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#### Abstract

In this paper we study weighted norm inequalities for the commutators $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ where $b$ is a BMO function and $S_{n}$ denotes the $n$th partial sum of the Fourier series relative to a system of orthogonal polynomials on $[-1,1]$ with respect to general weights. Results about generalized Jacobi and Bessel Fourier series are obtained.


## 0 . Introduction

Given a linear operator $T$ acting on functions and a function $b$, and denoting by $M_{b}$ the operator of pointwise multiplication by $b(x)$, the commutator of this operator and $T$ is defined by

$$
[b, T] f(x)=\left[M_{b}, T\right] f(x)=b(x) T f(x)-T(b f)(x)
$$

The first results on this commutator were obtained by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [7]. They proved that if $H$ is the classical Hilbert transform (and also for more general singular integrals) and $1<p<\infty$, then $[b, H]$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $b \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R})$. The boundedness of the commutator has been studied by, among others, Bloom [5] involving some weights and where $b$ belongs to an appropriate weighted BMO space, and by Segovia and Torrea [24], who obtained a vector-valued commutator theorem for operators $T$ including the Hilbert transform, and whose results apply to the Carleson operator, Littlewood-Paley sums, U.M.D. Banach spaces, parabolic differential equations and approximate identities (for further references see [ 25,26$]$ ).

Frequently, the boundedness of the commutator is related to the analytic behaviour of some operator. Let ( $X, d \mu$ ) be a $\sigma$-finite measure space and $B$ a real Banach function space on $(X, d \mu)$. Consider the partial sums of Fourier series relative to the orthonormal polynomials on $L^{2}(X, d \mu)$, that is, for $f \in L^{2}(X, d \mu)$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$
S_{n} f(x)=\int_{X} K_{n}(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

where $K_{n}(x, y)$ is the corresponding kernel. Let $\bar{B}$ be the complexification of $B$ and, for each $b \in \bar{B}$, define $T_{n}(b)=M_{e^{b}} S_{n} M_{e^{-b}}$. Then

$$
T_{n}(b)(f)(x)=\int_{X} \exp [b(x)-b(y)] K_{n}(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

The boundedness of the operators $T_{n}(b)$ is equivalent to a weighted norm inequality for the operators $S_{n}$.
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On the other hand, for this particular sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of operator valued functions, the uniform boundedness in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \bar{B}$ implies the Gateauxdifferentiability (see $[6,15]$ ) and the Gâteaux-differential of $T_{n}$ at 0 in the direction $b \in \bar{B}$ is

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d z} T_{n}(z b)\right|_{z=0}=\left[b, S_{n}\right]
$$

In particular, these ideas (which came out in [7]) show that certain weighted norm inequalities for a basic operator $T$ give information about the commutator $[b, T]$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the uniform boundedness of the commutator of the partial sums of Fourier series with respect to a class of weights which includes, as a particular case, generalized Jacobi Fourier series.

In the first step, we find necessary conditions for the uniform boundedness of the operators $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ in $L^{p}(d \mu)$; also, for the uniform weak boundedness $\left[b, S_{n}\right]: L^{p}(d \mu) \rightarrow$ $L^{p, \infty}(d \mu)$ or the restricted weak boundedness $\left[b, S_{n}\right]: L^{p, 1}(d \mu) \rightarrow L^{p, \infty}(d \mu)$.

Here, $L^{p . \tau}(d \mu)$ stands for the classical Lorentz space of all measurable functions $f$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{L^{p, r}(d \mu)}=\left(\frac{r}{p} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[t^{1 / p} f^{*}(t)\right]^{r} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / r}<\infty \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant p<\infty, 1 \leqslant r<\infty \\
\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}(d \mu)}=\|f\|_{L^{p}(d \mu)}=\sup _{t>0} t^{1 / p} f^{*}(t)<\infty \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f^{*}$ denotes the nonincreasing rearrangement of $f$. We refer the reader to [27] for further information on these topics.

In the second step, we find sufficient conditions for the uniform boundedness of $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ in $L^{p}(d \mu)$, which, in many cases, coincide with the necessary conditions previously found. We are concerned with the case $d \mu=w d x$, where $w$ is a positive weight function.

We shall distinguish two cases: firstly, polynomial systems with uniform bounds (the class $\mathscr{H}$ defined below), where we follow the ideas of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss. This is the case of Jacobi weights $(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}$. Fourier Bessel series also fall in this scheme.

Next, we consider a more general setting (the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ ), where these techniques do not work well and a more detailed examination of the kernels is required. Here, we reduce the problem to the boundedness of $[H, b]$ (where $H$ is the Hilbert transform) in weighted $L^{p}$ spaces, by inserting $A_{p}$ weights.

We shall need weighted estimates for the partial sums of the Fourier series. The problem of finding conditions on weights $u, v$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u S_{n} f\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leqslant C\|v f\|_{L^{p}(w)} \quad \forall n \geqslant 0, \forall f \in L^{p}\left(v^{p} w\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been solved only in some particular cases. For instance, Badkov gives in [2] necessary and sufficient conditions for (1) when $u=v$ and both $u, w$ are generalized Jacobi weights; earlier results can be found in [21, 22, 28, 18]. For the two weight case, see $[12,9,10]$. Hermite and Laguerre series have been considered by Askey and Wainger [1] and Muckenhoupt [19].

