris, and M. González-Núñez.** 2010. Effects of processed kaolin on pests and  
342 non-target arthropods in a Spanish olive grove. J. Pestic. Sci. 83: 121–133.
- 343 **Pasqualini, E., S. Civolani, and L. C. Grappadelli.** 2002. Particle film technology: approach for a  
344 biorational control of *Cacopsylla pyri* (Rhynchota: Psyllidae) in Northern Italy. Bull. Insectology 55:  
345 39-42.
- 346 **Peng, L., T. Trumble, J. Munyaneza, and T. Liu.** 2011. Repellency of a kaolin particle film to potato  
347 psyllid, *Bactericera cockerelli* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae), on tomato under laboratory and field conditions.  
348 Pest Manag. Sci. 67: 815–824.
- 349 **Porcel, M., B. Cotes, and M. Campos.** 2011. Biological and behavioral effects of kaolin particle film on  
350 larvae and adults of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). Biol. Control 59: 98-105.
- 351 **Puterka, G. J., D. M. Glenn, D. G. Sekutowski, T. R. Unruh, and S. K. Jones.** 2000. Progress toward  
352 liquid formulations of particles films for insect and disease control in pear. Environ. Entomol. 29: 329-  
353 339.
- 354 **Richardson, C. H., and L. H. Glover.** 1932. Some effects of certain ‘inert’ and toxic substances upon the  
355 12-spotted cucumber beetle, *Diabrotica duodecimpunctata* (Fab.). J. Econ. Entomol. 25: 1176-1181.
- 356 **Rill, S. M., E. E. Grafton-Cardwell, and J. G. Morse.** 2008. Effects of two insect growth regulators and  
357 a neonicotinoid on various life stages of *Aphytis melinus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Biocontrol 53:  
358 579–587.
- 359 **Roehrich, R., and E. Bollere.** 1991. Tortricids in vineyards, 507-514. In L. P. S. Van der Geest and H. H.  
360 Evenhuis (eds.), Tortricid pests: their biology, natural enemies and control. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 361 **Russo, V. M., and J. C. Diaz-Perez.** 2005. Kaolin-based particle film has no effect on physiological  
362 measurements, disease incidence or yield in peppers. Hortscience 40: 98-101.
- 363 **Sackett, T. E., C. M. Buddle, and C. Vincent.** 2007. Effects of kaolin on the composition of generalist  
364 predator assemblages and parasitism of *Choristoneura rosaceana* (Lep, Tortricidae) in apple orchards.  
365 J. Appl. Entomol. 131: 478–485.
- 366 **Sáenz de Cabezón, I. F. J.** 2003. Aportaciones al manejo integrado de *Lobesia botrana* Den. and Schiff.)  
367 (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae): evaluación de la eficacia de lufenurón, metoxifenocida y azadiractina y  
368 modelización del desarrollo. Ph.D. dissertation, University of La Rioja. Spain.
- 369 **Shellie, K., and D. M. Glenn.** 2008. Wine grape response to foliar particle film under differing levels of  
370 preveraison water stress. Hortscience 43: 1392-1397.
- 371 **Showler, A. T.** 2003. Effects of kaolin particle film on beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner)  
372 (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), oviposition, larval feeding and development on cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum*