Bessel series have been studied by Wing [28], Benedek-Panzone [3, 4] and the authors [11].

In this paper we shall see that the boundedness of the commutator for this type of series holds when the partial sums of the Fourier series are bounded.

## 1. Notation and main results

Let $d \mu(x)=w(x) d x$, with $w \in L^{1}(d x)$ and $w>0$ a.e. in $[-1,1]$. Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to $\mu$.

For $f \in L^{1}(w)$, let $S_{n} f$ denote the $n$th partial sum of the Fourier expansion of $f$ in $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$, that is,

$$
S_{n} f(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}} f(y) K_{n}(x, y) w(y) d y, \quad K_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{k}(x) p_{k}(y) .
$$

Throughout this paper, $C$ will denote a constant, independent of $n, f$, but possibly different from line to line.

Let $1<p<\infty$ and $-\infty \leqslant a<b \leqslant \infty$. The class $A_{p}(a, b)$ consists of those pairs of weights $(u, v)$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} u(x) d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} v(x)^{-1 /(p-1)} d x\right)^{p-1} \leqslant C,
$$

where $I$ ranges over all finite intervals $I \subseteq(a, b)$ and $|I|$ stands for the length of the interval $I$. A weight $u$ is said to belong to $A_{p}$ if $(u, u) \in A_{p}$. We refer the reader to [8] for further details on $A_{p}$ classes.

We say that $(u, v) \in A_{p}^{\delta}(a, b)$ for $\delta>1$ if $\left(u^{\delta}, v^{\delta}\right) \in A_{p}(a, b)$. With this definition we mean that a power of $u$ and $v$ greater than 1 belongs to $A_{p}$. We use the same exponent $\delta$ although it can change in each ocurrence.

We shall take $B$ to be the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation (BMO) on $[-1,1]$. If $b \in L^{1}(d x)$, the mean of $b$ on an interval $I$ is

$$
b_{I}=\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} b(x) d x .
$$

The function $b$ is said to have bounded mean oscillation on $[-1,1]$ if

$$
\|b\|_{*}=\sup _{I} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|b(\theta)-b_{I}\right| d \theta
$$

is finite, where the supremum is taken over all intervals $I \subseteq[-1,1]$. The space BMO of real-valued functions (modulo constants) having bounded mean oscillation on $[-1,1]$ is a Banach space with $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ as its norm.

Theorem 1. Let $w$ be a weight on $[-1,1]$ with $w>0$ a.e., $\left\{S_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ the Fourier series relative to $d \mu(x)=w(x) d x, U, V$ two weights, $U, V^{-1}>0$ a.e. Let $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$, $b \notin L^{\infty}$ and suppose that there exists some constant $C>0$ with

$$
\left\|U\left[b, S_{n}\right]\left(V^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{q, s}(w)}
$$

for each $n \geqslant 0$ and $f \in L^{q, s}(w)$ (where $1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty$; either $r=p$ or $r=\infty$; either $s=q$ or $s=1$ ). Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|b U w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}<\infty \\
\left\|b V^{-1} w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{q, s^{\prime}}(w)}<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $w(x)$ be a weight function on $[-1,1], p_{n}(x)$ the corresponding orthonormal polynomials and $q_{n}(x)$ the orthonormal polynomials with respect to $\left(1-x^{2}\right) w(x)$. We say that $w$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{H}$ of weights if it satisfies
(i) $w(x)>0$ a.e.,
(ii) $\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C w(x)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}$,
(iii) $\left|q_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C w(x)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-3 / 4}$.

The class $\mathscr{H}$ contains the generalized Jacobi weights

$$
w(x)=\phi(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left|x-x_{i}\right|^{\gamma_{i}},
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \gamma_{i} \geqslant 0($ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N),-1<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}<1, \phi$ is positive and continuous on $[-1,1]$ and $\rho(\delta) / \delta \in L^{1}(0,2), \rho$ being the modulus of continuity of $\phi$ (see, for example, [2]).

Theorem 2. Let $1<p<\infty, w \in \mathscr{H}, U$ and $V$ be weights on $[-1,1]$ and $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$. If

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} U^{p} w^{1-p / 2},\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} V^{p} w^{1-p / 2}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}(-1,1) \\
\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{p / 4} U^{p} w^{1-p / 2},\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{p / 4} V^{p} w^{1-p / 2}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}(-1,1) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $\delta>1$ (where $\delta=1$ when $U=V)$, then the commutator $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(V^{p} w\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)$ uniformly in $n$.