- 373 L. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 95: 265-271.
- 374 **Silva, C. A. D., and F. S. Ramalho. 2013.** Kaolin spraying protects cotton plants against damages by boll  
375 weevil *Anthonomus grandis* Boheman (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J. Pest. Sci. 86: 563-569.
- 376 **Smaili, C. M., L. E. Ghadraoui, F. Gaboun, R. Benkirane, and A. Blenzar. 2014.** Impact of some  
377 alternative methods to chemical control in controlling aphids (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha) and their  
378 side effects on natural enemies on young Moroccan citrus groves. Phytoparasitica 42: 421-436.
- 379 **Spiers, J. D., F. B. Matta, D. A. Marshall, and B. J. Sampson. 2004.** Effects of kaolin clay application  
380 on flower bud development, fruit quality and yield, and flower thrips [*Frankliniella* spp. (Thysanoptera:  
381 Thripidae)] on populations of blueberry plants. Small Fruits Review 3: 361-373.
- 382 **Statgraphics. 2010.** STATGRAPHICS® Centurion XVI User's Manual. StatPoint Technologies, Inc.  
383 USA.
- 384 **Unruh, T. R., A. L. Knight, J. Upton, D. M. Glenn, G. J. Puterka. 2000.** Particle films for suppression  
385 of the codling moth [*Cydia pomonella* (L.)] in apple and pear orchards. J. Econ. Entomol. 93: 737-743.
- 386 **Varela, L. G. 2010.** Advisors tackle European grapevine moth 2010. Annual Report. UC IPM Online.  
387 <http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/IPMPROJECT/2010/european-moth.html>. Accessed on 10 Dec. 2010.
- 388 **Villanueva, R. T., and J. F. Walgenbach. 2007.** Phenology, management and effects of Surround WP on  
389 behaviour of the apple maggot (Diptera: Tephritidae) in north Carolina. Crop Prot. 26: 1404-1411.
- 390 **Walters, D. R. 2006.** Disguising the leaf surface: the use of leaf coatings for plant disease control. Eur. J.  
391 Plant Pathol. 114: 255-260.
- 392 **Wand, S. J. E., K. I. Theron, J. Ackerman, and S. J. S. Marais. 2006.** Harvest and post-harvest apple  
393 fruit quality following applications of kaolin particle film in South African orchards. Sci. Hortic.-  
394 Amsterdam 107: 271-276.
- 395

396 **Table 1. Percentage of *L. botrana* eggs (Means  $\pm$  SE) laid on synthetic substrate treated with kaolin**  
 397 **in choice bioassay, and number of laid egg in no choice bioassay.**

Choice		No Choice	
Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin
55.8 $\pm$ 2.2	44.2 $\pm$ 2.2	29.4 $\pm$ 4.1	14.9 $\pm$ 2.4
$t = 2.74; P < 0.001$		$t = 3.20; P < 0.001$	

398 The t-Student test was employed in all bioassays ( $\alpha=0.05$ )

399

400 **Table 2. Percentage of *L. botrana* eggs (Means  $\pm$  SE) laid on grape berry treated with kaolin in**  
 401 **choice bioassay, and number of laid egg in no choice bioassay.**

Choice		No Choice	
Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin
91.8 $\pm$ 1.6	8.2 $\pm$ 1.6	9.7 $\pm$ 1.8	0.6 $\pm$ 0.2
t = 26.50; P < 0.001		t = 4.78; P < 0.001	

402 The t-Student test was employed in all bioassays ( $\alpha=0.05$ )

403



404 **Table 3. Percentage of *L. botrana* egg hatch (Mean + SE) on synthetic substrate and grape berry,**  
 405 **with and without kaolin.**

Synthetic Substrate		Grape	
Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin
78.0 ± 2.2	56.3 ± 2.3	87.2 ± 1.9	40.4 ± 7.5
t = 6.81; P < 0.001		t = 8.26; P < 0.0001	

406 The t-Student test was employed in all bioassays ( $\alpha=0.05$ )

407

408 **Table 4. *T. cacoeciae* parasitism and emergence percentages (Means  $\pm$  SE) from *E. kuehniella* eggs**  
 409 **treated with kaolin in choice and no choice bioassays.**

Parasitism Choice		Parasitism No Choice		Parasitoid Emergence	
Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin
17.8 $\pm$ 2.4	22.1 $\pm$ 2.8	49.3 $\pm$ 2.7	45.7 $\pm$ 2.9	96.8 $\pm$ 1.3	99.3 $\pm$ 0.3
t = -1.16; P = 0.25		t = 0.93; P = 0.35		t = -1.82; P = 0.07	

410 The t-Student test was employed in all bioassays ( $\alpha=0.05$ )

411

412 **Table 5. *T. cacaoeciae* parasitism and emergence percentages (Means  $\pm$ SE) from *L. botrana* eggs**  
 413 **treated with kaolin in choice and no choice bioassays.**

Parasitism Choice		Parasitism No Choice		Parasitoid Emergence	
Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin	Control	Kaolin
16.1 $\pm$ 2.2	14.5 $\pm$ 1.9	33.3 $\pm$ 2.3	34.4 $\pm$ 2.7	55.6 $\pm$ 3.5	47.2 $\pm$ 3.2
t = 0.44; P = 0.66		t = -0.32; P = 0.75		t = -1.85; P = 0.07	

414 The t-Student test was employed in all bioassays ( $\alpha=0.05$ )

415