For generalized Jacobi weights with $\alpha, \beta>-1, \gamma_{i} \geqslant 0$, the orthogonal polynomials do not have uniform bounds. We extend the class $\mathscr{H}$ of weights and say that a weight $w$ belongs to the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ if $w(x)=(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} w_{1}(x)$, where
(i) $w(x)>0$ a.e. and there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1}<w_{1}(x)<C_{2}$ for all $x \in(1-\varepsilon, 1)$ and $x \in(-1,-1+\varepsilon)$,
(ii) $\left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{-(\alpha / 2+1 / 4)}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{-(\beta / 2+1 / 4)} w_{1}(x)^{-1 / 2}$,
(iii) $\left|q_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{-(\alpha / 2+3 / 4)}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{-(\beta / 2+3 / 4)} w_{1}(x)^{-1 / 2}$, where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ are positive sequences such that $\lim _{n} a_{n}=\lim _{n} b_{n}=0$.

Theorem 3. Let $1<p<\infty, w \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}, U(x)=(1-x)^{a}(1+x)^{b} u(x), V(x)=(1-x)^{A}$ $(1+x)^{B} v(x)$ with $u>0$ a.e., $v>0$ a.e. and such that $C_{1}<u(x), v(x)<C_{2}$ for $x \in(1-\varepsilon, 1)$ and $x \in(-1,-1+\varepsilon)$. If $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(\alpha+1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{a+A}{2}\right|<\frac{a-A}{2}+\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right\} \text { for } A \leqslant a \\
& \left|(\beta+1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{b+B}{2}\right|<\frac{b-B}{2}+\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\beta+1}{2}\right\} \text { for } B \leqslant b
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}, v^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}(-1,1)
$$

for some $\delta>1$ (with $\delta=1$ when $u=v$ ), then the commutator $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(V^{p} w\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)$ uniformly in $n$.

As a consequence of these results for generalized Jacobi weights, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1. Let $1<p<\infty, w(x)=(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} \prod_{i-1}^{N}\left|x-x_{i}\right|^{\gamma_{i}}$ with $x_{i} \in(-1,1), x_{i} \neq x_{j} \forall i \neq j, \alpha, \beta>-1, \gamma_{i} \geqslant 0 \forall i$ and

$$
U(x)=(1-x)^{a}(1+x)^{b} \prod_{i-1}^{N}\left|x-x_{i}\right|^{g_{i}} .
$$

Then the commutator $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ is uniformly bounded from $L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)$ for each $b \in$ BMO if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a+(\alpha+1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|<\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right\},  \tag{3}\\
& \left|b+(\beta+1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|<\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\beta+1}{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{i}+\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\gamma_{i}+1}{2}\right\} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, N . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. With the same notation, the inequalities (3), (4), (5) are also necessary for the weak and restricted weak $(p, p)$-boundedness of the commutator $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ for each $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$.

Remark. Notice that, in contrast to this situation, the operators $S_{n}$ are of restricted weak type when $w$ is a Jacobi weight and $p$ is an endpoint of the open interval determined by (3), (4), (5) (see [13]).

## 2. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. For each $0<L<K<\infty$, let us define

$$
\mathscr{P}(L)=\{x \in[-1,1] ;|b(x)|<L\}, \quad \mathscr{G}(K)=\{x \in[-1,1] ; K<|b(x)|\} .
$$

Then, for each $x \in \mathscr{G}(K), y \in \mathscr{P}(L)$ we have
(*) $\operatorname{sgn}(b(x)-b(y))=\operatorname{sgn} b(x)$;
(*) $|b(y)|<L<(L / K)|b(x)|$, so that

$$
|b(x)-b(y)| \geqslant|b(x)|-|b(y)|>((K-L) / K)|b(x)| .
$$

From the hypothesis it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u\left[b, S_{n}-S_{n-1}\right]\left(v^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{p, s}(w)} \\
{\left[b, S_{n}-S_{n-1}\right]\left(v^{-1} f\right)(x)=p_{n}(x) \int_{-1}^{1}[b(x)-b(y)] p_{n}(y) v(y)^{-1} f(y) w(y) d y}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ are the orthonormal polynomials with respect to $w(x) d x$. Now, take $0<L<K<\infty$ and $f(y)=\left[\operatorname{sgn} p_{n}(y)\right] \chi_{\mathscr{Q}(L)}(y)|h(y)|$, where $h$ is any function in $L^{q, 8}(w)$. Here and in the sequel, $\chi_{A}$ denotes the characteristic function on a measurable set $A$. For each $x \in \mathscr{G}(K)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left[b, S_{n}-S_{n-1}\right]\left(v^{-1} f\right)(x)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|-p_{n}(x) \operatorname{sgn} b(x)\right| \int_{-1}^{1}|b(y)-b(x)|\left|p_{n}(y)\right| v(y)^{-1} \chi_{\mathscr{P}(L)}(y)|h(y)| w(y) d y \\
& \quad \geqslant \frac{K-L}{K}\left|p_{n}(x)\right||b(x)|\left\|p_{n} v^{-1} \chi_{\mathscr{G}(L)} h\right\|_{L^{1}(w)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|u\left[b, S_{n}-S_{n-1}\right]\left(v^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)} \geqslant \frac{K-L}{K}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}_{(K)}} b u p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|p_{n} v^{-1} \chi_{\mathscr{P}(L)} h\right\|_{L^{1}(w)}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{K-L}{K}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}_{(K)}} b u p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|p_{n} v^{-1} \chi_{\mathscr{G}(L)} h\right\|_{L^{1}(w)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{q, s}(w)} \leqslant C\|h\|_{L^{q, s}(w)}
$$

for each $h \in L^{q, s}(w)$. By duality,

$$
\frac{K-L}{K}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}(K)} b u p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|p_{n} v^{-1} \chi_{\mathscr{O}(L)}\right\|_{L^{q, s^{\prime}}(w)} \leqslant C
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K-L}{K L}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}_{(K)}} b u p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}(L)} b v^{-1} p_{n}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime, s}(w)}} \leqslant C . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, taking $f(y)=[\operatorname{sgn} b(y)] \operatorname{sgn} p_{n}(y) \chi_{\mathscr{G}_{(K)}}(y)|h(y)|$, and $x \in \mathscr{P}(L)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K-L}{K L}\left\|\chi_{\mathcal{G}_{(L)}} b u p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}_{(K)}} b v^{-1} p_{n}\right\|_{L^{q, s^{\prime}}(w)} \leqslant C \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by a result of Máté, Nevai and Totik (see [17]),

$$
C\left\|g w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leqslant \liminf _{n}\left\|g p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)}
$$

for any measurable function $g$. A similar property holds in $L^{p, \infty}(w)$ (see [13]). Then, taking liminf in (6) and (7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\chi_{\mathcal{S}_{(K)}} b u w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|\chi_{\mathscr{P ( L )}} b v^{-1} w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}, s^{\prime}(w)}}<\infty, \\
& \left\|\chi_{\mathscr{G}_{(L)}} b u w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(w)}\left\|\chi_{\left.\mathscr{S}_{(K)}\right)} b v^{-1} w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{q^{\prime}, s^{*}(w)}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $0<L<K<\infty$. Since $b \notin L^{\infty}$ we have $\chi_{G_{(K)}} b \neq 0$ for every $K>0$ and there exists some $L_{0}>0$ such that $\chi_{\mathscr{P}(L)} b \neq 0$ for every $L>L_{0}$. Now, $u, v^{-1}>0$ almost everywhere, so that for $L_{0}<L<K<\infty$ the above norms cannot vanish and as a consequence they cannot be $\infty$ either. This proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2. Write

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
u(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} U(x)^{p} w(x)^{1-p / 2}, & v(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} V(x)^{p} w(x)^{1-p / 2} \\
\bar{u}(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{p / 4} U(x)^{p} w(x)^{1-p / 2}, & \bar{v}(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{p / 4} V(x)^{p} w(x)^{1-p / 2}
\end{array}
$$

The following lemmas will be proved below.
Lemma 1. Assume that $w \in \mathscr{H}$ and let $U$ and $V$ be as above and satisfying $(u, v) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ and $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ for some $\delta>1$. Then $\left\|U S_{n} f\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|V f\|_{p, w}$, where $C$ depends only on the $A_{p}$ constants of $(u, v)$ and $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$.

Lemma 2. Let $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ for some $\delta>1$ and $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$. Then there exist $\delta>1$ and $\gamma>0$ such that $\left(e^{s b} u_{1}, e^{s b} v_{1}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ for all $s$ with $|s|<\gamma$, and the $A_{p}$ constant is independent of $s$.

Now, for a fixed function $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $T_{z} f=e^{z b} S_{n}\left(e^{-z b} f\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us show the analyticity of this operator-valued function. From the hypothesis and Lemma 2 it follows that $\left(e^{s b} u, e^{s b} v\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ and $\left(e^{s b} \bar{u}, e^{s b} \bar{v}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ for all $s$ such that $|s|<\gamma$. Then, by Lemma 1, we have $\left\|e^{s b} U S_{n} f\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\left\|e^{s b} V f\right\|_{p, w}$. Therefore, for $|z|<\gamma$ we have $\left\|U T_{z} f\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|V f\|_{p, w}$. Then, $T_{z} \in \mathscr{L}\left(L^{p}\left(V^{p} w\right), L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)\right)$ for $|z|<\gamma$. Moreover, the constant $C$ in the last inequality is independent of $z$ for $|z|<\gamma$. So, the application $T_{z}$ is bounded (with the operator norm) in $|z|<\gamma$. Then, in order to prove the analyticity in $|z|<\gamma$ it is enough to show that the mapping $z \mapsto\left\langle T_{z} f, g\right\rangle$ is holomorphic for every $f$ in a dense subspace of $L^{p}\left(V^{p} w\right)$ and every $g$ in a dense subspace of the dual of $L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)$ (see [14, p. 365]).

If $f, g$ are bounded functions we can differentiate the expression

$$
\left\langle T_{z} f, g\right\rangle=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{z(b(x)-b(y))} K_{n}(x, y) f(x) g(y) U(x)^{p} w(x) w(y) d x d y
$$

by differentiating under the integral sign, since the derivative of the integrand can be dominated by

$$
C e^{\gamma|b(x)-b(y)|}|b(x)-b(y)|\left|K_{n}(x, y)\right| U(x)^{p} w(x) w(y),
$$

which is integrable on $[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$. This follows from a suitable handling of the hypothesis (integrability conditions which are implicit in the $A_{p}^{\delta}$ conditions (2), $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ and $w \in \mathscr{H}$ ).

Besides, this process shows that

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d z} T_{z}\right|_{z=0}=\left[b, S_{n}\right] .
$$

Therefore, $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ is a bounded operator from $L^{p}\left(V^{p} w\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(U^{p} w\right)$. Moreover, by Cauchy's integral theory, the norm of $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ is controlled by the maximum of the norms of $T_{z}$ (which are independent of $n$ ), when $z$ ranges in a circle, and hence the norms of $\left[b, S_{n}\right]$ are independent of $n$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Lemma 1. The main idea of this proof comes from [21] (see also [12]). We use Pollard's decomposition of the kernels $K_{n}(x, y)$, that is,

$$
K_{n}(x, y)=r_{n} T_{1, n}(x, y)+s_{n} T_{2, n}(x, y)+s_{n} T_{3, n}(x, y),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1, n}(x, y)=p_{n+1}(x) p_{n+1}(y) \\
T_{2, n}(x, y)=\left(1-y^{2}\right) \frac{p_{n+1}(x) q_{n}(y)}{x-y}, \quad T_{3, n}(x, y)=\left(1-x^{2}\right) \frac{p_{n+1}(y) q_{n}(x)}{y-x}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\left\{r_{n}\right\},\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences. In fact, for any measure $d \mu$ on $[-1,1]$ with $\mu^{\prime}>0$ a.e.,

$$
\lim _{n} r_{n}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lim _{n} s_{n}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

(this can be deduced from [21] and either [23] or [16]). Therefore, we can write $S_{n} f=r_{n} W_{1, n} f+s_{n} W_{2, n} f-s_{n} W_{3, n} f$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{1, n} f(x)=p_{n+1}(x) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n+1} f w, \\
W_{2, n} f(x)=p_{n+1}(x) H\left(\left(1-y^{2}\right) q_{n} f w, x\right), \quad W_{3, n} f(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right) q_{n}(x) H\left(p_{n+1} f w, x\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and $H$ is the Hilbert transform on the interval $[-1,1]$. Thus, the study of $S_{n}$ can be reduced to that of $W_{i, n}$ (for $i=1,2,3$ ).

Case $i=1$. By using the uniform estimates for $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ and Hölder's inequality with $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|U W_{1, n} f\right\|_{p, w}=\left\|U p_{n+1}\right\|_{p, w}\left|\int_{-1}^{1} p_{n+1}(y) f(y) w(y) d y\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left\|U(x) w(x)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{p, w}\left\|V(x)^{-1} w(x)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, w}\|V f\|_{p, w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the $A_{p}$ conditions in the hypothesis it follows that

$$
U(x)^{p} w(x)^{1-p / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} \in L^{1}(d x), \quad\left(V(x)^{p} w(x)^{1-p / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{p / 4}\right)^{-p^{\prime} / p} \in L^{1}(d x)
$$

that is,

$$
\left\|U(x) w(x)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{p, w}<\infty, \quad\left\|V(x)^{-1} w(x)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, w}<\infty
$$

Therefore $\left\|U W_{1, n} f\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|V f\|_{p, w}$.
Case $\quad i=2$. Since $\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} w^{1-p / 2} U^{p},\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} w^{1-p / 2} V^{p}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}(-1,1)$ for some $\delta>1$, the Hilbert transform is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} w^{1-p / 2} V^{p}\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} w^{1-p / 2} U^{p}\right)$ (it is a consequence of [20, Theorem 3]).

Write $g(y)=\left(1-y^{2}\right) q_{n}(y) f(y) w(y)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U W_{2, n} f\right\|_{p, w} & =\left\|U p_{n+1} H g\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\left\|U\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} w^{-1 / 2} H g\right\|_{p, w} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|V\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} w^{-1 / 2} g\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|V f\|_{p, w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $i=3$. This can be done in a similar way using the second $A_{p}^{\delta}$-condition.
Proof of Lemma 2. For any interval $I$, write $I(f)=(1 /|I|) \int_{I} f(x) d x$. The condition $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ can be written as $I\left(u_{1}^{\delta}\right) I\left(v_{1}^{-\delta /(p-1)}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant C$ for each interval. It is known [20] that there exists $\delta>1$ such that $\left(u_{1}^{\delta}, v_{1}^{\delta}\right) \in A_{p}$ if and only if there is some $\sigma \in A_{p}$ with $C_{1} u_{1} \leqslant \sigma \leqslant C_{2} v_{1}$, where $\delta$ and the $A_{p}$ constants depend on each other. In order to prove that $\left(e^{s b} u_{1}, e^{s b} v_{1}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$ it is enough to show that $e^{s b} \sigma \in A_{p}$ uniformly in $s$.

Since $\sigma \in A_{p}$, by the reverse Hölder's inequality there exists $\varepsilon>1$ such that $\sigma^{\varepsilon} \in A_{p}$. As $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ (and also $-b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ ), by the John-Nirenberg inequality there exists $\lambda>0$ small enough such that $e^{s b} \in A_{p}$ for $|s|<\lambda$ uniformly in $s$, that is, with an $A_{p}$ constant independent of $s$ (see [8]).

By Hölder's inequality with $1 / \varepsilon+1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}=1$, we have

$$
I\left(e^{s b} \sigma\right) \leqslant I\left(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / \varepsilon} I\left(e^{\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta b}\right)^{1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}}, \quad I\left(\left(e^{s b} \sigma\right)^{-1 /(p-1)}\right) \leqslant I\left(\sigma^{-\varepsilon /(p-1)}\right)^{1 / \varepsilon} I\left(e^{-\varepsilon^{\prime} 8 b /(p-1)}\right)^{1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}}
$$

Therefore

$$
I\left(e^{s b} \sigma\right) I\left(\left(e^{s b} \sigma\right)^{-1 /(p-1)}\right)^{p-1} \leqslant\left[I\left(\sigma^{\ell}\right) I\left(\sigma^{-\varepsilon /(p-1)}\right)\right]^{1 / \epsilon}\left[I\left(e^{\varepsilon^{s} \delta b}\right) I\left(e^{-\varepsilon^{\prime} s b /(p-1)}\right]^{1 / \varepsilon^{\prime}} \leqslant C\right.
$$

for every $s$ such that $|s|<\lambda / \varepsilon^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3. Let $R, S \in \mathbb{R}, a_{n}>0, \lim _{n} a_{n}=0, t \in[-1,1]$. Then,
(a) $|x-t|^{R}\left(|x-t|+a_{n}\right)^{S} \in A_{p}(-1,1)$ uniformly in $n$ if and only if $-1<R<p-1$, $-1<R+S<p-1$;
(b) for a product of terms of this type, these conditions are applied separately to each factor.

For the proof of this lemma, see [13].

Proof of Theorem 3. Coming back again to Pollard's decomposition we have $\left[b, S_{n}\right]=\sum_{i-1}^{3}\left[b, W_{i, n}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[b, W_{1, n}\right] f=b p_{n+1} \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n+1} f w-p_{n+1} \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n+1} f b w} \\
{\left[b, W_{2, n}\right] f=p_{n+1}[b, H]\left(\left(1-y^{2}\right) q_{n} f w\right), \quad\left[b, W_{3, n}\right] f=\left(1-x^{2}\right) q_{n}[b, H]\left(p_{n+1} f w\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider each operator separately.
(i) Boundedness of $\left[b, W_{2, n}\right]$. Write

$$
\lambda_{n}=U^{p}\left|p_{n+1}\right|^{p} w \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{n}=V^{p}\left|q_{n}\right|^{-p}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p} w^{1-p}
$$

Now $\left\|U\left[b, W_{2, n}\right] f\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|V f\|_{p, w}$ if and only if $\|[b, H] g\|_{p, \lambda_{n}} \leqslant C\|g\|_{p, \mu_{n}}$ with some constant $C$ independent of $n$. In order to prove this last inequality, we use the idea of inserting weights $\phi_{n}$, that is, finding functions $\phi_{n}$ such that $C_{1} \lambda_{n} \leqslant \phi_{n} \leqslant C_{2} \mu_{n}$ and $\phi_{n} \in A_{p}$ uniformly, that is, with an $A_{p}$-constant independent of $n$. By using the estimates for $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{n} \leqslant C u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}(1-x)^{a p+\alpha}(1+x)^{b p+\beta}\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{-p(\alpha / 2+1 / 4)}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{-p(\beta / 2+1 / 4)}, \\
\mu_{n} \geqslant
\end{gathered} v^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}(1-x)^{A p-\alpha+\alpha(1-p)}(1+x)^{B p-\beta+\beta(1-p)} .
$$

It is not difficult to see, from the hypothesis, that we can take a real number $R$ such that $A p-p+\alpha(1-p) \leqslant R \leqslant a p+\alpha$ with $-1<R<p-1$ and choose $S$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A p-p+\alpha(1-p)+p\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha+\frac{3}{4}\right) \leqslant R+S \leqslant a p+\alpha-p\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha+\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
-1<R+S<p-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, it is a straightforward calculation to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(1-x)^{a p+\alpha}\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{-p(\alpha / 2+1 / 4)} & \leqslant(1-x)^{R}\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{S} \\
& \leqslant C(1-x)^{A p-p+\alpha(1-p)}\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{p(\alpha / 2+3 / 4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can also take $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{S}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
B p-p+\beta(1-p) \leqslant \tilde{R} \leqslant b p+\beta \\
B p-p+\beta(1-p)+p\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta+\frac{3}{4}\right) \leqslant \tilde{R}+\tilde{S} \leqslant b p+\beta-p\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta+\frac{1}{4}\right), \\
-1<\tilde{R}<p-1, \quad-1<\tilde{R}+\tilde{S}<p-1
\end{gathered}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.C(1+x)^{b p+\beta}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{-p(\beta / 2+1 / 4}\right) & \leqslant(1+x)^{\tilde{R}}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{\tilde{S}} \\
& \leqslant C(1+x)^{B p-p+\beta(1-p)}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{p(\beta / 2+3 / 4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we write $\alpha_{n}(x)=(1-x)^{R}\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{S}$ and $\beta_{n}(x)=(1+x)^{\tilde{R}}\left(1+x+b_{n}\right)^{\tilde{s}}$ we have $C \lambda_{n} \leqslant u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}$ and $v^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n} \leqslant C \mu_{n}$. As $\left(u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}, v^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}$, there exists a positive function $\phi$ satisfying $C_{1} u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2} \leqslant \phi \leqslant C_{2} v^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}$ and $\phi^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2} \in A_{p}$. Besides, there are positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1} \leqslant \phi(x) \leqslant C_{2}$ for all $x \in(-1,-1+\varepsilon)$ and $x \in(1-\varepsilon, 1)$. On the other hand, having in mind that $a_{n}>0$, $\lim _{n} a_{n}=0$ and $-1<R<p-1,-1<R+S<p-1$, from Lemma 3 it follows that

$$
\alpha_{n}=(1-x)^{R}\left(1-x+a_{n}\right)^{S} \in A_{p} \quad \text { uniformly }
$$

Also, it is clear that $\alpha_{n}$ is bounded below and above by positive constants on the interval $[-1,1-\varepsilon]$. In a similar way we obtain that $\beta_{n} \in A_{p}$ uniformly and there exist
positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1}<\beta(x)<C_{2}$ for all $x \in[-1+\varepsilon, 1]$. Then, splitting in pieces the integrals appearing in the $A_{p}$ condition it can be shown that $\phi_{n}=\phi^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n} \in A_{p}$ uniformly. Since the commutator of the Hilbert transform with a function $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ is bounded with $A_{p}$ weights (see [5]), then

$$
\|[b, H] g\|_{p, \lambda_{n}} \leqslant C\|[b, H] g\|_{p, \phi_{n}} \leqslant C_{1}\|g\|_{p, \phi_{n}} \leqslant C_{2}\|g\|_{p, \mu_{n}}
$$

and the boundedness of $\left[b, W_{2, n}\right]$ follows.
(ii) Boundedness of $\left[b, W_{3, n}\right]$. We can prove that there are positive constants $C_{1}$, $C_{2}$ and weights $\psi_{n}$ uniformly in $A_{p}$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1} U(x)^{p}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{p}\left|q_{n}(x)\right|^{p} w(x) \leqslant \psi_{n}(x) \leqslant C_{2} V(x)^{p}\left|p_{n+1}(x)\right|^{-p} w(x)^{1-p}, \\
\psi_{n} \in A_{p} \quad \text { uniformly },
\end{gathered}
$$

and we proceed as before.
(iii) Boundedness of $\left[b, W_{1, n}\right]$. We have $\left[b, W_{1, n}\right] f=A_{n} f+B_{n} f$, where

$$
A_{n} f=\left(b-b_{Q}\right) p_{n+1} \int_{-1}^{1} p_{n+1} f w, \quad B_{n} f=p_{n+1} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(b-b_{Q}\right) p_{n+1} f w
$$

and $Q$ stands for the interval $[-1,1]$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U A_{n} f\right\|_{p, w} & =\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right) U p_{n+1}\right\|_{p, w}\left|\int_{-1}^{1} p_{n+1} f w\right| \\
& \leqslant\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right) U p_{n+1}\right\|_{p, w}\left\|p_{n+1} V^{-1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, w}\|V f\|_{p, w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta>1$ satisfying the $A_{p}$ hypothesis, $\varepsilon>0$, and $1 / p=1 / s+1 / p \delta+1 / p(1+\varepsilon)$. From the definitions of $\lambda_{n}, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ and Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right) U p_{n+1}\right\|_{p, w} & =\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right) \lambda_{n}^{1 / p}\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right)\left[u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}\right]^{1 / p} \alpha_{n}^{1 / p} \beta_{n}^{1 / p}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right)\right\|_{s}\left\|\left[u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}\right]^{\delta}\right\|_{1}^{1 /(p \delta)}\left\|\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\right\|_{1+\varepsilon^{\prime}}^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the $A_{p}$ hypothesis, $\left\|\left[u^{p} w_{1}^{1-p / 2}\right]^{\delta}\right\|_{1}^{1 /(p o)}<C$. Now, $\varepsilon>0$ can be taken small enough so that $\left\|\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}\right\|_{1+\varepsilon}^{1 / p}<C$. Finally, from the John-Nirenberg theorem, there exists some $C$ such that $\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right)\right\|_{s} \leqslant C\|b\|_{*}$. Putting these inequalities together, it follows that $\left\|\left(b-b_{Q}\right) U p_{n+1}\right\|_{p, w}<C$. In an analogous way $\left\|p_{n+1} V^{-1}\right\|_{p^{\prime}, w}<C$. Thus

$$
\left\|U A_{n} f\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant C\|V f\|_{p, w} .
$$

The operators $B_{n} f$ can be handled in the same way as before.
Proof of Corollaries 1 and 2. (a) If $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p r+\alpha+1=0$, from the definition of $L^{p, \infty}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$, it is not difficult to see that $\left\|x^{r} \chi_{(0, \lambda)}(x)\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)}=C$, for some constant $C>0$ independent of $\lambda>0$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|x^{r} \log (1 /|x|) \chi_{(0,1)}(x)\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)} \geqslant C \log (1 / \lambda)
$$

so that $\left\|x^{r} \log (1 /|x|) \chi_{(0,1)}(x)\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)}=\infty$. Now, if the restricted weak boundedness $\left[b, S_{n}\right]: L^{p, 1}(w) \rightarrow L^{p, \infty}(w)$ holds uniformly in $n$ for each $b \in$ BMO, from Theorem 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\log \frac{1}{|x-t|} u w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(w)}<\infty \\
& \left\|\log \frac{1}{|x-t|} v^{-1} w^{-1 / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(w)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $t \in[-1,1]$, since $b(x)=\log |x-t|^{-1} \in$ BMO. This leads to (3), (4), (5), which proves Corollary 2 and, as a consequence, the 'only if' part of Corollary 1.
(b) Suppose now that (3), (4), (5) hold. From Lemma 3 and the fact that generalized Jacobi polynomials belong to the class $\mathscr{H}$ (if $\alpha, \beta \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}, \gamma_{i} \geqslant 0$ ) or the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ (for any $\alpha, \beta>-1, \gamma_{i} \geqslant 0$ ), it is easy to show that the hypotheses of Theorem 2 or Theorem 3 also hold.

## 3. Fourier-Bessel series

Let us now consider the Bessel function $J_{\alpha}$ of order $\alpha>-1$ and let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the increasing sequence of the zeros of $J_{\alpha}$. The Bessel system of order $\alpha,\left\{j_{n}^{\alpha}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where

$$
j_{n}^{\alpha}(x)=2^{1 / 2}\left|J_{\alpha+1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right|^{-1} J_{\alpha}\left(\alpha_{n} x\right) \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 1,
$$

is orthogonal and complete in $L^{2}((0,1), x d x)$. Let $S_{n}^{\alpha} f$ denote the $n$th partial sum operators

$$
S_{n}^{\alpha} f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} j_{k}^{\alpha}(x), \quad c_{k}=c_{k}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} j_{k}^{\alpha}(y) f(y) y d y
$$

Theorem $1^{\prime}$. Let $U, V$ be two weights on $(0,1)$. If there exists some constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|U\left[b, S_{n}^{\alpha}\right]\left(V^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p, r}(x d x)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{q, s}(x d x)}
$$

for each $n \geqslant 0, f \in L^{q, s}(x d x)$ (where $1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty$; either $r=p$ or $r=\infty$; either $s=q$ or $s=1$ ), then

$$
\left\|\log \frac{1}{|x-a|} U x^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{p, r}(x d x)}<\infty, \quad\left\|\log \frac{1}{|x-a|} V^{-1} x^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{q, s^{\prime}}(x d x)}<\infty
$$

for each $a \in[-1,1]$.
The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1, if we replace the previously mentioned results of [17] and [13] by the analogous results for Fourier-Bessel series (see [10, Lemma 2; 11, proof of Theorem 3]).

In a similar way to the case of weights in the class $\mathscr{H}$ we obtain the following.

Theorem $2^{\prime}$. Let $1<p<\infty, \alpha \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}$, let $U$ and $V$ be weights on $(0,1)$ and $b \in$ BMO. If $\left(x^{1-p / 2} U(x)^{p}, x^{1-p / 2} V(x)^{p}\right) \in A_{p}^{\delta}(0,1)$ for some $\delta>1$ (where $\delta=1$ if $u=v$ ), then the commutator $\left[b, S_{n}^{\alpha}\right]$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(V^{p} x\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(U^{p} x\right)$.

This can be proved in a similar way to Theorem 2, using [11, Proposition 1] instead of Lemma 1. Also, for $-1<\alpha<-\frac{1}{2}$ a result analogous to Theorem 3 can be stated. Finally, Theorems $1^{\prime}$ and $2^{\prime}$ give the following result.

Corollary. Let $1<p<\infty, \alpha \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$
U(x)=x^{a}(1-x)^{b} \prod_{k-1}^{m}\left|x-x_{k}\right|^{b_{k}} \quad \text { for } a, b, b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\left\|U S_{n}^{\alpha}\left(U^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(x d x)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{p}(x d x)}$ for each $f \in L^{p}(x d x)$;
(b) $\left\|U S_{n}^{\alpha}\left(U^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x d x)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{p}(x d x)}$ for each $f \in L^{p}(x d x)$;
(c) $\left\|U S_{n}^{\alpha}\left(U^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(x d x)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{p, 1}(x d x)}$ for each $f \in L^{p, 1}(x d x)$;
(d) $\left|1 / p+\frac{1}{2}(a-1)\right|<\frac{1}{4},-1<p b<p-1,-1<p b_{k}<p-1$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$.
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